# A fuzzy-PID controller for load frequency control of a two-area power system using a hybrid algorithm

## Abdessamade Bouaddi<sup>1</sup>, Reda Rabeh<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed Ferfra<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Mohammadia School of Engineers, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco <sup>2</sup>School of Energy Engineering, International University of Rabat, Sale, Morocco

#### Article Info

#### Article history:

Received Dec 12, 2023 Revised Mar 3, 2024 Accepted Mar 5, 2024

#### Keywords:

Automatic generation control Fuzzy-PID controller Hybrid optimization algorithm Load frequency control Tow-area power systems

# ABSTRACT

This paper presents the use of a new hybrid optimization approach known as particle swarm optimization and grey wolf optimizer (PSO-GWO) for improving frequency stability load frequency control (LFC) in tow-area power systems. The approach consists in optimizing the fuzzy proportionalintegral-derivative (fuzzy-PID) controller parameters with meta-heuristic hybrid algorithm: PSO-GWO. This technique allows to have dynamic responses with the least possible frequency deviation in very short response times. The approach proposes to controls the tie-line power and the frequency deviation in the considered two-area power systems under variable perturbation in load and changing of system parameters in order to evaluate its effectiveness. The suggested hybrid algorithm-based fuzzy-PID controller is compared with various widely used control methods in the literature such as PID controller and algorithms such as PSO and GWO in order to evaluate its effectiveness and its robustness. Through the simulations carried out on MATLAB/Simulink, the proposed PSO-GWO fuzzy-PID and the objective function exhibit improved performance, achieving minimal objective values. The proposed technique proved to be quite powerful tool in the resolution of problems related to electrical power systems, particularly in load frequency control.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



#### **Corresponding Author:**

Abdessamade Bouaddi

Department of Electrical Engineering, Mohammadia School of Engineers, Mohammed V University Avenue Ibn Sina B.P 765, Agdal Rabat, Rabat 10000, Morocco Email: bouaddi@emi.ac.ma

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Electric grids are complex systems composed of multiple generation units, transmission networks, and distribution networks. Quite often, generation units are geographically concentrated, while consumers are dispersed over a vast territory, creating a unique network topology. This geographical aspect of grids makes them intricate and particularly challenging to manage. Indeed, their proper operation relates to instantaneous balances between supply (generation) and demand that are never guaranteed, as well as compliance with countless technical and economic constraints that evolve over time. Furthermore, the loads placed on electric grids are constantly and continuously changing.

Therefore, it is necessary to ensure a continuous power supply capable of immediately responding to load fluctuations while maintaining a level of service quality that meets the expectations of consumers, whether they are industrial or residential. Therefore, the quality of service provided by the grid is the primary concern of the operator. As a result, production units are subject to strict constraints for maintaining frequency and voltage within the contractual limits imposed by the necessary conditions for stable system

operation. It is a problem that concerns the entire interconnected electrical system because any imbalance between production and consumption leads to a change in speed and, consequently, in frequency.

Electric systems are organized into control areas interconnected by interconnection lines [1], [2], where generators within the same control area always adjust their speed together to maintain the frequency and power to predefined values under disturbances. If a sudden load change occurs within a control area of an interconnected electrical network, there will be both a frequency variation and a power flow change across the interconnection line. The primary goals of load frequency control (LFC) are: Ensure network stability by keeping the frequency at a level near the nominal value for which the network elements were designed. Control of the power transmitted, via the interconnection lines, between areas.

Recently, numerous control methods have been developed by multiple researchers to manage load frequency control in power grids in order to maintain the primary goals of load frequency control. Conventional proportional-integral (PI) [3] and proportional-integral-derivative (PID) [4] controllers are commonly employed in load frequency control due to their straightforward principles, robustness, and simplicity of execution. While these controllers can bring stability to power systems, they exhibit certain drawbacks, including extended stabilization periods, frequent rebound overshooting, and significant transient frequency deviations.

To enhance dynamic performance, researchers have extensively investigated load frequency control issues in conjunction with advanced control techniques. These include neural network control [5], fractional order PID [6], predictive control [7], fuzzy logic controller [8], and sliding mode control [9], [10]. These approaches have demonstrated superior dynamic responses compared to traditional controllers, offering innovative solutions to LFC challenges. Additionally, these sophisticated techniques are intricate and demand a thorough understanding of their internal structure, thereby limiting their practical applicability.

After years of development, heuristic intelligent algorithms have garnered significant attention and have been applied to fine-tune parameters of LFC controllers, including PI and PID controllers. This utilization is attributed to their effectiveness in addressing optimization problems. For a two area interconnected system, Chorasiya and Suhag [11] uses multi-verse optimizer (MVO) and salp swarm algorithm (SSA) to optimize PID controller. Using a whale optimization algorithm, the PID controller for LFC of multi-area power systems is optimized in [12]. Particle swarm optimization (PSO) [13], crazinessbased crow search algorithm (CCSA) [14], [15], genetic algorithm optimizer (GAO) [16], grey wolf optimizer (GWO) [17], hunger games search (HGS) algorithm [18], hybrid gravitational-firefly algorithm (hG-FA) [19], grey wolf optimizer and cuckoo search (GWO-CS) [20], moth flame and water cycle optimization (MFO-WC) [21], genetic algorithm-teaching learning-based optimization (GA-TLBO) [22], [23], bacteria foraging optimization algorithm and particle swarm optimization (hBFOA-PSO) [24], PSOpattern search (PSO-PS) [25], hybrid Alopex based DECRPSO algorithm (ADECRPSO) [26], A fuzzy based symbiotic organism search (FSOS) [27]. Nevertheless, recent research highlights certain drawbacks associated with these methods. Almost all of these algorithms rely on the accurate initialization of input parameters and exhibit a slow convergence rate towards global solutions. Furthermore, there are instances where they may generate local solutions instead of global ones. Considering the understanding of the aforementioned problems, this article strives to introduce a new hybrid optimization approach known as particle swarm optimization and grey wolf optimizer (PSO-GWO) to address the control challenges of load frequency (LFC) in an interconnected electrical system.

This study focused on the two-area power system. The study employed novel approach in order to adjust the fuzzy-PID controller's parameters with the objective of minimizing the integral time absolute error (ITAE). The analysis of system performance took into account disturbance-induced step load changes and variable load perturbations in both areas. A comparative assessment of the algorithm's performance was conducted against two other optimization methods PSO and GWO based PID and fuzzy-PID controllers, and the results conclusively revealed the efficiency and efficacy of the newly proposed algorithm. The following list shows the significant contributions of the study.

- A fuzzy logic PID controller (fuzzy-PID) is used to achieve robust stability and performance in the twoarea power system.
- Furthermore, a new hybrid particle swarm optimization and grey wolf optimizer (PSO-GWO) is used to tune the gains of the proposed the gains of the proposed controller.
- Step load disturbances and variable load disturbances are evaluated on the studied system to confirm the robust performance of the proposed controller.
- The robustness of the fuzzy-PID controller under load variation and parametric changes has been evaluated.

This paper begins with an introduction to the power system model for LFC in a two-area configuration and the controller fuzzy-PID structure in section 2, while section 3 outlines the problem formulation and the proposed optimization hybrid algorithm (PSO-GWO), and for simulation results and discussions, refer section 4. The paper concludes in the final section.

# 2. THE MODEL OF THE STUDIED POWER SYSTEM AND CONTROLLER STRUCTURE

## 2.1. The model of the studied power system

A power system with several zones has the advantage of reinforcing continuity of service by giving the different zones the possibility of being interdependent with each other by allowing an exchange of energy between them, when necessary, this exchange being able to be done through interconnection lines. The zones (networks) of the system are connected through transmission lines ensuring the exchange of energy between them as illustrated in Figure 1. Any variation in load without the network is reflected throughout the system and the frequency value in each zone is affected. Due to the interconnection, the frequency of the zones evolves differently in the transient phase to finally take the same value in steady state precisely because of the strong dependence between the zones.



Figure 1. Diagram of an interconnection line

The block diagram of the considered two-area power system of LFC is shown in the Figure 2; where  $\Delta f_1$  and  $\Delta f_2$  are the system frequency deviations in  $H_z$ , and  $\Delta P_{12}$  is the incremental deviation in the line power. Each zone within studied system incorporates fuzzy-PID controller, a load, the governor, the turbine and the generator. In order to facilitate the frequency domain analyses, transfer functions are employed to represent each element within the system. The explication and the nominal values of system parameters are illustrated in the Table 1.

The governor:

$$G_g = \frac{1}{1+sT_g} \tag{1}$$

– The Turbine:

$$G_t = \frac{1}{1+sT_t} \tag{2}$$

- The generator:

$$G_p = \frac{K_p}{1 + sT_p} \tag{3}$$

Where:  $T_p = 2H/(fD)$  and  $K_p = 1/D$ .

Table 1. System parameters

| Parameter                 | Explication                          | Value  |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| f(Hz)                     | Nominal frequency of the system      | 50     |
| H (pu/s)                  | Machine inertia                      | 4.15   |
| D (pu/Hz)                 | Load damping factor                  | 0.0083 |
| $T_{g1}$ and $T_{g2}$ (s) | Speed governor time constants        | 0.08   |
| $R_1$ and $R_2$ (Hz/pu)   | Governor speed regulation parameters | 2.4    |
| $Tt_1$ and $T_{t2}$ (s)   | Turbine time constants               | 0.3    |
| $B_1$ and $B_2$           | Frequency bias parameters            | 0.425  |
| T <sub>12</sub> (pu)      | Synchronizing coefficient            | 0.545  |



Figure 2. Block diagram of the studied power system for LFC

## 2.2. Controller structure

In order to maintain the frequency and tie-line power of *b* the two-area power system under consideration, a fuzzy PID controller is proposed for implementation in both areas of the system. The structure of the suggested fuzzy-PID, composed of fuzzy logic controller and PID controller, is shown in Figure 3 [28]. Where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are the scaling factors of input and  $K_p$ ,  $K_i$  and  $K_d$  are the gains of the PID controller and are considered as the scaling factors of output. These parameters are optimized by proposed hybrid PSOGWO algorithm in this paper. ACE is area control error input to the fuzzy logic controller represented in both area by (4) and (5):

$$ACE_1 = B_1 \Delta f_1 + \Delta P_{12} \tag{4}$$

$$ACE_2 = B_2 \Delta f_2 - \Delta P_{12} \tag{5}$$



Figure 3. Structure of the fuzzy-PID controller

In detail, FLC or fuzzy logic controller is a system composed from three major steps: fuzzification, fuzzy inference system, and defuzzification. The fuzzification step first involves defining fuzzy sets for the input and output variables. In our case, there are five sets characterized by the following standard labels: (NG) negative large, (NM) negative average, (EZ) around zero, (PM) positive average, and (PG) positive large. Further rule base of the controller is in Table 2.

| Т | able | 2. | Rule | base | of | the | controller |  |
|---|------|----|------|------|----|-----|------------|--|
|   |      |    |      |      |    |     |            |  |

| ACE\dotACE | NG | NM | ΕZ | PM | PG |  |  |  |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|--|--|--|
| NG         | PG | PG | PM | PM | ΕZ |  |  |  |
| NM         | PG | PM | PM | ΕZ | ΕZ |  |  |  |
| EZ         | PM | PM | ΕZ | ΕZ | NM |  |  |  |
| PM         | PM | ΕZ | ΕZ | PM | NG |  |  |  |
| PG         | ΕZ | ΕZ | NM | PG | NG |  |  |  |

A fuzzy-PID controller for load frequency control of a two-area power ... (Abdessamade Bouaddi)

## 3. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND THE PROPOSED HYBRID ALGORITHM

## **3.1.** Problem formulation

In an LFC optimization problem, the objective function is defined as the error which depends on the following two variables: The frequency deviation  $\Delta f i$  and the power exchange deviation on the interconnection lines  $\Delta P i j$ . The error is typically formulated in the form of four main performance criteria in the design of control systems (integral time absolute error (ITAE), integral absolute error (IAE), integral square error (ISE), and integral time square error (ITSE)), ITAE is the most commonly used criterion and it favored as a fitness function because it reduces oscillation, overshoot and settling time. As a result, the objective function used by LFC can be written as (6):

$$min(ITAE) = \int_{0}^{t_{sim}} (|\Delta f_{1}| + |\Delta f_{2}| + |\Delta P_{12}|).t.dt$$
(6)

subject to (7):

$$K_{cpmin} \le K_{cpi} \le K_{cpmax} \tag{7}$$

The suggested optimization method for determining the considered controller's parameters minimizes the objective function.  $K_{cpi}$  are the controller parameters to be optimized and  $K_{cpmin}$ ,  $K_{cpmax}$  are the controller parameters' lower and upper limits respectively.

#### 3.2. Proposed hybrid algorithm

#### 3.2.1. Particle swarm optimization

PSO is a swarm-intelligence based optimization approach that uses particles to move them about in the search space to find the optimum solution to the problem; it drew inspiration from the social interactions observed in birds. PSO, a meta-heuristic optimization methodology, offers a population-based search approach for global optimization, with the main benefit of being simple to use and requiring few parameters to be adjusted [29].

#### 3.2.2. Grey wolf optimization

A newly created meta-heuristic algorithm called the grey wolf optimizer (GWO) imitates the swarming hunting behavior of wolves. The male and female pack leaders in GWO are referred to as alpha ( $\alpha$ ) and are the first and best individuals. The second and third top wolf are referred to ( $\beta$ ) and ( $\delta$ ). The grey wolf's lowest rank is omega ( $\omega$ ), which is subordinate to all other governed wolves [30].

#### 3.2.3. Hybrid PSO-GWO algorithm

The algorithm PSO-GWO is a recent swarm-based meta-heuristic endowed with several advantages, including simple implementation and low memory utilization. The key idea is to combine the exploration and exploitation capabilities of PSO and GWO to produce variants with strength and memory consumption. They operate simultaneously in various ways. The PSO-GWO algorithm is employed to simultaneously utilize and investigate the positions of the initial three agents within the search space. The equations (8) to (11) show the mathematical expressions:

$$X_1 = X_\alpha - A_1 \cdot |\mathcal{C}_1 \cdot X_\alpha - X| \tag{8}$$

$$X_2 = X_\beta - A_2 \cdot \left| C_2 \cdot X_\beta - X \right| \tag{9}$$

$$X_3 = X_\delta - A_3 \cdot |\mathcal{C}_3 \cdot X_\delta - X| \tag{10}$$

$$X_{123}(t+1) = \frac{X_1(t) + X_2(t) + X_3(t)}{3}$$
(11)

where  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $C_3$  stand for the top three wolves' coefficient vectors, while  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , and  $X_3$  stand for the positions of the top three wolves relative to the corresponding prey.  $X_{123}$  designates the location of the current solution.

Equations (12) and (13) show how the PSO technique can be used to update the wolves' positions and speeds, which are denoted by  $x_i^k$  and  $v_i^k$ :

$$v_i^{k+1} = (v_i^k + r_1 c_1 (x_1 - x_i^k) + r_2 r_2 (x_2 - x_i^k) + r_3 r_3 (x_3 - x_i^k)$$
(12)

3585

$$x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k + v_i^{k+1} \tag{13}$$

where the revised positions and speeds of the top three wolves are indicated by  $x_i^{k+1}$  and  $v_i^{k+1}$ , respectively.  $r_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r_2 \in [0, 1]$  and  $r_3 \in [0, 1]$  are random number, besides, the optimization parameters, denoted by  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $C_3$  and are set to 0.5.

The objective is to optimize the proposed fuzzy-PID controller in the studied system. It is important to note that the controller's parameters were set utilizing a hybrid PSO-GWO. In order to get the best values depending on the system requirements, the PSO-GWO MATLAB code includes the lowest and highest values of fuzzy-PID gains. For this study, a number of steps were utilized to obtain the best values for the fuzzy-PID controller's parameters, illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Flowchart for hybrid PSO-GWO algorithm

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The testing system was established by configuring it as a Simulink model in MATLAB (R2022a) software, running on a computer equipped with an Intel Core i7 processor and 32 GB of RAM. Furthermore, the proposed algorithm PSO-GWO code is executed as an *.m* MATLAB file, connecting with the Simulink model representing the power system under study to facilitate the optimization procedure. Moreover, the various optimization algorithms used to compare with the proposed method, such as GWO and PSO, were also evaluated within MATLAB. In Table 3, you can find the parameters considered in the analyzed system. Through an examination of various case studies, we evaluated the performance of the suggested controller within dynamic system operating scenarios. The suggested hybrid algorithm-based fuzzy-PID controller is compared with various widely used control methods in the literature such as PID controller and algorithms such as PSO and GWO in order to evaluate its effectiveness and its robustness. For the proposed fuzzy-PID controller, we fine-tuned its parameters using tree separate optimization techniques with the aim of minimizing the ITAE. More precisely, these optimization methods were employed to determine the most suitable fuzzy-PID controller parameters for the load frequency control (LFC) of the two-area power system (TAPS). The adjusted PID and proposed fuzzy-PID controller gains are shown in Table 3.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of these optimization techniques, we focused on tree specific cases, as detailed below. In the first case, we studied the response of the system for step load change, in the second case a variable load changes were applied to test system area 1 and area 2, and in the last case, we examined both the frequency and power response when faced with uncertainties in system parameters.

| Table 3. Controller parameters |       |       |                |       |        |  |  |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|--------|--|--|
|                                | Kp    | Ki    | K <sub>d</sub> | K1    | $K_2$  |  |  |
| PSO PID                        | 0.112 | 2.545 | 1.783          |       |        |  |  |
| GWO PID                        | 0.30  | 3.235 | 1.923          |       |        |  |  |
| PSO-GWO PID                    | 0.615 | 3.336 | 1.980          |       |        |  |  |
| PSO FPID                       | 3.597 | 2.114 | 1.026          | 0.012 | 12.545 |  |  |
| GWO FPID                       | 4.280 | 3.577 | 1.789          | 0.017 | 13.487 |  |  |
| PSO-GWO FPID                   | 5.458 | 5.154 | 1.534          | 0.009 | 15.608 |  |  |

#### 4.1. Case 1: system response for step load change

In this case, a load increment of 0.01 per unit was introduced to test system area 1, while test system area 2 experienced a load increase up to 0.03 per unit as shown in Figure 5. For the parametric tuning of the fuzzy-PID controller, the suggested hybrid algorithm PSO-GWO, PSO, and GWO were applied. Their outputs were compared in relation to overshoot and settling time for  $\Delta f_1$ ,  $\Delta f_2$  in both areas 1 and 2, and for the variation in the tie-line power  $\Delta P_{12}$ . Values of ITAE, the peak overshoot and the settling time for the output variables are reported in Table 4. From the Figure 6(a) frequency deviation of the area 1, Figure 6(b) frequency deviation of the area 2, Figure 6(c) deviation in the line power and from the Table 4, the proposed optimization technique clearly demonstrated superior performance in settling time compared to the controller PID and to the two widely recognized optimization algorithms (PSO and GWO). Additionally, it exhibited minimal overshoot in the context of tie-line power flow, offering an extra advantage.

| Table 4. ITAE, over-shoot and settling time of case 1 |        |                           |                          |              |         |                            |                          |  |
|---|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
|   | ITAE   | Over-Shoot                | Settling Time            |              | ITAE    | Over-Shoot                 | Settling Time            |  |
| PSO PID   | 0.1576 | $\Delta f_1$ : -0.00198   | $\Delta f_1: 30.996$     | PSO FPID     | 0.06199 | $\Delta f_1$ : -0.001076   | $\Delta f_1: 18.734$     |  |
|   |        | $\Delta f_2$ : -0.00254   | $\Delta f_2: 30.645$     |              |         | $\Delta f_2$ : -0.002178   | $\Delta f_2: 18.302$     |  |
|   |        | $\Delta P_{12}: 0.0038$   | $\Delta P_{12}: 25.836$  |              |         | $\Delta P_{12}$ : 0.002008 | $\Delta P_{12}$ : 19.634 |  |
| GWO PID   | 0.1207 | $\Delta f_1$ : -0.00179   | $\Delta f_1: 29.529$     | GWO FPID     | 0.03289 | $\Delta f_1$ : -0.000911   | $\Delta f_1: 15.292$     |  |
|   |        | $\Delta f_2$ : -0.00249   | $\Delta f_2: 29.112$     |              |         | $\Delta f_2$ : -0.001974   | $\Delta f_2$ : 14.7565   |  |
|   |        | $\Delta P_{12}$ : 0.00339 | $\Delta P_{12}: 23.975$  |              |         | $\Delta P_{12}$ : 0.001687 | $\Delta P_{12}$ : 15.77  |  |
| PSO-GWO PID   | 0.0877 | $\Delta f_1$ : -0.00168   | $\Delta f_1: 22.456$     | PSO-GWO FPID | 0.02261 | $\Delta f_1$ : -0.000731   | $\Delta f_1: 13.613$     |  |
|   |        | $\Delta f_2$ : -0.00245   | $\Delta f_2: 21.836$     |              |         | $\Delta f_2$ : -0.001741   | $\Delta f_2: 13.314$     |  |
|   |        | $\Delta P_{12}$ :0.00317  | $\Delta P_{12}$ : 22.227 |              |         | $\Delta P_{12}$ : 0.001333 | $\Delta P_{12}$ :13.334  |  |



Figure 5. Step load disturbance

#### 4.2. Case 2: system response for variable load change

A variable load changes were applied to test system area 1 and area 2 as depicted in Figure 7. Table 3 displays the applied proposed fuzzy-PID controller coefficients that were determined using he suggested hybrid intelligent optimization algorithms PSO-GWO in contrast to other algorithms PSO, and GWO using PID and fuzzy-PID controllers. Figure 8(a), Figure 8(b) and Figure 8(c) show, respectively,  $\Delta f_1$ ,  $\Delta f_2$  in both areas 1 and 2, and the change in the tie-line power  $\Delta P_{12}$ . Figure 8 show that this method is far better to other algorithms and that the system can easily dampen any changes in load and will never become unstable. Table 5 demonstrates that the ITAE value of the suggested PSO-GWO is the lowest than other algorithms.



Figure 6. Case 1: Dynamic power system response (a)  $\Delta f_1$ , (b)  $\Delta f_2$ , and (c)  $\Delta P_{12}$ 



Figure 7. Variable load change



Figure 8. Case 2: dynamic power system response, (a)  $\Delta f_1$ , (b)  $\Delta f_2$ , and (c)  $\Delta P_{12}$ 

#### 4.3. Case 3: step load change with parameter uncertainties

The robustness of any method can be evaluated by examining both the frequency and power response when faced with uncertainties in system parameters. This evaluation is crucial for understanding how well the power system can withstand significant changes in these parameters, as illustrated in Table 6. The primary aim of this study is to assess how well the proposed approach can maintain its performance when subjected to substantial modifications in the studied system settings, particularly in the presence of load perturbations. Figure 9(a) frequency deviation of the area 1, Figure 9(b) frequency deviation of the area 2 and Figure 9(c) deviation in tie line power, show the dynamic behavior of the system using the fuzzy-PID configuration that has been optimized using PSO-GWO for handling step load variations in two specific regions. It is apparent that the suggested technique provides a dependable and stable control strategy, as demonstrated by the results above, indicating that the system under investigation exhibits a high degree of resilience to variations in all parameters.



Figure 9. Case 3: Dynamic power system response, (a)  $\Delta f_1$ , (b)  $\Delta f_2$ , and (c)  $\Delta P_{12}$ 

## 5. CONCLUSION

This research addresses the issue of load frequency control (LFC) within a power system consisting of two areas. The parameters of the proposed fuzzy-PID controller are adjusted using a novel hybrid technique called PSO-GWO, with a focus on minimizing ITAE performance indices. MATLAB/Simulink was employed to assess system performance, accounting for load changes in both areas. The results clearly demonstrate that the suggested controller, tuned using the suggested algorithm, excels in resolving optimization issues by yielding appropriate gains more rapidly than other algorithms such as PSO and GWO with PID controller and the proposed fuzzy-PID. The proposed PSO-GWO FPID and the objective function exhibit improved performance, achieving minimal objective values (ITAE=0.02261, overshoot area 1 = -0.000731 Hz; overshoot area 2 = -0.001741 Hz; settling time=13.613 s). Consequently, this approach effectively maintains the balance between power supply and demand, reducing frequency errors and promptly correcting frequency deviations. In the future, this optimization method can be utilized in various facets of power systems, with a specific focus on voltage regulation.

#### REFERENCES

- M. R. Chen, G. Q. Zeng, and X. Q. Xie, "Population extremal optimization-based extended distributed model predictive load frequency control of multi-area interconnected power systems," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 355, no. 17, pp. 8266–8295, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jfranklin.2018.08.020.
- [2] K. Jagatheesan *et al.*, "Application of flower pollination algorithm in load frequency control of multi-area interconnected power system with nonlinearity," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 475–488, May 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00521-016-2361-1.
- [3] K. Lu, W. Zhou, G. Zeng, and Y. Zheng, "Constrained population extremal optimization-based robust load frequency control of *A fuzzy-PID controller for load frequency control of a two-area power* ... (Abdessamade Bouaddi)

multi-area interconnected power system," International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 105, pp. 249–271, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2018.08.043.

- [4] M. K. Al-Nussairi, S. D. Al-Majidi, A. J. Mshkil, A. M. Dakhil, M. F. Abbodm, and H. S. Al-Raweshidy, "Design of a two-area automatic generation control using a single input fuzzy gain scheduling PID controller," *International Journal of Intelligent Engineering and Systems*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 443–455, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.22266/ijies2022.1231.40.
- [5] B. S. Rameshappa and N. M. Shadaksharappa, "An optimal artificial neural network controller for load frequency control of a four-area interconnected power system," *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 4700–4711, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v12i5.pp4700-4711.
- [6] A. Kumar and S. Pan, "Design of fractional order PID controller for load frequency control system with communication delay," *ISA Transactions*, vol. 129, pp. 138–149, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2021.12.033.
- [7] H. H. Ali, A. Fathy, and A. M. Kassem, "Optimal model predictive control for LFC of multi-interconnected plants comprising renewable energy sources based on recent sooty terns approach," *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, vol. 42, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.seta.2020.100844.
- [8] P. J. Krishna, V. P. Meena, and V. P. Singh, "Load frequency control in four-area interconnected power system using fuzzy PI controller with penetration of renewable energies," 2022 Second International Conference on Power, Control and Computing Technologies (ICPC2T), Raipur, India, 2022, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICPC2T53885.2022.9777076.
- [9] S. Kayalvizhi and D. M. Vinod Kumar, "Load frequency control of an isolated micro grid using fuzzy adaptive model predictive control," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 16241–16251, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2735545.
- [10] H. Iranmanesh and A. Afshar, "MPC-based control of a large-scale power system subject to consecutive pulse load variations," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 26318–26327, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2772866.
- [11] G. Chorasiya and S. Suhag, "A comparative study of MVO and SSA optimized PID controller for LFC in EV integrated multi area network," in 2020 11th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT), Jul. 2020, pp. 1–7, doi: 10.1109/ICCCNT49239.2020.9225454.
- [12] P. A. Gbadega and Y. Sun, "Multi-area load frequency regulation of a stochastic renewable energy-based power system with SMES using enhanced-WOA-tuned PID controller," *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 9, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19199.
- [13] U. Sultana, S. H. Qazi, N. Rasheed, and M. W. Mustafa, "Performance analysis of real-time PSO tuned PI controller for regulating voltage and frequency in an AC microgrid," *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1068–1076, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v11i2.pp1068-1076.
- [14] J. Sahu, P. Satapathy, P. K. Mohanty, B. K. Sahu, J. R. Nayak, and A. Naik, "Optimally designed fuzzy-based controller using craziness-based CSA technique for AGC performance enhancement of power system," *Electrical Engineering*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 1053–1077, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s00202-023-02033-9.
- [15] A. N. Karanam, B. Shaw, and J. R. Nayak, "A combined fractional order proportional integral derivative controller for automatic generation control integrated with wind and small hydropower plant using crow search algorithm," *Electrica*, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.5152/electrica.2023.23008.
- [16] W. Chouaf, A. Abbou, and A. Bouaddi, "Energy management system for a stand-alone multi-source grid wind turbine /PV/BESS/HESS/gas turbine/electric vehicle using genetic algorithm," *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 59–69, 2023, doi: 10.20508/ijrer.v13i1.13800.g8661.
- [17] D. Guha, P. K. Roy, and S. Banerjee, "Grey wolf optimization to solve load frequency control of an interconnected power system," *International Journal of Energy Optimization and Engineering*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 62–83, 2016, doi: 10.4018/ijeoe.2016100104.
- [18] S. A. Kumar, M. S. Sathya, and K. J. Gowd, "Application of a TID controller for the LFC of a multi area system using HGS algorithm," *Engineering, Technology and Applied Science Research*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 10691–10697, 2023, doi: 10.48084/etasr.5502.
- [19] D. K. Gupta *et al.*, "Hybrid gravitational-firefly algorithm-based load frequency control for hydrothermal two-area system," *Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 7, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.3390/math9070712.
- [20] A. Bouaddi, R. Rabeh, and M. Ferfra, "Load frequency control of autonomous microgrid system using hybrid fuzzy logic GWO-CS PI controller," in 2021 9th International Conference on Systems and Control, ICSC 2021, Nov. 2021, pp. 554–559, doi: 10.1109/ICSC50472.2021.9666683.
- [21] A. Bouaddi, R. Rabeh, and M. Ferfra, "MFO-WC based fuzzy logic PI controller for load frequency control of autonomous microgrid system," in 2022 8th International Conference on Control, Decision and Information Technologies, May 2022, pp. 200–205, doi: 10.1109/CoDIT55151.2022.9803998.
- [22] R. Rabeh, M. Ferfra, and A. Ezbakhe, "Secondary frequency control of an islanded microgrid by combined GA-TLBO algorithm," in 2019 8th International Conference on Systems and Control (ICSC), Oct. 2019, pp. 194–199, doi: 10.1109/ICSC47195.2019.8950615.
- [23] R. Rabeh, M. Ferfra, and A. Ezbakhe, "Secondary control of Islanded microgrids using cascade PID controllers tuned by combined GA and TLBO algorithm," *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1297–1310, 2023, doi: 10.20508/ijrer.v13i3.14083.g8801.
- [24] S. Panda, B. Mohanty, and P. K. Hota, "Hybrid BFOA-PSO algorithm for automatic generation control of linear and nonlinear interconnected power systems," *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 4718–4730, Dec. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2013.07.021.
- [25] R. K. Sahu, S. Panda, and G. T. Chandra Sekhar, "A novel hybrid PSO-PS optimized fuzzy PI controller for AGC in multi area interconnected power systems," *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, vol. 64, pp. 880–893, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.08.021.
- [26] J. R. Nayak, B. Shaw, and B. Kumar Sahu, "Hybrid Alopex based DECRPSO algorithm optimized Fuzzy-PID controller for AGC," *Journal of Engineering Research (Kuwait)*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 248–271, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.36909/jer.v8i1.7241.
- [27] J. R. Nayak, B. Shaw, and B. K. Sahu, "Automatic generation control of small hydro plants integrated multi-area system using fuzzy based symbiotic organism search optimized hybrid PlλD fuzzy-PlλD controller," *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems*, vol. 31, no. 8, May 2021, doi: 10.1002/2050-7038.12954.
- [28] A. Benbouali, F. Chabni, R. Taleb, and N. Mansour, "Flight parameters improvement for an unmanned aerial vehicle using a lookup table based fuzzy PID controller," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJEECS)*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 171–178, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v23.i1.pp171-178.
- [29] S. Alam, G. Dobbie, Y. S. Koh, P. Riddle, and S. Ur Rehman, "Research on particle swarm optimization based clustering: A systematic review of literature and techniques," *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 17, pp. 1–13, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.swevo.2014.02.001.
- [30] S. Mirjalili, S. M. Mirjalili, and A. Lewis, "Grey wolf optimizer," Advances in Engineering Software, vol. 69, pp. 46–61, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.advengsoft.2013.12.007.

## **BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS**



Abdessamade Bouaddi 💿 🐼 🖾 🗘 graduated from Mohammadia School of Engineers, Rabat, Morocco, in 2019. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering at the Mohammadia School of Engineering, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco. His research interests include power systems, electrical power engineering, frequency and voltage control of microgrid system, simulation and optimization of renewable and conventional power systems. He can be contacted at email: bouaddi@emi.ac.ma.



**Reda Rabeh Reda Rabeh Rabeh <b>Reda Rabeh Reda Rabeh R** 



**Mohammed Ferfra (D) (S) (S)** received his B.S. degree in electrical engineering from Mohammadia school of engineering in 1988 and his Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering at Laval University in 1993. He is currently a professor at Mohammadia School of Engineering Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco. His research interests include power system, electrical machine, electrical power engineering, frequency and voltage control, photovoltaic system. He can be contacted at email: ferfra@emi.ac.ma.