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ABSTRACT
The recommendation system is a filtering system. It filters a collection of things based on the historical behavior of a user, it also tries to make predictions based on user preferences and make recommendations that interest customers. While incredibly useful, they can face various challenges affecting their performance and utility. Some common problems are, for example, when the number of users and items grows, the computational complexity of generating recommendations increases, which can increase the accuracy and precision of recommendations. So, for this purpose and to improve recommendation system results, we propose a recommendation system combining the demographic approach with collaborative filtering, our approach is based on users’ demographic information such as gender, age, zip code, occupation, and historical ratings of the users. We cluster the users based on their demographic data using the k-means algorithm and then apply collaborative filtering to the specific user cluster for recommendations. The proposed approach improves the results of the collaborative filtering recommendation system in terms of precision and recommends diverse items to users.

1. INTRODUCTION
The advent of the internet, social media, big data, and predictive algorithms has profoundly influenced the collection and application of demographic information. Today’s consumers generate substantial data, gathered extensively by social media platforms, third-party data collectors, retailers, and financial transaction processors. Integration with artificial intelligence enables the prediction and targeted marketing of consumer choices and purchasing preferences with exceptional accuracy, based on demographic traits and historical behaviors. In recent years, recommender systems have gained increasing importance with the growth of social media, YouTube, Amazon, Netflix, and various other web services. E-commerce platforms use recommender systems to suggest items that may interest customers. Today, recommender systems are an integral part of our daily online experiences.

Recommender systems can utilize three well-known approaches: collaborative filtering, content-based filtering, and hybrid methods. Additionally, they can use a demographic approach, categorizing users based on their attributes and recommending movies or other items using their demographic data [1]. In the collaborative filtering method, recommendations are made for each user by comparing their preferences with those of other users who have shown similar preferences in the past. Collaborative filtering (CF) operates on the principle that individuals who have shared similar ratings or evaluations of items in the past are likely to continue agreeing in their future evaluations. CF methods are grouped into two general methods:
The system's performance is evaluated using the TripAdvisor dataset, yielding a mean absolute error (MAE) of 1.0. For the unspecified language, the results are MAE of 0.60, precision of 0.90, and recall of 1.0 for English reviews. For French reviews, the results are MAE of 0.50, precision of 1.0, and recall of 1.0 for recommendation phase. The system's performance metrics include a MAE of 0.52, precision of 0.96, and recall of 0.60 for English reviews, and MAE of 0.50, precision of 0.90, and recall of 0.60 for French reviews. For sentiment analysis, they employ the support vector machine (SVM) algorithm to classify user reviews, extracting statistical features such as word count, emotionalism, addressing, and reflexivity. These features are then used to compute a polarity score that informs collaborative filtering during the recommendation phase. The system's performance metrics include a MAE of 0.50, precision of 0.96, and recall of 1.0 for English reviews. For French reviews, the results are MAE of 0.50, precision of 1.0, and recall of 1.0. Additionally, combining collaborative filtering with the demographic approach enhances the precision of collaborative filtering.

Traditional approaches such as collaborative filtering have limitations, including the cold start problem for new users or items. Additionally, as the number of users and items increases, it becomes challenging to find similar users or user groups. The computational complexity of generating recommendations can increase the accuracy and precision of recommendations, but it can be time-consuming due to the diversity of opinions among many users. In this context, combining collaborative filtering with other approaches, such as the demographic approach, has been shown to help solve the cold start problem. Additionally, combining collaborative filtering with the demographic approach enhances the precision of collaborative filtering.

Many studies in the field of recommender systems focus on improving results achieved through collaborative filtering. Aljunid and Manjiah [15] propose a movie recommender system based on the alternating least squares (ALS) algorithm using Apache Spark. Their work emphasizes selecting ALS parameters that can influence the performance of a recommendation system. By using collaborative filtering, they predict user ratings for specific movies based on users' historical ratings. The system is evaluated using the MovieLens dataset, achieving the best root mean squared error value (RMSE) of 0.9167. Silva et al. [16] present a study comparing three algorithms: non-negative matrix factorization (NMF), singular value decomposition (SVD), and stacked autoencoders (SAE). They evaluated the algorithms using the MovieLens 100K dataset. The study found that the best RMSE results were achieved with NMF (0.923), SVD (0.901), and SAE (0.723). For mean squared error (MSE) values, the results were NMF (0.724), SVD (0.708), and SAE (0.213) [16]. Chen et al. [17] propose a recommender system based on collaborative filtering, which utilizes user correlation and evolutionary clustering. In the first stage, the score matrix undergoes pre-processing, including dimensionality reduction and normalization. A clustering principle is employed to create a dense score matrix, followed by dynamic evolutionary clustering. Similar users are grouped into clusters based on their interests and similarities, and the correlation between users is measured to calculate distances based on user satisfaction and potential score information. Finally, each group uses collaborative filtering to predict ratings. When using the MovieLens 100K dataset, the system achieves RMSE values between 0.95 and 0.96, and mean absolute error (MAE) values between 0.74 and 0.75. For the MovieLens 1M dataset, the RMSE values are around 0.94, and MAE values range between 0.73 and 0.74 [17]. Zarzour et al. [18] propose a collaborative filtering recommendation system that integrates k-means clustering and singular value decomposition. The system operates in two main phases. In the first phase, it clusters users' ratings based on their preferences using k-means, reduces the data's dimensions with SVD, and calculates similarities between users. In the second phase, the model created in the first phase is used to produce recommendations for the given active user. The study utilizes the MovieLens dataset, achieving RMSE values between 0.6 and 0.7 [18].

Ziani et al. [9] propose a recommendation system that integrates sentiment analysis with collaborative filtering. For sentiment analysis, they employ the support vector machine (SVM) algorithm to classify user reviews, extracting statistical features such as word count, emotionalism, addressing, and reflexivity. These features are then used to compute a polarity score that informs collaborative filtering during the recommendation phase. The system's performance metrics include a MAE of 0.52, precision of 0.96, and recall of 1.0 for English reviews. For French reviews, the results are MAE of 0.50, precision of 1.0, and recall of 1.0. For the unspecified language, the results are MAE of 0.60, precision of 0.90, and recall of 1.0 [9]. Nassar et al. [19] propose a recommendation system that enhances collaborative filtering performance through multi-criteria recommendation and deep learning. The proposed model operates in two stages. In the first stage, user and item features are extracted and fed into a deep neural network to predict criteria ratings. In the second stage, the deep neural network learns the relationship between the overall rating and the criteria ratings. The system's performance is evaluated using the TripAdvisor dataset, yielding a mean absolute error (MAE) of
0.7552 ± 0.0050 [19]. Sallam et al. [20] integrated collaborative filtering with a sentiment analysis approach to enhance the results of collaborative filtering. They utilized Lexon sentiment analysis to obtain sentiment ratings and then applied collaborative filtering using two methods: k-nearest neighbors (KNN) item-based and SVD. This proposed approach enhanced the accuracy of the Arabic recommendation system, reducing the average error values, and achieving a RMSE of 0.5583 and a MAE of 0.1558.

From the previously mentioned studies, we observe that systems that combine collaborative filtering with other approaches such as demographic, content-based, or sentiment analysis tend to yield better results than systems relying solely on collaborative filtering. For this reason, our work proposes a new recommender system that utilizes multiple user demographic attributes. We use a vector assembler and inverse document frequency (IDF) to integrate various user attributes, aiming to achieve improved results.

In this article, we propose a novel approach that combines the demographic method using the k-means algorithm with the collaborative filtering method based on the factorization matrix. As mentioned earlier, hybridizing collaborative filtering with other methods can enhance recommendation results in terms of precision and diversity. The novelty of our approach lies in integrating several demographic attributes, including age, gender, and zip code, through a vector assembler and IDF for feature extraction. The results demonstrate that utilizing multiple user demographic information improves the precision of the recommendation system. This article is structured as follows: In section 2, we describe the detailed architecture and methods of our proposed recommender system. In section 3, we present the experimentations and results, and finally, we conclude with a summary and discussion of the findings.

2. METHOD

2.1. The detailed architecture

Our proposed architecture comprises two main modules: the demographic model, which employs a demographic approach for constructing user clusters or profiles, and the recommendation model, which utilizes a collaborative filtering approach. In the demographic filtering model, we used each user’s age, gender, and zip code from the dataset, and we clustered the users based on this information using the k-means algorithm, grouping them into several clusters based on their demographic attributes, the algorithm first clusters the users into male and female groups, then further clusters them based on age and zip code. After constructing user profiles based on their demographic information, we apply the collaborative filtering approach using matrix factorization of user item ratings data for recommendations. Figure 1 illustrates the architecture of our recommender system.

2.2. Clustering and profiles construction module

The first part of our module involves constructing profiles using a demographic approach based on users’ age, gender, occupation, and zip code. First, we extract users’ demographic information from the dataset (age, gender, and zip code) and index the data using a data indexer. For instance, gender data are represented as ‘M’ for male and ‘F’ for female, and we index these as 0 and 1, respectively. We combine the data (age, gender, and zip code) into a single vector using a vector assembler. Then, we apply feature extraction using IDF and finally use the k-means algorithm to generate different clusters.
2.2.1. Vector assembler
Vector Assembler is a transformer used to combine multiple columns into a single vector column. This allows for the merging of raw features and features generated by various feature transformers into one unified feature vector, which is useful for training machine learning models such as logistic regression and decision trees [21].

2.2.2. IDF
Inverse document frequency (IDF) is an estimator that fits on a dataset to produce an IDF model. The IDF model processes feature vectors, typically generated by methods such as HashingTF or CountVectorizer, and scales each feature accordingly. Essentially, it down-weights features that occur frequently across the dataset [21].

2.2.3. The clustering
Clustering involves dividing data points or enabled data into many clusters or groups based on their similarities and differences. Each data point is similar to the data point of the same cluster and different from the data points in the other groups. In our module, we use clustering to segment users into several clusters based on their demographic information, such as age, gender, and zip code, using the k-means algorithm. Each cluster contains users with similar attributes. Based on the user clusters, we generate user-items-ratings clusters. This clustering helps work with a reduced dataset and improves the efficiency of the recommendation system.

2.2.4. K-means algorithm
The k-means algorithm is one of the most effective and widely used approaches in unsupervised learning [22], it teaches a computer to use unlabeled, unclassified data and enables the algorithm to operate on that data without supervision. We chose the k-means algorithm because it is one of the most popular unsupervised learning algorithms. Due to its speed, it is relatively easy and efficient to apply, even to large datasets. Without any previous data training, this algorithm divides a set of n observations into k clusters. Each observation is assigned to the cluster with the nearest mean, which serves as the cluster’s center or centroid. The centroid represents the prototype of the cluster.

Given a set of observations \((x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)\), where each observation is ad-dimensional real vector, k-means clustering aims to partition the n observations into \(k(\leq n)\) sets \(S = \{S_1, S_2, ..., S_k\}\) to minimize the within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS) (i.e., variance). Formally, the goal is to find:

\[
\text{argmin}_S \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in S_i} |x - \mu_i|^2 = \text{argmin}_S \sum_{i=1}^{k} |S_i| \text{Var}S_i
\]  

where \(\mu_i\) is the mean (also called centroid) of points in \(S_i\).

\[
\mu_i = \frac{1}{|S_i|} \sum_{x \in S_i} x
\]  

k-means clustering minimizes within-cluster variances based on squared Euclidean distances between two features \(x_1\) and \(x_2\).

\[
d(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{1i} - x_{2i})^2}
\]

2.3. The recommendation
After constructing a profile based on the user’s attributes, we assign the user to the relevant cluster which contains users with similar demographic data. We use each user’s item ratings from the same cluster and apply matrix factorization for recommendations. Matrix factorization is a class of collaborative filtering algorithms commonly employed in recommender systems. This technique involves decomposing the user-item interaction matrix into the product of two lower-dimensional rectangular matrices [23].

In this work, we used the ALS; ALS [24] is a collaborative filtering technique developed in Spark MLlib; we use this technique because it solves the problem of overfitting in sparse data and increases the value of precision [25]. ALS observes the user’s item rating through matrix decomposition. The main idea is to find two low-dimensional matrices, \(V\) and \(U\), that approximate the rating matrix \(R\).

\[
R_{(m \times n)} \approx U_{(m \times n)}V_{(n \times K)} \tag{4}
\]

The objective is to determine the vector for each user \((x)\) and item \((y)\) in the feature dimensions, aiming to minimize the following loss function:

---
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\[
\text{argmin} \sum_{u,i} (r_{ui} - x_u^T y_i)^2 + \lambda (|x_u|^2 + \sum_i |y_i|^2) \tag{5}
\]

with \( \lambda \) is a regularization parameter used to avoid overfitting, \( r_{ui} \) represents the observed rating of item \( i \) by user \( u \), \( x_u \) is the feature vector for user \( u \) and \( y_i \) is the feature vector for item \( i \). This regularization scheme to avoid overfitting is called weighted-\( \lambda \) regularization.

By fixing one of the matrices \( U \) or \( V \), a quadratic form emerges that can be solved directly. This solution ensures a monotonic decrease in the overall cost function. Iterative application of this process to the matrices \( U \) and \( V \) leads to continuous improvement in the matrix factorization. The matrix \( R \) is represented in its sparse format as a tuple \((i, j, r)\) where \( i \) represents the row index, \( j \) represents the column index, and \( r \) is the value of the matrix at position \((i, j)\) [26].

3. RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTS

3.1. Dataset

The MovieLens datasets were gathered by the GroupLens research project. These datasets contain 100,000 ratings from 943 users on 1,682 movies [27]. In this work, we will work with three files: the movies file contains all information about the movies, the \( i.data \) file contains all the users’ ratings on movies, and the user file contains demographic information about users, such as their age, gender, occupation, and zip code.

3.2. Results

Our system proposes to specific users, in this example, users with ID=224 and ID=276, the top 10 elements or the top 5 items based on the predicted rating for each item. Figures 2 and 3 present the results of our recommender system. Additionally, Table 1 shows the details of the proposed items and demonstrates that our recommender system suggests a variety of movie genres to the users.

![Figure 2](image1.png)

Figure 2. Results of the top 5 recommendation for the user 276 from the system

![Figure 3](image2.png)

Figure 3. Top 10 recommendations for the 224 users from our system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User</th>
<th>Movie ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Predicted rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>Sing in the Rain</td>
<td>Romance-Musical</td>
<td>4.04619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>Patton</td>
<td>War-Drama</td>
<td>3.01581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>Mission impossible</td>
<td>Action-Adventure-Mystery</td>
<td>2.896674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Star Wars</td>
<td>War-Western-Drama</td>
<td>2.872546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>Raiders of the Lost Ark</td>
<td>Action-Adventure</td>
<td>2.869741</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Experimentations and discussion

In this work, we aim to improve the results of the collaborative filtering system. One way to increase accuracy and recommendation precision is to use large datasets. For this purpose, we use clustering based on users’ attributes to segment the dataset into clusters and focus on each user-specific cluster.
We used demographic filtering to cluster users based on age and gender. First, we cluster the users based on gender, with \( k = 2 \) clusters (one cluster for male and other one for female). Then, we further cluster the data based on age. For instance, with \( k = 4 \), the users are clustered first by gender (female and male), and then each gender group is further divided into age-based clusters (resulting in 2 male clusters and 2 female clusters). When using \( k = 20 \), users are first divided into female and male clusters. Then, each gender group is further grouped by age, resulting in 10 male clusters and 10 female clusters. The dataset includes 943 users, of whom 273 are female and 670 are male. When \( k = 2 \), the recommendations are based solely on gender.

Figures 4 to 8 illustrate the different values of MAE, MSE, and RMSE using the different values of \( k \) clusters. From the comparison of the different values of our results in Figures 9 and 10, we illustrate that the best values are achieved when \( k = 20 \) in Figure 8, and the recommendations are based on age, gender, and collaborative filtering. The evaluation metrics for the male gender are RMSE: 0.662, MSE: 0.439, and MAE: 0.373. For the female gender, the corresponding metrics are RMSE: 0.623, RME: 0.388, and RMA: 0.263. When \( k = 10 \) in Figure 7, the evaluation metrics for the male gender are RMSE: 0.704, MSE: 0.496, and MAE: 0.467. For the female gender, the metrics are RMSE: 0.646, MSE: 0.417, and MAE: 0.324. In the case of \( K = 8 \), the RMSE, MSE, and MAE values are 0.726, 0.527, and 0.478 for the male gender. And 0.705, 0.498, and 0.433 for the female gender. When \( k = 6 \) in Figure 5, the evaluation metrics for the male gender are RMSE: 0.711, MSE: 0.505, and MAE: 0.500. For the female gender, the metrics are RMSE: 0.718, MSE: 0.516, and MAE: 0.417. When \( k = 4 \), the evaluation metrics for the male gender are RMSE: 0.736, MSE: 0.542, and MAE: 0.533. For the female gender, the metrics are RMSE: 0.715, MSE: 0.512, and MAE: 0.434. When \( k = 2 \) (with recommendations based on gender only), the evaluation metrics for the female gender are RMSE: 0.749, MSE: 0.561, and MAE: 0.488. For the male gender, the metrics are RMSE: 0.753, MSE: 0.568, and MAE: 0.550. The best recommendation results occur when \( k = 20 \) and the recommendation approach integrate collaborative filtering with gender and age information.
Tables 2 and 3 illustrate the values of RMSE, MAE, MSE, and Precision at k for some chosen users from the dataset. We also evaluated the system using precision at k=10 in Figures 11 and 12. The best results were achieved when k=20, yielding a precision at k=10 value of 0.307 for the female gender in Figure 11. We observe that the value of precision at k increases with the number of clusters (k). The same pattern holds true for the male gender: in Figure 12 the best results are achieved when k=20, with a precision at k=10 value of 0.100.
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In Tables 4 and 5, and Figures 13 and 14, we compare our system, which integrates collaborative filtering with demographic information (gender, age, and zip code), against other systems. These include a system that uses collaborative filtering with demographic information based solely on gender, a system that uses collaborative filtering with other demographic approaches, and a system based only on collaborative filtering. We evaluate the results using RMSE, MSE, MAE, and precision. The best outcomes are achieved when using the user's age, gender, and zip code, yielding values of 0.587 for RMSE, 0.345 for MSE, and 0.247 for MAE.

Table 4. The comparison of results of different approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The different approaches</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>MAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation based on CF only</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; user's Age</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; user's Gender</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; user's Gender &amp; Age</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; user's Gender &amp; Zipcode</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; user's Age &amp; Sexe Zip20K</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. The comparison of Precision@k results of different approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The different approaches</th>
<th>Precision@k=10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation based on CF only</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; user's Age</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; user's Gender</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; user's Gender &amp; Age</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; Age &amp; zip20k</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; Sexe Zip code</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF &amp; Age &amp; Sexe &amp; Zip20K</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. Precision@k When the gender is female

Figure 12. Precision@k when the gender is male

Figure 13. Comparison of MSE, RMSE and MAE of our system with others

Figure 14. Comparison of the precision@k=10 of our system with other systems
4. CONCLUSION

In this article, we propose a recommender system based on the collaborative filtering and demographic approach using age, gender, and zip code information; our system consists of 2 main modules: the demographic filtering module and the collaborative filtering module. In the first module, we cluster users using the k-means algorithm based on their demographic information; we then construct the users-items matrix of users from the same cluster and then apply collaborative filtering to recommend items to the users using the factorization matrix. We used the MovieLens dataset 100K for experiments, and the results demonstrate that RMSE, MAE, MSE, and Precision@k values improve when the number of used clusters increases. The best results are given when \( k=20 \). The main goal of this work is to improve the results of the collaborative filtering recommender system, recommend diverse items to the users, and enhance the precision of recommendation systems based on collaborative filtering. Our experiments in comparison with other works, show that the proposed approach gives better results than collaborative filtering.
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