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ABSTRACT

Optimal control of any variable speed wind turbine needs maximum power point tracking (MPPT) coupled to doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) for better power generation. This paper offers a novel direct power servo-speed control of wind turbine. This latter is based on DFIG optimal hysteresis MPPT inverter current control combined with space voltage modulation (SVM) inverter voltage technique, thus providing a stable and continuous energy flow to power grid. In this design, the asynchronous machine stator is directly connected to the grid. Bidirectional power converter, acting as frequency converter, is rotor circuit located. Rectifier supplies rotor windings with voltages and reference frequency resulting from control procedure of the power exchange between the stator and grid. Inverter is directly controlled by means of SVM technique to maintain direct current (DC) bus voltage constant. Simulation results show that the proposed configuration improves power converters efficiency due that rotor circuit needs less power than stator circuit which is injected into the grid.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Global challenge is launched today by governments, industrials and researchers to face energy crises due to world population and consumption growth, poor infrastructure and unexplored different renewable energy sources. In this context, they are working together to make use of several cleaner power forms, mainly wind energies, solar sources to produce electricity, hydropower which provides electrical energy by water movement, geothermal energy to produce electricity using very hot water of aquifers in earth subsoil, without forgetting energy of biomass which provides energy through plant organic matter or animal origin. Among these sources, after hydropower, wind power is the most important, fastest growing configurations in wind turbine market, and first promising renewable energy source due to power electronics technology development, plants control and optimization in last three decades [1].

Recently, wind energy turbines have experienced strong growth in many regions, particularly in Europe and the United States due to manufacturing reduction costs [1]–[4]. However, this energy is very fluctuating due to the high variability of wind speed which could negatively affect voltage and current quality injected to the three-phase grid.

Conversion of wind energy into electrical energy can be done using various types of electrical machines. In this context, variable speed wind turbine based on doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) large power supply is the most used due to robustness, ease of maintenance and control improvement of energy
efficiency due to its rotational speed adapted to wind movements [1], [5]–[10]. But there is a constant trade-off between equipment capital costs and gains in efficiency.

Carlin et al. [11] prepared the history and state of the art of variable-speed wind turbine technology, on April 2003. In his published book, in 2021 [12], Okedu states that, since 2000, important improvements have been made by developments of wind energy technology. In Blaabjerg and Chen’s research [13], due to the technological improvement of wind turbines from fixed speed to variable speed, DFIG has very attractive characteristics as a variable-speed wind turbine in the fast-growing market. The DFIG advantage is that the power electronic equipment based on two back-to-back pulse width modulation (PWM) power converters is only a fraction of the total power rating, that is, typically 20 to 30%. Therefore, its size, cost, and losses are much smaller compared to a full-size power converter. El-Sattar et al. [14] performed an analysis of DFIG variable speed wind turbine, taking into account different power converter capacities with 20%, 25% and 30% of the rated power. Therefore, the losses in the converter are lower compared to a system where the converter has to handle the full power leading to an improvement in the total efficiency. But, the DFIG system is found more stable for the 30% for the power converter capacity under network disturbance. Erlich et al. [15] proves that lower inverter rating results in lower losses and thus higher overall efficiency, and lower costs without grid faults effects on DFIG. In study [7], the losses are shown separately for the generator and for the insulated gate bipolar transistor (IGBT) inverters. Approximately 2% to 3% efficiency improvement reducing cost of DFIG SVM control can be obtained, compared to direct line adjustable speed generator, for rated power above 1.5 MW.

This paper offers a suitable space voltage modulation (SVM) combined with hysteresis maximum power point tracking (MPPT) servo-speed control showing improvement of voltage quality in term of harmonics content leading to better inverter efficiency. Comparatively to the previous works, the control power used is minimal compared to nominal DFIG power. This paper contains four sections as follows: after an introduction, in section 2, we present research method: DFIG based wind turbine energy conversion, chain control structure, DFIG dynamics modeling will be detailed. An overview of rotor side hysteresis MPPT control will be provided. Simulation results will be presented and discussed in section 3, to conclude the paper in section 4.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

It is important to apply the best control strategy of variable speed wind turbine for DFIG based wind energy conversion to regulate electromagnetic torque adjusting the mechanical speed for better power generation. Objective of this paper is to offer a novel direct power servo-speed control of wind turbine. This latter is based on DFIG optimal hysteresis MPPT inverter current control combined with SVM inverter voltage technique. This implementation provides stable and continuous power to three-phase electrical grid through direct current (DC)-link voltage, resistance-inductance (RL) filter and step-up transformer.

2.1. DFIG based wind turbine energy conversion

DFIG configuration variable speed wind turbine based on two controls systems; rotor side converter (RSC) and grid side converter (GSC), is shown in Figure 1. Chain consists of turbine, an adjusting rotating speed gearbox, DFIG power supply and two back-to-back PWM power converters linked by DC voltage stage connected to three-phase electrical grid through RL filter and three-phase step up transformer, where voltage levels are adjusted to match with grid.

In this design, double power supply refers to machine stator voltage connected directly to electrical grid and to rotor voltage supplied by two cascaded converters [16], [17]. Two variable frequency power converters, sized for generator nominal power fraction, are located in rotor circuit. Insertion of converters between rotor and grid feeds rotor windings with set point voltages and frequency received from control procedure of power exchange between stator and grid, to ensure transfer rotor power by controlling DC bus voltage keeping its level constant providing proper inverter working. Smoothing inductors inserted at inverter terminals allow significant current harmonics reduction. Despite presence of sliding contacts reducing slightly robustness in disturbance cases, majority of wind projects use asynchronous generator driven by rotor. This configuration presents an economical advantage with respect to power converters because power transmitted through rotor circuit is smaller than stator power [5]–[7].

2.2. Energy conversion chain control structure

Wind turbine drives DFIG at variable rotating speed through gearbox. DFIG stator is connected through a transformer to the electrical grid. Rotor is grid connected through three-phase current filter and two bidirectional static converters PWM control, cascaded across DC bus voltage [18], [19]. Major advantage of this wind power system lies in ensuring two voltage controls operation: i) inverter DFIG-RSC of regulated stator active and absorbed reactive powers and torque, ii) rectifier GSC supplies rotor windings with voltages and
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reference frequency received from control procedure of the power exchange between the stator and grid, and iii) inverter is directly controlled to keep fixed DC bus voltage.

2.3. DFIG Dynamics modeling

Wind turbine coupled to DFIG in Figure 2, is fitted with blades of length $R$ fixed to pitch angle $\beta$, running under wind speed $v_w$, providing wind kinetic power [20] $P_w$ in (1):

$$P_w = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^2 v_w^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\rho$: Air density, $P_w$ is converted to shaft mechanical power, driving DFIG through speed gain $G$ gearbox to produce electricity.

Turbine mechanical power $P_{Turb}$ extracted by wind speed is linked to $P_w$ by power coefficient $C_p$ [5]–[7]:

$$P_{Turb} = C_p \cdot P_w$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Aerodynamic power coefficient $C_p$, differs for each wind turbine having different blade lengths, depending on blades pitch angle $\beta_i$ and specific speed $\lambda$ defined as ratio of blade tip speed ($\Omega_{Turb}, R=$peripheral tangential speed) and wind speed $v_w$. $\Omega_{Turb}$: Turbine angular rotating speed. Power coefficient $C_p(\lambda, \beta_i)$ is often derived from practical measurements and expresses turbine efficiency to transform wind kinetic energy into mechanical energy. Whereas turbine mechanical power is (3):

$$P_{Turb} = \frac{1}{2} C_p(\lambda, \beta_i) \rho \pi R^2 v_w^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

From particular 1.5 MW rated power wind turbine study, we deduce nonlinear empirical function of speed ratio $\lambda$ for different blades pitch angles $\beta_i$ [5]:

$$C_p(\lambda, \beta_i) = (0.44 - 0.0167\beta_i) \sin(\pi \frac{\lambda - 3}{15 - 0.3\beta_i}) - 0.00184(\lambda - 3)\beta_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Figure 3 shows power characteristic curves of power coefficient $C_p(\lambda, \beta_i)$ expressed by (4). For a constant angle $\beta_i$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), we could keep speed ratio $\lambda$ constant and equal to optimal value $\lambda_{opt}$ at each instant, power captured by wind turbine would be maximum $P_{opt}$. This condition can only be verified with use of variable speed wind turbines, by exploiting characteristic curves maxima, which will be studied in the section 2.5.

2.3.1. DFIG model

DFIG model in d-q rotating reference frame is developed using Park’s transform for better dynamic behavior for: stator rotor voltages, electromagnetic torque and principle of constant stator flux and rotor current $I_{dq}$ control of DFIG oriented on Park frame axis [21]. Stator voltage frequency being imposed by electrical grid, rotor currents pulsation $\omega_r$ is given by (5):

![Figure 1. DFIG configuration variable speed wind turbine](image1)

![Figure 2. Wind turbine coupled to DFIG](image2)
\[ \omega_r = \omega_s - p \Omega \]  

where \( \omega_s \) is stator currents pulsation in (rad/s); \( \Omega \) is DFIG rotating speed; \( p \) is pole pairs number. Stator and rotor angles \( \theta_s \) and \( \theta_r \) are obtained respectively by integration of \( \omega_s \) and \( \omega_r \).

\[ \omega_r = \omega_s - p \Omega \]  

\[ \omega_{\text{rs}} = \omega_{\text{sr}} = \frac{1}{2} (\omega_s - \omega_r). \]  

2.3.2. DC bus regulation

DC bus electrical circuit is shown in Figure 4. Using Kirchhoff law, we establish in (6) and (7):

\[ \frac{dV_{\text{dc}}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} i_{\text{dc}}(t); \]  

(6)

\[ i_{\text{dc}} = i_1 - i_2 \]  

(7)

where \( i_1 \) is rectified current, \( i_2 \) is current to invert, \( i_{\text{dc}} \) is capacitor load current. DC bus voltage is obtained from current \( i_{\text{dc}} \) integration of relation (6) flowing in capacitor \( C \) to have rectified \( V_{\text{dc}} \).

\[ V_{\text{dc}} = \frac{1}{C} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} i_{\text{dc}} \, dt + V_{\text{dc}0} \]  

(8)

\( V_{\text{dc}0} = 0 \) V: initial voltage value.

Given DFIG power supplied fluctuations, DC bus voltage adjustment is required via regulation loop with conventional integral proportional IP corrector, unlike PI regulator; having advantage of not generating additional zeros in closed loop transfer function (CLTF) (a slow zero could decrease system dynamic performance) [22], [23]. DC link capacitor smooth DC voltage fluctuations and strengthen DC bus. This is important because any DC bus voltage ripple shows up as phase currents ripple, leading to torque ripple. Ultimately, we should have specification for DC bus maximum allowable voltage ripple under worst case conditions. Usually, this number ranges from 1 to 10%. It depends on maximum allowable torque ripple.

2.4. Rotor side MPPT optimized direct control

Optimum power characteristic curves of wind turbine are strongly nonlinear and bell-shaped as shown in power-speed plane of Figure 5. For each wind speed \( v_r \), system must extract maximum power \( P_{\text{opt}} \) described by nonlinear (9) to find optimum rotating speed \( \Omega_{\text{opt}} \) [5]:

\[ P_{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{2} C_p^{\text{opt}} (\lambda_{\text{opt}}) \rho S v_r^3 \]  

(9)

Wind power system ideal operation requires Figure 5 curve perfect tracking. To approach this goal, specific control strategy called maximum power point tracking MPPT corresponding to zone II must be used [24]. This strategy is to control electromagnetic torque to adjust turbine mechanical rotational speed for better power generation as explained in paragraphs here down.
2.4.1. Direct power servo-speed control

Technique without speed control is an open loop device. To improve dynamics response, direct power servo-speed control consists of using conventional proportional integral PI type regulator. This control strategy involves controlling electromagnetic torque on turbine shaft in order to adjust mechanical speed to maximize electrical power.

Wind fluctuating nature causes disturbances in wind energy conversion system and creates continuous power variations. Therefore, this strategy assumes that machine electromagnetic torque equals its reference $T_{em-ref}$ regardless power. This is achieved by using adequate servo-speed to have reference electromagnetic torque in (10):

$$T_{em-ref} = C_{ass}(\Omega_{ref} - \Omega_{mec})$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$C_{ass}$ is PI speed regulator. $\Omega_{ref}$ is Reference rotating speed. $\Omega_{mec}$ depends on turbine speed $\Omega_{Turb-ref}$ to be set in order to maximize extracted power:

$$\Omega_{ref} = G \cdot \Omega_{Turb-ref}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$\Omega_{Turb-ref}$ corresponds to optimum value of specific speed $\lambda_{opt}$. Maximum power coefficient $C_{p,max}$ can be deduced from speeds ratio defining specific speed $\lambda_{opt}$:

$$\Omega_{Turb-ref} = \frac{\lambda_{opt}V_w}{R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

DFIG servo-speed horizontal axis wind turbine MPPT closed loop control block diagram is shown in Figure 6, having inputs: blades pitch angle $\beta$, wind speed $V_w$ and electromagnetic torque $T_{em}$ supplied by generator:

2.4.2. SVM inverter voltage control

SVM control is different from all conventional modulation techniques in that the control signals are established taking into account the states of the three inverter arms at the same time. It consists in reconstructing the desired reference voltage vector from eight voltage vectors, during a modulation period by a sequence of adjacent zero vectors corresponding to the possible states of this 6 switches inverter. SVM is the most suitable modulation technique for variable speed drive applications of AC electrical machines via the three-phase inverter [25]. Unlike others methods, SVM relies on a digital algorithm to determine switching sequences for an output voltage vector that approaches the reference vector. The symmetry distribution of the conducting duration reduces the total harmonic distortion (THD). SVM is sophisticated because it eliminates the need for harmonic elimination filters.

2.4.3. Hysteresis inverter current control

Simplest implementation and quick response hysteresis control strategy imposes inverter insulated gate bipolar transistor IGBT switching to maintain measured phase current within fixed hysteresis band around reference current in Figure 7. Limits of switching band can be fixed type: fixed band hysteresis switching (FBHC) for choppers or sinusoidal band hysteresis switching (SBHC) for inverters, [26], [27]. Therefore, three static inverter switching conditions are defined in terms of logic states as follows:
$I_i$ ($i = a, b, c$) is actual stator phase currents ($I_a$, $I_b$, $I_c$), $I_{ref}$ is reference currents from three arms inverter control circuits. $h$ is chosen hysteresis band not to exceed permissible semiconductors switching frequency control to sufficiently minimize current harmonics. Hysteresis inverter action described in Figure 7 produces triangular pulse train current error waveform, and inverter output $U_{in}$, $U_{dc}$ being the inverter input.

![Figure 6. Block diagram of direct servo-speed control](image)

**Figure 6.** Block diagram of direct servo-speed control

![Figure 7. Hysteresis inverter current control block diagram](image)

**Figure 7.** Hysteresis inverter current control block diagram

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. DFIG simulation results and discussion

Horizontal axis three blades wind turbine and DFIG parameters are given in Table 1. Simulation results are obtained for critical case of smooth step wind speed profile $v_w$ varying from 8 to 12 m/s at 0.2 s of Figure 8(a). Specific speed lambda $\lambda$ in Figure 8(b), power coefficient $C_p$ in Figure 8(c), DFIG rotating speeds and DFIG electromagnetic torque $T_{em-DFIG}$ in Figures 8(d) and 8(e), DC link voltage without inverter in Figure 8(f). DFIG stator and rotor voltages and currents are represented in Figures 9(a), 9(b), 10(a), 10(b), 11(a), and 11(b).

In Figure 8(b) lambda reaches its optimal value 18.5 after 0.04 s. An attenuation of 6 units at 0.2 s is due to turbine and generator assembly inertia, to reach again its maximum 18.5 after 0.03 s. $C_p$ of Figure 8(c) reaches quickly its maximum 0.528, but decreases to 0.02 rapidly thereafter 0.178 s, to reach 0.45, smaller than previous value, to decrease again to value 0.018 and remain constant until 1.6 s. In Figure 8(d), at 0.2 s we notice respectively an increase 9.2 to 13.9, 40.55 to 61.1 and 81.5 to 122.2 rad/s speed variations well adapted to wind speed profile. Figure 8(e) shows $T_{em-DFIG}$ variation proportional to $w_{em-DFIG}$. At 0.2 s, we notice an increase 9.4 to 21.4 Nm tracking wind speed. $T_{em-DFIG}$ variation is well adapted to wind speed changes. Figure 8(f) shows DC bus voltage $V_{dc}$ without inverter proportional to wind speed increase. From 80 V at 0.2 s, $V_{dc}$ increase again to 420 V until 1.6 s, leading to instability.

---
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Table 1. Wind turbine and DFIG parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind turbine parameters</th>
<th>DFIG Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal power</td>
<td>( P_N = 1.5 \text{ MW} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air density</td>
<td>( \rho = 1.22 \text{ kg/m}^3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia angular moment</td>
<td>( J = 2 \text{ kg}.m^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction factor</td>
<td>( f = 0.061 \text{ Nm.s/rad} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch angle</td>
<td>( \beta = 1^\circ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal specific speed</td>
<td>( \lambda = 18.78 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum power factor</td>
<td>( C_p_{max} = 0.49 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind rotor radius</td>
<td>( R = 16 \text{ m} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplier gain</td>
<td>( G = 4.4 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Simulation results regarding the DFIG performance for a step wind speed change in,
(a) smooth step wind speed profile, (b) specific speed lambda, (c) power coefficient, (d) DFIG rotating speeds,
(e) DFIG Electromagnetic torque and its reference, and (f) DC link voltage without inverter

In Figures 9(a) and 9(b), from 0.2 s, we notice an increase 80 - 122.2 V (peak). DFIG stator three-phase voltage variation is well adapted to wind speed changing. In Figures 10(a) and 10(b), from 0.2 s, steady states DFIG rotor voltage of 60 V is reached. In Figures 11(a) and 11(b), at 0.2 s, an increase 2.6 to 6 A. Generator currents variation is well adapted to wind speed changing.
3.2. Grid side control and results discussion

In order to exchange controlled power, coupling wind power plant to grid must comply with the following constraints: frequency, amplitude and phase; synchronized by using phase-lock loop (PLL). Global wind energy production chain is connected to balanced voltage three-phase grid via PWM inverter and three-phase filter. Inverter role on GSC is to keep DC bus voltage constant whatever power magnitude and direction, generating loading current necessary for capacitor, particularly in starting phase by currents control transmitted to grid through RL filter. Inverter is controlled so as to impose references to phase-to-neutral voltages from DC bus voltage measurement, according to conventional closed loop grid link control [28]. Knowing that DFIG must supply or absorb power at unity factor for GSC, $I_{q_{ref}} = 0 \text{ A}$. Knowing that DFIG must neglect magnetizing current only from stator, $I_{d_{ref}} = 0 \text{ A}$. DC voltage reference is regulated to nominal value $V_{dc}$. Rotor reference speed $\Omega_{ref}$ is determined according to MPPT energy extraction. The details of the methodology used are given below.

![DFIG Stator Three-phase Voltage](image1)

Figure 9. Simulation results for DFIG stator voltage in, (a) three-phase voltage and, (b) Zoom of (a) (0.1 to 0.4 s)

![DFIG Rotor Three-phase Voltage](image2)

Figure 10. Simulation results for DFIG rotor voltage in, (a) three-phase voltage and (b) zoom of (a) (0.1 to 0.4 s)

![DFIG Rotor Three-phase Current](image3)

Figure 11. Simulation results for DFIG stator current in, (a) three-phase current and (b) zoom of (a) (0.1 to 0.4 s)
3.2.1. Grid side currents control

Direct I_{dq} and quadratic currents I_{sq} controlled were obtained from grid link model inversion by Park's transform. It includes three specific actions:

- Transformer secondary voltage compensation:

\[
\begin{align*}
    e_{q,\text{est}} &= L_r \cdot \omega_s \cdot i_q \\
    e_{d,\text{est}} &= L_r \cdot \omega_s \cdot i_d
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

- Decoupling d and q axis action:

\[
\begin{align*}
    U_{md,\text{reg}} &= U_{bd,\text{ref}} - e_{q,\text{est}} + u_{pd,\text{mes}} \\
    U_{mq,\text{reg}} &= U_{bd,\text{ref}} - e_{d,\text{est}} + u_{pq,\text{mes}}
\end{align*}
\]

(14)

- Closed loop currents control using C_{reg} regulator:

\[
\begin{align*}
    U_{bd,\text{ref}} &= C_i \cdot (i_{td,\text{ref}} - i_{td,\text{mes}}) \\
    U_{bq,\text{ref}} &= C_i \cdot (i_{eq,\text{ref}} - i_{eq,\text{mes}})
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

3.2.2. Power regulation

Regulated active and reactive powers controlled are given by Park's model leading to relations (16):

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= v_{pd,\text{td}} \cdot i_{td} + v_{pq,\text{td}} \cdot i_{q}\[td]
Q &= v_{pq,\text{td}} \cdot i_{pd} - v_{pd,\text{td}} \cdot i_{eq}
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

By inverting relations (16), it is then possible to impose direct and quadratic reference currents (17) for active and reactive powers:

\[
\begin{align*}
i_{td,\text{ref}} &= \frac{p_{\text{ref}} \cdot v_{pd,\text{mes}} + q_{\text{ref}} \cdot v_{pq,\text{mes}}}{v_{pd,\text{mes}}^2 + v_{pq,\text{mes}}^2} \\
i_{eq,\text{ref}} &= \frac{q_{\text{ref}} \cdot v_{pd,\text{mes}} - p_{\text{ref}} \cdot v_{pq,\text{mes}}}{v_{pd,\text{mes}}^2 + v_{pq,\text{mes}}^2}
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

3.2.3. Power transits control to grid

Power stored in capacitor and therefore DC bus voltages are controlled. By neglecting inverter and filter capacitor losses compared to power transmitted to grid, we need available power supplied by rectifier. If we neglect inverter loss, therefore RSC power:

\[
P_{\text{dc,mac}} = U_r \cdot I_{m,\text{mac}}
\]

(18)

Power stored in capacitor dissipated in DC bus, is noted P_{\text{loss-condens}}. Rest of power is expressed by (19):

\[
P_{\text{dc-rec-ref}} = P_{\text{dc,mac}} - P_{\text{loss-condens}} - P_{\text{condens-ref}}
\]

(19)

It is therefore possible to impose necessary capacitive current by adjusting power transits to grid.

Regarding the servo-speed, for the same smooth-scale wind speed profile, see Figure 8(a), we observe the controlled DFIG active P, see Figure 12(a), reactive power Q, see Figure 12(b), quadratic axis current iq, see Figure 12(c), direct axis current id, see Figure 12(d), DC link voltage over 1.6 s period, see Figure 12(e), inverter three-phase currents, see Figures 13(a), 13(b)) and inverter voltages, see Figures 14(a), 14(b). Controlled active P and reactive Q powers are shown respectively in Figures 12(b) and 12(c): P is superposed to its reference P_{\text{ref}}. It starts increasing with a peak of 240 W at t=0.2 s to reach a low steady state value of 100 W. This shows that the control power used is minimal compared to that of the nominal DFIG: P = 100 W/P_{n}= 7500 W = 1.33%. Q oscillates around its reference set to average Q_{\text{ref}} = 0 VAR for unity PF to optimize the generated energy. In Figures 12(d) and 12(e), DFIG direct I_d and quadratic I_q currents: I_q is superposed to its reference. Start increasing at 0.2 s with a peak of 5 A to reach steady states value of 2 A. At start-up, current I_d reaches transient state value of 4 A to return to zero as Q. DC bus voltage regulation is shown in Figure 12(e) with an overshoot of 11.2% at t=0.91 s. The bus reaches its reference value V_{\text{dc-ref}}=180 V, settling time t_s=260 ms, with low oscillations superposed on its reference voltage.
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Figure 12. Simulation results for DFIG and DC link in; (a) active power and its reference, (b) reactive power and its reference, (c) direct current and its reference (d) quadratic current and its reference, and (e) DC link voltage and its reference

Figure 13. Simulation results for inverter current in; (a) three-phase and (b) zoom of “a” (0.6 to 0.7 s)
Figures 13(a) and 13(b) show inverter three-phase current I-inverter. I-inverter increases after 0.2 s, to reach steady states value of 2 A; showing current harmonic distortion. Unlike, three-phase voltage V-inverter showed in Figures 14(a) and 14(b) remain constant at maximum value of 50 V despite wind speed changing.

![Inverter three-phase voltage](image1)

![Zoomed Three-phase Inverter Voltage](image2)

Figure 14. Simulation results for inverter voltage: (a) three-phase voltage and (b) zoom of “a” (0.1 to 0.2 s)

4. CONCLUSION

From DFIG and grid side control results, servo-speed direct control is more efficient in static and dynamic states tracking leading to wind turbine efficiency, compared to indirect MPPT speed control. DFIG rotor current is flexibly controlled, reducing mechanical stress with low power consumption compared to DFIG rated value. This work may be a good basis for building practical DFIG wind turbine small-scale bench. Improvement of power electronics designs and costs reduction of manufacture, the balance may tilt in the favor of DFIG variable speed wind turbine. This technology could come to dominate the future of wind energy technology.
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