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#### Abstract

Recentlty, cryptography makes extensive use of different fields including bioinformatics. The fundamental idea behind the cipher presented here is to transform any kind of binary message; such as text, sound tracks, and even images, into the form of a single-stranded DNA sequence. Subsequently, digraphs of codon triplets are encrypted using a grid of $8 \times 8$ codon matrix that is randomly constructed according to some secret key. Although the encryption/decryption rules were kept almost the same as the classical $5 \times 5$ Playfair, using the DNA encoding step makes it almost impossible for an attacker to perform a frequency analysis on that vast number of character digraphs. Furthermore, an interweaving step is added to scramble the encrypted sequence offering more randomness. When compared with other modifications of the Playfair cipher, the proposed method showed a number of advantages including the ability to cipher any type of digital media, the elimination of plain-text preprocessing step, and the applicability to be integrated into larger security systems such as DNA steganography. Furthermore, due to the very weak correlation between cipher-data and original message, the proposed method shows a strong robustness against cipher attacks.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cryptography is known as the practice and study of techniques and protocols for secure communication in the presence of third parties. As shown in figure 1, encryption is the process of converting ordinary information (called plaintext) into incomprehensible gibberish (called ciphertext) [1]. The reverse operation is decryption, in which the received unintelligible ciphertext is converted back to plaintext. In this context, the algorithms that create the encryption/decryption pair are usually called cipher. The detailed operation of a cipher is not only controlled by the algorithms, but also by a secret parameter called the key. For a specific message exchange context with complex modern cryptographic algorithms and a key known only to the communicants, it is practically hard for an adversary to break them by any known practical means.

The main classical cipher types are transposition ciphers, which rearrange the order of letters in a message and substitution ciphers, which systematically replace letters or groups of letters with other letters or groups of letters. An example of this type of cipher is the Caesar cipher, in which each letter in the plaintext was replaced by a letter some fixed number of positions further down the alphabet.


Figure 1. The encryption and decryption processes of a cipher

Modern cryptography algorithms are divided into two main categories: Symmetric-key and publickey. Symmetric-key cryptography refers to encryption methods in which both the sender and receiver share the same key. On contrast, in public-key cryptography two different but mathematically related keys are used-a public key that may be freely distributed and a private key that must remain secret [2].

The Playfair cipher is a symmetric substitution cipher which encrypts pairs of letters (digraphs). This technique uses a 5 by 5 table that is actually constructed using a key word and the ciphering process can be carried out according to few simple rules. Though the relative simplicity of the Playfair system, it is significantly hard to break with the frequency analysis used for simple monographs substitution ciphers. However, it still limits the plaintext to be formed of alphabets without punctuation, or even numerical values. In addition, the plain-text needs to be thoroughly preprocessed to remove spaces and handle any double-letter digraphs. This can make the decrypted text hard to read especially for long cipher-texts.


Figure 2. The general scheme of the proposed ciphering system

Recent research in cryptography provided some novel ways to enhance the security of the playfair cipher. An extended $8 x 8$ playfair cipher was proposed in[3]. The proposed system was able to encrypt alphabets, numbers, and some special characters. Spaces and duplicate characters are handled using the symbols | and $\wedge$ respectively. Yet another modification appeared in [4] with a strong new cipher based on the ASCII codes of characters. However; in both cases, the proposed 64 grids were not enough to include all the keys on a standard keyboard. Another generalized 8x16 Playfair cipher was introduced in [5], which considered the 128 ASCII characters instead of the 26 characters of the English language. More research efforts are still devoted to overcome these drawbacks in the Playfair cipher. One of these trends is DNAbased cryptography. Examples of these algorithms include the "Yet Another Encryption Algorithm" (YAEA) developed by Amin et. al. [6] and an amino-acid implementation of the palyfair cipher by [7]. However, the solution suggested by the authors was complicated due to the introduction of what they called ambiguity-bits. These bits are actually added to the ciphered text in order to allow the decryption process to be carried out correctly. Furthermore, they still suffer from the plain-text preprocessing step.

In this paper, we propose a novel enhancement over the classical Playfair cipher such that any kind of binary data can be encrypted using the same rules of the $5 x 5$ playfair cipher. The proposed technique allows cryptography to make extensive use of some concepts from the field of Bioinformatics. More specifically, both the plain- and cipher- texts will be treated as sequences of DNA. These specially encoded sequences will be encrypted and decrypted using a novel codon-based $8 x 8$ playfair cipher. the main steps of the proposed ciphering system is depicted in figure 2.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II gives a quick overview on some basic facts and terminology that are crucial to the understanding of communing sections. In section III, we illustrate the details of the encryption/decryption processes of the proposed cipher. Section IV gives a through cryptanalysis followed by a comparative study with other similar techniques. Finally in section VI we conclude.

## 2. DNA PRELIMINARIES

DNA is a double stranded structure whose building blocks are called nucleotides or bases. There are only four kinds of bases: adenine (A), guanine (G), thymine (T) and cytosine (C). Hence, a sequence of DNA base pairs can be represented as a string made of these four characters i.e. <AAGTCGATCGATCATCGA>. This "genetic code" is read and eventually translated by the cellular machinery to form proteins in a long and complex process called Central Dogma [8]. The code is read and transcribed from the DNA into messenger RNA (m-RNA) three bases at a time. Each three adjacent mRNA bases (C, A, U, G) bases form a single unit known as a codon. This triplet code allows for a total of $4 \times 4 \times 4$ or 64 different codons that are mapped to 20 different amino acids (the building blocks of proteins).


Figure 3. The Amino Acid/codons table

This means that some amino acids are coded for by more than one codon in a feature called degeneracy [8]. As shown in figure 3, the three-letter abbreviations such as "Phe" and "Leu" actually indicate the types of amino acid molecules

Therefore; from a computational point of view, DNA can be viewed as a coding medium. That is, it is convenient to adopt some coding rule to convert the DNA string of bases into binary form and vice versa. Figure 4 shows one of these rules that actually maps each base to a 2 -digit binary number. That is, the bases A; C; G and T are mapped into $00,01,10$, and 11 respectively. Similarly, the same rules can be applied on RNA sequences where the ( T ) nucleotide is replaced by the ( U ). These rules actually form the basis for the ciphering algorithm as will be illustrated shortly.

|  | Base <br>  <br>  <br> AAGTCGATCGATCA <br> 0000101101100011011000111000 | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | 01 |
|  | G | 10 |

Figure 4. Digital coding of DNA bases

## 3. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

As we mentioned before, the proposed ciphering technique provides a novel perspective on the extended $8 * 8$ playfair cipher based on the properties of DNA and amino acid codons. As shown in figure 2, the proposed method starts with a DNA-encoding step followed by the construction of the substitution matrix that will be used later to apply the $8 x 8$ Playfair cipher. Furthermore, we added an interweaving step to further strengthen the security of the cipher.

Obviously, the decryption process carries out the inverse of the steps in the encryption process. That is, the binary message is encoded first into a single stranded DNA sequence. Afterwards, the inverse of the interweaving process is carried out to reveal the contents of the encrypted sequence. The nucleotides of the encrypted sequence are then grouped into codon triplets and decrypted using the $8 \times 8$ grid of codons that is constructed based on the secret key. Eventually, the contents of the original data can be disclosed by transforming the decrypted nucleotides into their binary form.

### 3.1. Encoding Plaintext into DNA Strands

The proposed method should start with an encoding step. In this step, the secret message M is converted for its binary form (representing any kind of media) into a single-stranded chain of DNA nucleotides based on some rule such as the one shown in Figure 3. For example, the message "Meet me @ 3:30" becomes the sequence CAT CCG CCC GCC CTC AAG AAC GTC CGC CAG AAC AAA AGA AAT ATA TGG ATA TAT AA. Of course, this encoding function is reversible and its inverse can be used to recover the original bit stream from the encoded DNA strand.

| GGG | CCA | GAT | ACG | GTA | TGG | ATA | GCT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCG | AAG | AAA | CCT | TGT | CGT | CGA | GAC |
| GGA | AGA | CGC | ACA | GCA | ACC | CAC | CTC |
| TTT | CCG | GAG | TAC | TTA | GTT | GGC | AAT |
| CTG | ACT | CAA | TGA | AAC | GTC | GGT | TTC |
| GTG | TCG | CAT | TCT | TTG | ATG | AGC | TGC |
| AGG | TCA | CGG | CTT | AGT | CTA | ATT | CAG |
| GAA | ATC | GCC | TAG | CCC | TCC | TAT | TAA |

Figure 5. Resultant 8x8 matrix of codons when EgyRev@25Jan used as a cipher key

### 3.2. Random Shuffling of the Substitution Matrix:

In this step, we have to prepare the 8 x 8 matrix that will be used during the encryption step. However, unlike the classical playfair cipher, this matrix consists of 64 spots filled with codons. In addition, using a specific key, the matrix should be constructed in some unique pattern. One way to do this is to randomly scatter the codons across the grid using a random permutation function whose seed value depends on the cipher key. In this case, the key can be formed of any combination of characters where there is no need to apply any preprocessing on the key such as removing spaces or dropping any duplicate letters. For example, using EgyRev@25Jan as the cipher key will construct the $8 \times 8$ matrix of codons shown in figure 5:

### 3.3. The 8x8 Playfair Encryption:

Now, the encoded sequence is regarded as codon triplets that will be substituted using the 8 x 8 matrix in twos or digraphs. In fact, the rules of palyfair cipher will still be applied to each pair of codons in the DNA sequence. That is, each two codons of the digraph are considered as the opposite corners of a rectangle in the substitution matrix and are replaced according to the following rules:

1) If the codons appear on the same row of the table, replace them with the codons to their immediate right respectively. Wrap around to the left side of the row if a codon in the original pair was on the right side of the row.
2) If the codons appear on the same column of the table, replace them with the codons immediately below respectively. Wrap around to the top side of the column if a codon in the original pair was on the bottom side of the column.
3) If the codons are not on the same row or column, replace them with the codons on the same row respectively but at the other pair of corners of the rectangle defined by the original pair. Notice that the order is important, i.e. the first codon of the encrypted pair is the one that lies on the same row as the first codon of the plaintext pair.

Following the above rules, the message "Meet me @ 3:30" is encrypted into GAG TCG TCC TAG GAC AGA GTC GGT CGG CTC TGT CAA CCG CTC GCT ATA CGA ATA AA. Notice that since the final digraph is incomplete, we choose to excluded it from encryption and append it as it is to the end of the encrypted sequence.

### 3.4. The Interweaving Process:

We suggest this step to further randomize the encrypted sequence for the sake of better security. The interweaving process follows the same steps suggested in [5]. In fact, the process of interweaving is based on representing data in the form of a matrix. In this context, the encrypted sequence can be viewed as a matrix whose dimensions actually depend on the length of the sequence. The interweaving process starts with applying a circular rotation in the upward direction on the first column of the matrix. This is followed by a left circular rotation on the first row of the matrix. Similarly, circular rotations are applied on the second column and second row respectively. The column-row consecutive rotations continue until all columns and rows are rotated.

Here, we suggest the matrix to be constructed (in a column-wise manner) from the sequence in reverse order. That is, the above encrypted sequence will be represented as follows:
$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}A & C & C & A & C & C & A \\ A & A & T & C & G & T & G \\ A & T & C & T & G & G & G \\ T & A & G & G & C & A & A \\ A & T & C & T & T & G & T \\ A & C & C & C & G & A & C \\ G & G & A & T & G & C & C\end{array}\right]$

Applying the interweaving process on the above matrix results in:
$\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}C & A & C & G & T & G & A \\ T & T & C & G & G & G & T \\ A & G & T & G & A & A & A \\ T & C & T & C & T & T & A \\ C & C & C & G & G & A & G \\ G & A & T & G & C & C & C \\ C & A & C & C & A & A & A\end{array}\right]$

Notice that we suggested using a square matrix; however the same procedure can still be applied on any dimensions. Hence, eventually the encrypted sequence will be:

## CTATCGCATGCCAACCTTCTCGGGCGGCTGATGCAGGATACAATAAGCATGCTGAG

## 4. CRYPTANALYSIS

There are no absolute proofs that a cryptographic technique is secure, however, a technique is considered secure if it is practically hard for an attacker to crack its implementations. In fact, cryptanalysis of the playfair cipher is much more difficult than normal simple substitution ciphers, because digraphs (pairs of letters) are being substituted instead of monographs (single letters). If we use frequency analysis of English digraphs, we can use this information in the same way as we used the monograph frequencies; however, there are $\sim 600$ digraphs and only 26 monographs. That is, on an average, the probability of occurrence of any particular element in $5 * 5$ Playfair matrix is $1 / 26=0.0384$, whereas the probability of occurrence of an element in $8 * 8$ playfair matrix is $1 / 64=0.0156$, making the frequency analysis a tougher job.

Furthermore, there are some simple facts that make the classical Playfair cipher easy predictable by the attacker. For example, a Playfair digraph and its reverse (e.g. AB and BA) will decrypt to the same letter pattern in the plaintext. In addition, the cipher message contains an even number of letters that will never contain a double-letter digraph (e.g. EE). Matching this pattern to a list of known plaintext words is an easy way to generate possible plaintext strings with which to begin constructing the key [9].

However, the playfair cipher proposed in this paper actually broke that tight link with the English alphabets and symbols. As we discussed, any data can be eventually represented as a binary string which is then encoded into DNA codons and the encryption process can be done in the DNA domain without any direct association to the original data. For example, in the case of textual messages, each character is actually converted to the 8 -bit representation of its ASCII code. And since each DNA codon consists of three nucleotides, it will be coded using only 6 bits. This means that a digraph of codons will represent only $75 \%$ of its matching character digraph. This irregular mapping makes it almost impossible to infer any statistical significance between digraphs in plaintext and ciphertext even if the length of the message is long enough. In addition, the binary coding step can be done in $4!=24$ different ways since there are 4 nucleotides. Therefore, there will be fewer clues for an attacker to positively confirm that the method of encryption is Playfair.

Two more features of the proposed cipher contribute directly in it strength against attacks. That is, the substitution process is followed by an interweaving process which will thoroughly mix the encrypted sequence at nucleotide level. Furthermore, the proposed method doesn't use the key directly to fill in the $8 x 8$ grid. Instead, a hashed value of the key is used as the seed value for a random permutation function to construct the grid accordingly. Keeping the details of this function as a secret will definitely increase the security of the cipher. In conclusion, the time required for an attacker to guess all of these combinations is enormously large making this cipher practically difficult to crack with a brute force attack.

## 5. COMPARATIVE STUDY

Table 1 summarizes and compares the main features of the published different versions of the Playfair cipher. Three modifications were made on the classical 5x5 Playfair. Two of them [7] and the one presented here) are actually inspired by ideas in bioinformatics. The third one [3] basically sticks on the textual nature of the encrypted messages. According to the points listed in table 1, the proposed algorithm seems to overcome all of the problems inherent in the classical $5 x 5$ cipher. In addition, it succeeded to provide the right solutions for all the shortcomings in the other algorithms.

Table 1. A Comparison between Different Playfair-Based Ciphering Methods.

| Criterion | The classical | Amino Acid based [4] | The extended [3] | Interwining-based [5] | The proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Secret data | Letters A-Z (except J) | - Letters (upper or lower case) <br> - numerals <br> - all special characters | - Letters (A-Z) <br> - Numerals (0-9) <br> - Some special characters | 128 ASCII characters | Any form of binary data (text, sound, image, etc) |
| Grid size | 5x5 | 5x5 | 8x8 | $8 \times 16$ | 8x8 |
| Plaintext preprocessing | $\checkmark$ Remove all J's, spaces, punctuation, or other characters <br> $\checkmark$ Use an x to stuff between double letters <br> $\checkmark$ (if necessary) Append an 'x' to the to make it even |  | $\checkmark$ Replace spaces with $\mid$ <br> $\checkmark$ Use $\wedge$ for stuffing between double alphabets <br> $\checkmark$ (if necessary) Use $\wedge$ at the end to get the last alphabet in pair | Not specified | Not required |
| Key | English Letters without any number or special characters |  | Any combination of characters |  |  |
| Length of ciphertext | Even, same as processed plaintext | Even, $33 \%$ longer than plaintext because of ambiguity bits | Even, same as processed plaintext |  | Not necessarily even |
| Form of ciphertext | Textual with no spaces, no double letters, nor special characters | Can be represented as text, binary form, DNA string, or Amino Acid characters | Textual or binary | Textual | same as original data, binary or as a DNA sequence |
| Advantages | $\checkmark$ Simple <br> $\checkmark \quad$ Easy to trace manually using paper and pencil | Innovatively used amino acids as a means of representation <br> $\checkmark \quad$ Can be integrated in a biological DNA encryption process using one time pad or substitution. | $\checkmark \quad$ very strong cipher <br> $\checkmark \quad$ very effective for areas with low bandwidth or very less memory storage <br> $\checkmark \quad$ increased randomness using LFSR <br> $\checkmark \quad$ An extended allowed character set. | Strong due to iteration, interwining, and interweaving. exhibits strong avalanche effect <br> $\checkmark \quad$ An extended allowed character set | Robust against cryptanalytic attacks <br> Same rules as traditional playfair, but different outcome with much less correlation between plainand ciphered-data. <br> The same technique can be applied on all kinds of binary data. <br> Can be combined with DNA steganography techniques (A. Atito, A. Khalifa and S. Reda, 2011) |
| Disadvantages | Requires a lot of preprocessing sometimes decrypted text is very hard to read due to limited letters allowed. | $\checkmark$ Requires a lot of preprocessing <br> $\checkmark$ Added ambiguity bits <br> $\checkmark \quad$ Inaccurate decrypted text | $\checkmark \quad$ Suitable only for textual data <br> $\checkmark \quad$ Still requires preprocessing | $\checkmark \quad$ Suitable only for textual data |  |

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper proposed a novel implementation of the Playfair cipher using an $8 \times 8$ matrix based on the 64 DNA codon table. This enhancement was introduced to overcome the problems inherent in the classical 5x5 Playfair cipher. One great advantage over the classical Playfair; which works only on English letters, the proposed algorithm is able to cipher any kind of digital messages such as text, sound, images, etc. In addition, it doesn't require any pre-processing for the plain-text resulting in a complete and precise decrypted message. Furthermore, the cipher-text can be represented either in a variety of formats including binary and DNA. In this way, it can be considered a step in a longer and more complicated process such as Information hiding in DNA sequences.

A through cryptanalysis showed that the proposed cipher is practically difficult to crack with a brute force attack. That is, encoding binary messages into DNA codons provides irregular mapping between corresponding character digraphs. Furthermore, applying an interweaving step on the substituted sequence increases the security of the technique. Therefore, carrying a frequency analysis by an attacker would be nearly impossible especially with fewer clues leading to the Playfair cipher.
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