# Performance Analysis of No Reference Image Quality Based on Human Perception

\*Subrahmanyam. Ch, \*\* D. Venkata Rao, \*\*\* N. Usha Rani

\*\*\*\* School of Electronics, Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research University Vadlamudi, Guntur Dist, India
\*\* Narasaraopeta Institute of Engineeing & Technology, Narasaraopeta, Guntur Dist, India

# Article Info

# ABSTRACT

In this work, a No-Reference objective image quality assessment based on Article history: NRDPF-IQA metric and classification based metric are tested using LIVE Received Oct 1, 2014 database, which consisting of Gaussian white noise, Gaussian blur, Rayleigh Revised Oct 31, 2014 fast fading channel, JPEG compressed images, JPEG2000 images. We plot Accepted Nov 17, 2014 the Spearman's Rank Order Correlation Coefficient [SROCC] between each of these features and human DMOS from the LIVE-IQA database using our proposed method to ascertain how well the features correlate with human Keyword: judgement quality. The analysis of the testing and training is done by SVM model. The proposed method shows better results compared with the earlier DMOS methods. Finally, the results are generated by using MATLAB. JPEG2000 No-Reference SROCC Copyright © 2014 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science. SVM All rights reserved. Corresponding Author:

Subrahmanyam. Ch, Research Scholar, School of Electronics, Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research University Vadlamudi, Guntur Dist, India. E-mail: subrahmanyamch2002@gmail.com

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Interest in the measurement of visual quality can be dated back to times when interest in quality assessment was primarily based on display applications. But as time progressed and so did the prevalance of imaging in multifarious applications, 'Quality' got defined in different ways depending on application for which it was defined [2, 4, 15]. Image acquisiton engineers dealing with applications like laser range scanning focused on imaging system aspects when they gauged quality; printer engineers focused on tone, color assessment and fundamental printing attributes, such as area and line quality. In contrast, medical imaging researchers related it with the clarity with which they could detect malfunctions or diseases in body from captured images, for example tumours and cancers from X-Ray images [5, 8]. However, for the scope of our current work, we are interested mainly on digital multimedia applications targeted for entertainment applications. Advancement in multimedia technologies have brought a host of devices to capture, compress, send and display different kinds of audiovisual stimulations. Great efforts have been devoted by developers working in 2D image and video transmission industry to guarantee end user a satisfactory quality of experience, being most salient for design and deployment of any multimedia service [2, 7, 14]. Perceptual optimization of multimedia services looks promising in current era of bandwidth famine coupled with increased multimedia traffic so as to provide similar quality of service to consumer. In other words, objective function while finding optimum configuration of multimedia framework can incorporate Quality of Experience as an additional term. A service network codes the produced audiovisual content to transmit it over communication channels to the consumer [15]. Various distortions due to compression, channel noise, packet loss etc are introduced in the signal from this chain of operations from content development till

**D** 939

transmission. These distortions in visual stimuli, when perceptible, mar the viewing experience and hence reduction in perceived visual quality. The reduced quality of distorted stimuli can be judged by conducting large-scale human *subjective* studies where human observers are asked to quantify quality of stimulus shown on a fixed scale [14, 15]. However, this kind of human assessment is time, effort and cost expensive; engendering the need to design algorithms capable of duplicating and hence eliminating human involvement altogether. These designed objective quality indices can then be utilized for multifarious applications including but not limited to optimum pre-filtering and bit assignment algorithm design at encoder side; optimal reconstruction, error concealment and post-filtering at decoder side and benchmarking of image and video processing systems.

#### 2. RESEARCH METHOD

The approach for the NRDPF- IQA (No Reference Distortion Patch Feature Image Quality Analysis) that we have developed can be summarized as follows. Given a (possibly distorted) image, first compute locally normalized luminance via local mean subtraction and divisive normalization. The following are the equations to to applied to a given intensity image [15].

$$H: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} + 1) - \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$$

$$\tag{1}$$

$$V: \nabla_{v} I(i, j) = I(i + 1, j) - I(i, j)$$
(2)

$$MD: \nabla_{xy} I(i, j) = I(i + 1, j + 1) - I(i, j)$$
(3)

$$SD: \nabla_{vx} I(i,j) = I(i+1,j-1) - I(i,j)$$
 (4)

$$HV: \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i} - 1, \mathbf{j}) + \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i} + 1, \mathbf{j}) - \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} - 1) - \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} + 1)$$
(5)

$$CD_1: \nabla_{cx} \nabla_{cy} I(i,j)_1 = I(i,j) + I(i+1,j+1) - I(i,j+1) - I(i+1,j)$$
(6)

$$CD_2: \nabla_{cx} \nabla_{cy} I(i,j)_2 = I(i-1,j-1) + I(i+1,j+1) - I(i-1,j+1) - I(i+1,j-1)$$
(7)

$$J(i,j) = \log \left[ I(i,j) + K \right]$$
(8)

$$D_1: \nabla_x J(i, j) = J(i, j+1) - J(i, j)$$
(9)

$$D_2: \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} J(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = J(\mathbf{i} + 1, \mathbf{j}) - J(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$$
(10)

$$D_3: \nabla_{xv} J(i, j) = J(i+1, j+1) - J(i, j)$$
(11)

$$D_4: \nabla_{vx} J(i,j) = J(i+1,j-1) - J(i,j)$$
(12)

$$D_5: \nabla_x \nabla_y = J(i-1,j) + J(i+1,j) - J(i,j-1) - J(i,j+1)$$
(13)

$$D_6: \nabla_{cx} \nabla_{cy} J(i,j)_1 = J(i,j) + J(i+1,j+1) - J(i,j+1) - J(i+1,j)$$
(14)

$$D_7: \nabla_{cx} \nabla_{cy} J(i,j)_2 = J(i-1,j-1) + J(i+1,j+1) - J(i-1,j+1) - J(i+1,j-1)$$
(15)

The equations from (1) to (15) represent the features of the distortion patches. It also observed that the normalized luminance values strongly tend towards a unit normal Gaussian characteristic for images. Compute the MATLAB program for the equations from (1) to (15).

$$\hat{I}(i,j) = \frac{I(i,j) - \mu(i,j)}{\sigma(i,j) + C}$$
(16)

$$\mu(i,j) = \sum_{k=-K}^{K} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} \omega_{k,l} I_{k,l} I(i,j)$$
(17)

# IJECE

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=-K}^{K} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} \omega_{k,l} \left( I_{k,l} I(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}) - \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}) \right)^2}$$
(18)

$$f(x;\alpha,\sigma^2) = \frac{\alpha}{2\beta\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)} exp(-(\frac{|x|}{\beta})^{\alpha})$$
(19)

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \alpha \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(1/\alpha)}{\Gamma(3/\alpha)}}$$
(20)

 $\Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty t^{a-1} \ e^{-t} dt \ a > 0$ (21)

$$H(i,j) = \hat{I}(i,j)\hat{I}(i,j+1)$$
(22)

$$V(i,j) = \hat{I}(i,j)\hat{I}(i+1,j)$$
(23)

$$D1(i,j) = \hat{I}(i,j)\hat{I}(i+1,j+1)$$
(24)

$$D2(i,j) = \hat{I}(i,j)\hat{I}(i+1,j-1) \text{ for } i \in \{1,2,...,M\} \text{ and } j \in \{1,2,...,N\}$$
(25)

The NRDPF-IQA algorithm is designed for this image quality assessment purpose. The MATLAB code is developed for entire equations from (16) to (25).

#### 3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

While we are using a probabilistic framework for distortion classification where we use the probability of an image being distorted with a particular distortion, but just as a proof of how good the featues used in the framework act as distortion identifiers and also which distortions are misclassified with which ones, we are reporting the confusion matrix for first stage classification. We would like to point out that each entry in the confusion matrix is the mean of confusions across 1000 trials. We can see from database that fast fading and JPEG2000 are confused with each other. Also, JPEG2000 and JPEG are also confused sometimes. WN and Blur are comparatively more robust in detection and not confused usually with other distortions.



Figure 1. Images from (a) to (g) and (h) to (n) are consider for the testing by SVM

As we computed have correlations for each algorithm over 1000 traintest trials, we find mean SROCC value and the standard error associated with these correlation values. We plot the same across the dataset along with error bars one standard deviation wide for each of the evaluated algorithms.

Performance Analysis of No Reference Image quality based on Human Perception (Subrahmanyam. Ch)

Table 1. Median spearman rank ordered correlation coefficient (srocc) across 1000 train-test combinations on the live iga database for different window sizes bold indicate proposed algorithm

le live iqa	ualabase 101	umerent	willdow sizes	bold male	ale propose	u algorium
K,L	JPEG2000	JPEG	WN	Blur	FF	ALL
4	0.9820	0.9803	0.9798	0.9605	0.9401	0.9489
5	0.9663	0.9715	0.9762	0.9597	0.9315	0.9461
6	0.9641	0.9642	0.9686	0.9497	0.9248	0.9419
7	0.9548	0.9618	0.9618	0.9427	0.9231	0.9345
8	0.9531	0.9512	0.9514	0.9329	0.9126	0.9258
9	0.9418	0.9465	0.9501	0.9301	0.9103	0.9186



Figure 2. QQ plot of sample data versus Standard Normal IQA

## 4. CONCLUSION

We proposed a No reference image based quality assessment model NRDPF-IQA which performs quality assessment of an image with out any information from distortion image. No distortion specific features such as ringing blur or blocking has been modeled in the algorithm in specific. The algorithm only quantifies the blind in the image due to presence of distortions. The designed framework is spatial domain, human perception based, simpler and faster which makes it superior to other no reference algorithms. The index is been shown to perform well across different distortions verifying its distortion agnostic nature. An exhaustive analysis of performance is done using LIVE IQA database on five kinds of distortions through spearman rank ordered correlation coefficent. The frame work is found to perform statistically better than other proposed no reference algorithms.

#### REFERENCES

- A. Mittal, R. Soundararajan and A. C. Bovik, "Making a Completely Blind Image Quality Analyzer", IEEE Signal processing Letters, pp. 209-212, vol. 22, no. 3, March 2013.
- [2] A. K. Moorthy and A. C. Bovik, "Blind Image Quality Assessment: From Scene Statistics to Perceptual Quality", IEEE Transactions Image Processing, pp. 3350-3364, vol. 20, no. 12, 2011.
- [3] A. K. Moorthy and A. C. Bovik, "A Two-step Framework for Constructing Blind Image Quality Indice", IEEE Signal Processing Letters, pp. 587-599, vol. 17, no. 5, May 2010.
- [4] M. A. Saad, A. C. Bovik and C. Charrier, "Model-Based Blind Image Quality Assessment: A natural scene statistics approach in the DCT domain", IEEE Transactions Image Processing, pp. 3339-3352, vol. 21, no. 8, 2012.
- [5] M. A. Saad, A. C. Bovik and C. Charrier, "A DCT Statistics-Based Blind Image Quality Index", IEEE Signal Processing Letters, pp. 583-586, vol. 17, no. 6, June 2010.
- [6] H. R. Sheikh, A. C. Bovik, and L. K. Cormack, "No-Reference Quality Assessment Using Natural Scene Statistics: JPEG2000", *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 14, no. 12, December 2005.
- [7] A. Mittal, G. S. Muralidhar, J. Ghosh and A. C. Bovik, "Blind image quality assessment without human Training using latent quality factors", *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*", pp 75-78, Vol 19, no 2, February 2012.

- [8] Ehsan Ollah Sheybani, "An Algorithm for Real-Time Blind Image Quality Comparison and Assessment", International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), pp. 120-129, vol. 2, no. 1, February 2012.
- [9] M.J. Chen and A.C. Bovik, "Fast structural similarity index algorithm", *Journal of Real-Time Image Processing*, *Vol: 6 No: 4, Page(s): 281-287, December*, 2011.
- [10] Chaofeng li, Alan Bovik and Xiaojun Wu. "Blind Image Quality Assessment Using a General Regression Neural Network", *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 22(5), 2011. 793-799.
- [11] Wang Yu Ling, Yang Hu, "Machine Learning to Design Full-reference Image Quality ssessment Algorithm", *TELKOMNIKA*, pp. 3414-3421, vol. 11, no. 6, June 2013.
- [12] R. Soundararajan and A. C. Bovik, "RRED Indices: Reduced Reference Entropic Differencing for Image Quality Assessment," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 517-526, Feb. 2012.
- [13] A. K. Moorthy and A. C. Bovik, "Blind Image Quality Assessment: From Scene Statistics to Perceptual Quality", *IEEE Transactions Image Processing*, pp. 3350-3364, vol. 20, no. 12, December 2011.
- [14] Lidong Wang, "The Effect of Force on Fingerprint Image Quality and Fingerprint Distortion", International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), pp. 294-300, vol. 3, no. 3, June 2013.
- [15] A. Mittal, A. K. Moorthy and A. C. Bovik, "No-reference image quality assessment in the spatial domain", *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol: 21 No: 12, Page(s): 4695-4708, December 2012.

## **BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS**



Subrahmanyam. Ch received B.Tech degree in Electronics and Communication Engineeing from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad, India, and M.Tech degree in Digital Electronics and Communication Engineeing from JNTU, Hyderabd, India.

He joined as a research scholar (part time), ECE department in January 2010. His research interests include signal and image processing, Computer vision. He published more than 20 research papers in journals and conferences.



Dr.Venkata Rao published more than 40 technical papers in International and National Journals and conferences of international repute. His research interests include signal and image processing, wireless networks. He carried out AICTE projects worth Rs. 23 lakhs as Principal Investigator and Project coordinator. Dr.D.Venkata Rao is recognized guide under JNTU Kakinada. Currently he is guiding 6 research scholars in different universities for their Ph.D in the areas of signal processing. Image processing, wireless networks. He guided more than 50 UG and PG projects. He is Fellow Member of Institution of Engineers (India) and member of Board of Studies, Department of Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering, Bapatla Engineering college (Autonomous).



Dr. N. Usha Rani published more than 25 technical papers in International and National Journals and conferences of international repute. Her research interests include signal and image processing, VLSI. He carried out AICTE projects like MODROBS and Project coordinator. She is recognized guide under Vignan University. Currently she is guiding 5 research scholars in the university for their Ph.D in the areas of signal processing.