Tiny-YOLO distance measurement and object detection coordination system for the BarelangFC robot

Susanto, Jony Arif Ricardo Silitonga, Riska Analia, Eko Rudiawan Jamzuri, Daniel Sutopo Pamungkas Department of Electrical Engineering, Politeknik Negeri Batam, Batam, Indonesia

Article Info ABSTRACT

Article history:

Received Mar 3, 2023 Revised May 23, 2023 Accepted Jun 4, 2023

Keywords:

Deep learning Humanoid robot NVIDIA Jetson NX Xavier Real-time Robot coordination

A humanoid robot called BarelangFC was designed to take part in the Kontes Robot Indonesia (KRI) competition, in the robot coordination division. In this division, each robot is expected to recognize its opponents and to pass the ball towards a team member to establish coordination between the robots. In order to achieve this team coordination, a fast and accurate system is needed to detect and estimate the other robot's position in real time. Moreover, each robot has to estimate its team members' locations based on its camera reading, so that the ball can be passed without error. This research proposes a Tiny-YOLO deep learning method to detect the location of a team member robot and presents a real-time coordination system using a ZED camera. To establish the coordinate system, the distance between the robots was estimated using a trigonometric equation to ensure that the robot was able to pass the ball towards another robot. To verify our method, real-time experiments was carried out using an NVDIA Jetson NX Xavier, and the results showed that the robot could estimate the distance correctly before passing the ball toward another robot.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



Corresponding Author:

Susanto Department of Electrical Engineering, Politeknik Negeri Batam Ahmad Yani street, Batam Center, Batam, Kepulauan Riau, 29461, Indonesia Email: susanto@polibatam.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Humanoid robots, i.e., robots resembling human beings, have developed rapidly in recent years. Many researchers have developed these kinds of robots for various purposes, such as learning assistance for primary education [1], clinical applications [2], [3], playing games [4], [5], assisting the elderly [6], and even playing soccer. Humanoid robots have been developed to play football in the same way as human beings, such as passing the ball to a nearby player, kicking the ball towards the goal, and recognizing other team members on the field in real time. In order to allow a robot to play football on a field, we need to consider the robot's vision and coordination strategies.

Numerous researchers have proposed several methods of achieving a vision system for detecting an object. As reported previously, we can forward a modest object detection system using CVblobs and the Hough circle method (CBHM) to detect a white ball on the field. Another method for detecting objects was introduced in [7], [8]; Maiettini *et al.* [7] used a convolutional neural network (CNN) to train a network in an end-to-end manner on larger datasets with 2D bounding objects, while in [8], a CNN was used to predict the class of an object from the proposed region. Aslan *et al.* [9] introduced semantic segmentation algorithms to a simulation and compared the accuracy, segmentation performance, and number of parameters. A year later, they combined a semantic algorithm with deep reinforcement learning (DRL) to recognize an object moving toward the robot [10].

In the domain of vision detection algorithms, some studies have used a fast and accurate detection system called you only look once (YOLO). This method can process images for real-time applications at a rate of 45 frames per second (FPS). The YOLO algorithm was later extended to YOLOv2, which could predict object classes without the need for labeled detection data. Redmon and Farhadi [11] then introduced YOLOv3, and presented results that were more accurate from a model that was three times faster than solid state drive (SSD). Another object detection method called XNOR-Networks could estimate the convolutions using a primary binary operation. In our previous work, we adapted this network and combined it with YOLOv3 to detect the ball and goal, using a model where the layer configuration resembled the Tiny-YOLO and the model was run on an NVIDIA Jetson TX1 [12].

Another important aspect of developing a humanoid robot for soccer playing is robot localization. This helps the robot to move automatically across the field to get the ball, pass it to other team members, and kick it towards the goal. In recent years, many methods of robot localization have been developed, and particularly for humanoid robots. Fourmy et al. [13] proposed a visual-inertial navigation system to localize a robot in a 3D indoor environment by employing sensors such as inertial measurement unit (IMU), coders, vision, and/or light detection and ranging (LiDAR). Another popular localization algorithm is simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM), which has been implemented in different ways; for example, Raghavan et al. [14] combined the state-of-art odometry with mapping based on LiDAR data and inertial kinematics, while Zhang et al. [15] implemented a graph-based segmentation from RGB-D point clouds to achieve robust, dense RGB-D environment reconstruction. In [16], an RGB-D camera was combined with a depth descriptor to track features even in a sequence with seriously blurred images, and the scheme in [17] used odometry data acquired from the fusion of visual and robot odometry information. A Kinect sensor can also be used for robot localization, as described in [18], in which a depth map was used to extract the location and a global planning algorithm was applied to understand the surrounding environment. In addition to localization, a fuzzy Markov decision process (FMDP) can also be used for path planning for robot localization [19]. Moreover, Monte Carlo localization (MCL) can be considered in order to achieve robot localization. Hartfill [20] developed an MCL scheme based on a 2D RGB image to retrieve the localization information and evaluate it in simulation. Dalmasso et al. [21] used a Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS) to decentralize one robot with human to understand the location.

In recent research on robot localization, the robot's self-position on a global map has been estimated based on its sensor measurements. However, self-localization is not sufficient for a typical robot soccer competition, as team coordination is required. In addition to estimating its self-position, the robot must estimate the locations of the ball, the goal, and the other robots on the global map. In addition, the position estimation of the object must be carried out using a sensor mounted on the robot, without relying on an unstable communication system. This work focuses on utilizing the results of object detection to estimate the location system. For object detection, we use Tiny-YOLO, a deep learning architecture similar to the one described in [22], which was run on an embedded computer (NVIDIA Jetson Xavier NX). The object detector recognizes the ball, the goal, and opponent/team member robots via the vision sensor. In order to locate the other robots, we calculate the proponent distance using a ZED camera [23], [24]. We then estimate the robots' locations using a simple trigonometric equation.

2. CONFIGURATION OF BARELANGFC

Our BarelangFC humanoid robot is equipped with 20 servo motors to generate motion for the joints with a total of 20 degrees of freedom (DOF). Of these, the legs have 12 DOF, each arm has three DOF, and the neck has two DOF. The design of the robot is depicted in Figure 1 in 3D and 2D, and its dimensions are 710.22 mm in height and 267.74 mm in width. Each part of the robot was made of aluminum alloy and produced using a CNC machine. Prototypes of the robot are illustrated in Figure 2, where Figure 2(a) depicts a magenta robot and Figure 2(b) a cyan robot. These two prototypes formed the objects of the experiment carried out to verify the robot's detection and measurement system while passing the ball on the field. A stereo camera (ZED camera) was used for the robot vision system and mounted on the top joint, while an NVIDIA Jetson NX Xavier was chosen as the main processor.

A block diagram of the system can be seen in Figure 3, and involves several stages:

- a. The robot is activated by the strategy button to move, following the strategy that has been installed. It then collects data from the ZED camera and processes the strategy and image data in the Jetson Xavier NX. The outputs, which are the detected team member robot and its location, are then used to guide the movement of the robot.
- b. The motion controller handles the movement of the robot, and uses the Lua scripting language.
- c. The servo controller sends the signal to each servo motor to move following the trajectory given by the main controller. The specifications of the servo controller and servo motor are summarized in Table 1.



Figure 1. Mechanical design of the BarelangFC humanoid robot



Figure 2. Prototypes of BarelangFC, showing (a) the magenta robot and (b) the cyan robot



Figure 3. Block diagram of the BarelangFC robot system

ISSN: 2088-8708

Table 1. Specifications of the actuators and servo controller					
Category	Description	Data			
Actuator MX-64	Stall torque	6.0 Nm			
(arm)	No load speed	63 rpm			
	Resolution [pulse/rev]	4096			
Actuator MX-106	Stall torque	8.40 Nm			
(leg)	No load speed	45.0 rpm			
	Resolution [pulse/rev]	4096			
Servo controller OpenCR	Microcontroller	STM32F746ZGT6/32-bit ARM Cortex®-M7 with FPU (216 MHz, 462DMIPS)			

3. COORDINATION SYSTEM ESTIMATION

The main purpose of developing the BarelangFC humanoid soccer robot was to enable it to play football like a human being. A coordination system for soccer playing needs to allow the robot to pass the ball toward the goal or a team member. Hence, the object recognition system on the robot side must recognize the other robots on the field. In order to establish the coordination system, several processes are involved, as shown in Figure 4. It can be seen from the figure that three steps are necessary before the position of the robot is estimated for the coordination system: object detection, distance measurement, and estimation of the position of the team member robot.

First, the ZED camera is used to collect an image of the conditions on the field. After this, the data collected from the camera is fed to the Tiny-YOLO to carry out object detection, in order to identify the color of the other robot and to generate an object bounding box to obtain the coordinates of the object. The Tiny-YOLO detects four classes (the ball, the goal, opponent robots, and team member robots). The architecture of Tiny-YOLO can be seen in Figure 5, and consists of seven convolution layers and six max-pooling layers. The max-pooling layers extract the image features from the depletion layer. We used the real number of tensors in the convolutional layer. We then reduced the spatial input number, and then collected the biggest number from the input number. Based on this, we could identify the coordinates of each object represented in the camera frame.



Figure 4. System for estimating the position coordinates of the robot



Figure 5. Architecture of the Tiny-YOLO system

The object coordinates generated by Tiny-YOLO were then used as the input to the distance measurement process. In order to calculate the distance to the team member robot, we used the principle shown

in Figure 6. We used a stereo ZED camera, consisting of two cameras installed in parallel, and computed the depth information between the images produced by each focal. The depth information was then calculated from triangulation (pre-projection) from the non-distorted rectified camera's geometric model, as shown in Figure 6. The depth points in Figure 6 are denoted as Z, and are determined using (1), where f represents the focal length of the camera, a is the baseline distance, and $xi^l - xi^r$ is the disparity value (d) [23].

$$Z = \frac{fa}{xi^l - xi^r} \tag{1}$$

An illustration of the position and angles obtained from the ZED camera can be seen in Figure 7. Figure 7(a) shows the angles and coordinates from the ZED camera, while Figure 7(b) depicts the distance estimation between two points of interest and the disparity value (d) for the object that has been detected. To determine the X, Y, and Z coordinates from any reflected point, we used (2) to (4) [24]. The parameter a denotes the fixed distance between the two cameras. In this case, the distance is 20 cm, and the values of B, C, and β are the angles of objects detected generated from the camera. The ZED camera used in this research is capable of capturing the two object references in real time, as shown in Figure 7(b). This means that distance measuring can be carried out when coordinate object detection penetrates the overlapping view [distance dan size measurement] as shown in Figure 7(b).



Figure 6. Triangulation principle and geometric model used with the ZED camera



Figure 7. Diagrams showing (a) the coordinates and angles generated by the ZED camera and (b) distance estimation measurements from the position and point of interest of the ZED camera detection generation

The estimation of the disparity d can be obtained from (5), and can be used to monitor the displacement of the object in a real-time situation [24]. In this work, the results for the displacement d were used as an estimate of the *Distance* measurement to obtain the (x, y) position of the robot on the field.

$$\mathbf{x} = a \frac{\sin C \cdot \sin B}{\sin (B+C)} \tag{2}$$

$$y = a \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sin C \cos B}{\sin(B+C)}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$z = a \left(\frac{\sin C * \sin B * \sin \beta}{\sin(B + C)} \right)$$
(4)

$$d = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (z_2 - z_1)^2}$$
(5)

$$Xpos = Distance * cos(\alpha) \tag{6}$$

$$Ypos = Distance * sin(\alpha) \tag{7}$$

In contrast to our previous work [25], [26], we utilized a distance value drawn from the measurement calculation from the ZED camera (*Distance*), and combined it with the heading angle from the IMU sensor to estimate the position of the robot. In order to determine the X and Y positions (*Xpos*, *Ypos*), as illustrated in Figure 8, a simple triangular equation was applied. The variable α in Figure 8 represents the angle that is generated from the robot heading. The X and Y positions (*Xpos*, *Ypos*) were obtained using (6) and (7), where the value of *Distance* was generated based on the distance estimation measurement from the ZED camera. To implement this calculation in the real-time application, we used a grid layout consisting of 54 squares with dimensions 100×100 cm on the field, as illustrated in Figure 8. The magenta and cyan dots in Figure 8 represent the robots' positions; when the distance estimation has been made, the magenta robot estimates the position of the cyan robot and passes the ball to it. As illustrated in Figure 8, the magenta robot stands between squares 11 and 12, while the cyan robot is positioned between squares 27 and 28.



Figure 8. Estimation of the X and Y positions in the field based on a grid

4. **RESULTS**

In this section, we report the results of the experiments carried out to understand the performance of the Tiny-YOLO on a NVIDIA Jetson NX Xavier. All of the experiments were carried out in real time. First, we tasked the robot to detect team members by detecting the color of the body. As shown in Figure 9, the robot was commanded to detect two team members, one of which was colored cyan and the other magenta. The system detects the cyan robot with a dark purple bounding box and the magenta robot with solid red. From the results in Figure 9(a), we see that the robot recognized both team members with a frame rate of 30 FPS for detection and 29 FPS for capturing the image. Figure 9(b) shows the results when the system was commanded to detect the magenta robot alone on the field, which was achieved with detection and capture rates of about 29 FPS. We placed the robot away from the front line and near the goal area for this experiment.



Figure 9. Results for the detection and capture of robots of different colors (a) cyan and magenta and (b) magenta robot alone

In order to understand the performance of the object detection method, we also tasked the robot to detect the cyan and magenta robots, as representations of team member and opponent robots, while varying the background lighting. The results of this experiment are illustrated in Figures 10 and 11. In this experiment, we altered the light conditions from 125.8 lux (for the brighter light) and 0.45 (for the darkest). Figures 10(a) and 11(a) show the results for 20 lux, Figures 10(b) and 11(b) show 4.3 lux, Figures 10(c) and 11(c) 0.45 lux and Figures 10(d) and 11(d) 125.8 lux. We also set the position of the robot differently, as illustrated in Figures 10(d) and 11(d), from the middle to the corner, to verify how well our system could detect the robot in different positions. More detailed data on these two pictures are presented in Table 2, where the highest confidence score for detection of the cyan robot was 99.50% under illumination of 125.8 lux and 96% for 0.45 lux.

The highest score for the magenta robot was 98% and the lowest was 80%, under the same levels of illumination. From Table 2, it can be seen that the cyan robot had a higher confidence score than the magenta robot. To verify these results, we generated a precision-recall curve (PR Curve), as shown in Figure 12. We generated an interpolation of the PR curve based on 101 points, and showed that the cyan robot yielded better performance than the magenta robot.





Figure 10. Results from the detection system for the cyan robot for (a) very bright lighting, (b) low light, (c) very low light, and (d) robot placed at a different position



(c)

(d)

Figure 11. Results from the detection system for the magenta robot for (a) very bright lighting, (b) low light, (c) very low light, and (d) robot placed at a different position

Robot name	Figure	Robot position	Illumination value (LUX)	Confidence score (%)
Cyan robot	Figure 10(a)	In the middle	20.0	99
	Figure 10(b)	In the middle	4.3	96
	Figure 10(c)	In the middle	0.45	96
	Figure 10(d)	At the corner	125.8	99.50
Magenta robot	Figure 11(a)	In front of goal post	20.0	90
	Figure 11(b)	In front of goal post	4.3	89
	Figure 11(c)	In the middle	0.45	80
	Figure 11(d)	At the corner	125.8	98

Table 2. Illumination and confidence scores for the results in Figures 10 and 11



Figure 12. PR curve interpolation for robot detection

Another consideration was whether our robots could measure the distance from each other while passing the ball on the field. To answer this question, we carried out experiments in other scenarios; as seen in Figure 13, we experimented with measuring the robot distance with a maximum distance of about 8 m, the same as the length of the field. The figure shows that the robot was able to detect the cyan robot and measure

its distance at about 158.75 cm with capture and detection rates of 16 FPS and 15 FPS, respectively, and the system was also able to generate the coordinates for the other robot that was measured and detected. We carried out this experiment several times under different conditions, and the results are given in Table 3. An average error of about 0.75% was found in the distance estimation. A comparison with the results from other authors shows that in [27], the researchers implemented a CNN method and reported an average error of 4.7% in estimating the robot distance, while Pathi *et al.* [28] used a Euclidean method with an RGB camera and achieved an average distance error of 3.5%. Sudin *et al.* [29] carried out distance estimation using an analytic geometric estimation (AGE) and produced an average error of 1.35%. Vajgl *et al.* [30] estimated the distance between cars in a parking situation using a YOLOv3 with an average error of 0.46%; however, this work did not involve moving objects such as mobile or humanoid robots that need to detect an object directly in a real-time situation.

For the position estimation, we experimented by commanding the robot to move to grids 34, 35, and 36, as shown in Figure 14, before passing the ball. The estimation results from the ZED camera can be seen in Table 4, and the results of this experiment can be seen in Figure 15. Figure 15(a) shows that the robot stayed in grid 34 and then kicked the ball, whereas Figures 15(b) and 15(c) display the robot's position in grid 35 before kicking the ball, and Figure 15(d) depicts the robot's position in grid 36. This grid position was set as the starting point that the robot that should reach before kicking the ball.



Figure 1. Results of measuring how much farther the robot's distance can be detected using a roulette ruler

Robot	Illumination	Actual distance (cm)	Estimated distance (cm)	Error (%)	Robot heading (°)	Status
position	values (LUX)					
Right side	125.8	655	653	0.31	-39	Detected
	125.8	330	329	0.30	-42	Detected
	125.8	317	315	0.63	-31	Detected
	125.8	345	342	0.87	-3	Detected
Left side	125.8	359	356	0.84	-19	Detected
	125.8	420	417	0.71	-9	Detected
	125.8	530	526	0.75	4	Detected
	125.8	440	433	1.59	-10	Detected

Table 3. Distance measurement statuses for different initial conditions of the robot

The aim of this research was to create a robot to take part in the *Kontes Robot Indonesia* (KRI) contest, held once a year. In 2021, one of the themes of the competition was to command the robot to pass the ball in the same way as a human playing soccer, on the field shown in Figure 16 with a length of 8 m and a width of 5 m. The rule was that the robot should chase the ball on the field, and then pass it through the middle line on the field to a team member. The experiment carried out in this work therefore aimed to verify that our method could achieve cooperation while playing soccer. As can be seen from Figure 17, the cyan robot first passed the ball to the middle line of the field, and the magenta robot then chased the ball and kicked it to the other side of the line until it reached the goal. The performance of our robots in the competition can be watched in [31] minutes 48:38 on the BarelangFC side. The real test in the competition showed that our robot could pass the ball very well in cooperation with another robot.

		13	19	28	31	37	43	49
2	8	14	20	26	32	38	44	50
3	9	15	21	27	33	39	45	51
4	10	16	22	28	34	40	46	52
5	-11	17	23	29	0 35	41	47	53
6	12	-18	24	30	36	42	48	54



Table 4. Results for robot movement coordinates and estimates generated from the ZED camera

Robot position		oot position	Coordinate estimation	Coordinates from ZED camera		
Grid Coordinates		Coordinates	(x, y)	(z, x, y)		
	34	100, 50	98, 77	400, 340, 212		
	35	100, 150	93, 167	450, 345, 200		
	36	100, 250	91, 272	500, 333, 216		
1						





(b)



Figure 15. Position of the ball after being kicked by the robot (a) and (b) the robot stays in grid 36 and detects the position of the ball, (c) the robot moves to grid 35, and (d) the robot moves to grid 34 to reach the ball



Figure 16. Schematic of the field used in the robot competition



Figure 17. Result of robot coordination in the field as the cyan robot passes the ball toward the magenta robot

5. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented an implementation of a deep learning method called Tiny-YOLO in which an NVIDIA Jetson NX Xavier was used to detect the ball, the goal, and the allay simultaneously. Moreover, robot cooperation was achieved during the competition. Our Tiny-YOLO employed approximately 512 to 1,024 convolutional filters, which generated a significant number of parameters, leading to large memory requirements and a limited detection area with a slow response. Although these drawbacks could not be avoided, we overcame this problem by using a NVIDIA Jetson NX Xavier, with outstanding results, by detecting and estimating the object distance in a parallel manner using a ZED camera. All of the experiments were carried out on a real-time application. To verify the proposed object detection system, we altered the environmental light over a range from 4.3 to 125.8 lux, and found that the confidence score for the cyan robot was higher than for the magenta robot. We also estimated the robot distance under illumination of 125.8 lux with different angles of robot headings for both the magenta and cyan robots. All of these experiments were applied to the competition, and it was shown that the robot could recognize a team member and pass the ball toward it. However, when passing the ball to the other robot, an error sometimes arose, because the ball was moving away from the landmarks after being kicked by the robot. In future work, we will therefore focus on how to allow the robot to chase a ball that is moving away from the original landmark without error.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was fully funded by Politeknik Negeri Batam.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Tuna, A. Tuna, E. Ahmetoglu, and H. Kuscu, "A survey on the use of humanoid robots in primary education: Prospects, research challenges and future research directions," *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 361–373, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.18844/cjes.v14i3.3291.
- [2] Y. Lau, D. G. H. Chee, X. P. Chow, S. H. Wong, L. J. Cheng, and S. T. Lau, "Humanoid robot-assisted interventions among children with diabetes: A systematic scoping review," *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, vol. 111, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103749.
- [3] T. N. Beran, J. R. Pearson, and B. Lashewicz, "Implementation of a humanoid robot as an innovative approach to child life interventions in a Children's Hospital: Lofty goal or tangible reality?" *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 12, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.639394.
- [4] J. Yang, J. Jeong, E. R. Jamzuri, and J. Baltes, "Humanoid robot magic show performance," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s11042-023-14690-w.
- [5] D. Rato, F. Correia, A. Pereira, and R. Prada, "Robots in games," *International Journal of Social Robotics*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 37–57, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s12369-022-00944-4.
- [6] M. Andtfolk, L. Nyholm, H. Eide, and L. Fagerström, "Humanoid robots in the care of older persons: A scoping review," Assistive Technology, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 518–526, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1080/10400435.2021.1880493.
- [7] E. Maiettini, G. Pasquale, V. Tikhanoff, L. Rosasco, and L. Natale, "A weakly supervised strategy for learning object detection on a humanoid robot," in 2019 IEEE-RAS 19th International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids), Oct. 2019, pp. 194–201, doi: 10.1109/Humanoids43949.2019.9035067.
- [8] E. R. Jamzuri, H. Mandala, and J. Baltes, "A fast and accurate object detection algorithm on humanoid marathon robot," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Informatics (IJEEI)*, vol. 8, no. 1, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.52549/ijeei.v8i1.1960.
- S. N. Aslan, A. Ucar, and C. Guzelis, "Semantic segmentation for object detection and grasping with humanoid robots," in 2020 Innovations in Intelligent Systems and Applications Conference (ASYU), Oct. 2020, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/ASYU50717.2020.9259887.
- [10] S. N. Aslan, B. Taşçı, A. Uçar, and C. Güzeli'ş, "Learning to move an object by the humanoid robots by using deep reinforcement learning," in *Intelligent Environments 2021*, {IOS} Press, 2021.
- [11] J. Redmon and A. Farhadi, "YOLOv3: An incremental improvement," arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767, Apr. 2018.
- [12] S. Susanto, F. A. Putra, and R. Analia, "XNOR-YOLO: the high precision of the ball and goal detecting on the Barelang-FC robot soccer," in 2020 3rd International Conference on Applied Engineering (ICAE), Oct. 2020, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/ICAE50557.2020.9350386.
- [13] M. Fourmy, D. Atchuthan, N. Mansard, J. Sola, and T. Flayols, "Absolute humanoid localization and mapping based on IMU Lie group and fiducial markers," in 2019 IEEE-RAS 19th International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids), Oct. 2019, pp. 237–243, doi: 10.1109/Humanoids43949.2019.9035005.
- [14] V. S. Raghavan, D. Kanoulas, C. Zhou, D. G. Caldwell, and N. G. Tsagarakis, "A study on low-drift state estimation for humanoid locomotion, using LiDAR and kinematic-inertial data fusion," in 2018 IEEE-RAS 18th International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids), Nov. 2018, pp. 1–8, doi: 10.1109/HUMANOIDS.2018.8624953.
- [15] T. Zhang, E. Uchiyama, and Y. Nakamura, "Dense RGB-D SLAM for humanoid robots in the dynamic humans environment," in 2018 IEEE-RAS 18th International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids), Nov. 2018, pp. 270–276, doi: 10.1109/HUMANOIDS.2018.8625019.
- [16] R. Sheikh, S. OBwald, and M. Bennewitz, "A combined RGB and depth descriptor for SLAM with humanoids," in 2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), Oct. 2018, pp. 1718–1724, doi: 10.1109/IROS.2018.8593768.
- [17] A. Rioux and W. Suleiman, "Autonomous SLAM based humanoid navigation in a cluttered environment while transporting a heavy load," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 99, pp. 50–62, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.robot.2017.10.001.
- [18] D. A. Nguyen and A. Shimada, "Global path and local motion planning for humanoid climbing robot using Kinect sensor," in Advances in Asian Mechanism and Machine Science, 2022, pp. 109–122.

- [19] H. Jahanshahi, M. Jafarzadeh, N. N. Sari, V.-T. Pham, V. Van Huynh, and X. Q. Nguyen, "Robot motion planning in an unknown environment with danger space," *Electronics*, vol. 8, no. 2, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.3390/electronics8020201.
- [20] J. Hartfill, "Feature-based Monte Carlo localization in the RoboCup humanoid soccer league," M.S. thesis, University of Hamburg, 2019.
- [21] M. Dalmasso, A. Garrell, J. E. Dominguez, P. Jimenez, and A. Sanfeliu, "Human-robot collaborative multi-agent path planning using Monte Carlo tree search and social reward sources," in 2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), May 2021, pp. 10133–10138, doi: 10.1109/ICRA48506.2021.9560995.
- [22] W. Fang, L. Wang, and P. Ren, "Tinier-YOLO: A real-time object detection method for constrained environments," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 1935–1944, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2961959.
- [23] L. E. Ortiz, V. E. Cabrera, and L. M. G. Goncalves, "Depth data error modeling of the ZED 3D vision sensor from stereolabs," ELCVIA Electronic Letters on Computer Vision and Image Analysis, vol. 17, no. 1, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.5565/rev/elcvia.1084.
- [24] E. Adil, M. Mikou, and A. Mouhsen, "A novel algorithm for distance measurement using stereo camera," CAAI Transactions on Intelligence Technology, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 177–186, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1049/cit2.12098.
- [25] Susanto, F. Azmi, and R. Analia, "Trigonometry algorithm for ball heading prediction of Barelang-FC goal keeper," in 2018 International Conference on Applied Engineering (ICAE), Oct. 2018, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/INCAE.2018.8579361.
- [26] Susanto, R. Saputra, and R. Analia, "A control strategy to estimate the robot position of Barelang-FC striker," in 2019 2nd International Conference on Applied Engineering (ICAE), Oct. 2019, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/ICAE47758.2019.9221736.
- [27] Rahul and B. B. Nair, "Camera-based object detection, identification and distance estimation," in 2018 2nd International Conference on Micro-Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering (ICMETE), Sep. 2018, pp. 203–205, doi: 10.1109/ICMETE.2018.00052.
- [28] S. K. Pathi, A. Kiselev, A. Kristoffersson, D. Repsilber, and A. Loutfi, "A novel method for estimating distances from a robot to humans using egocentric RGB camera," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 14, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19143142.
- [29] M. N. Sudin, S. Abdullah, and M. F. Nasudin, "Humanoid localization on robocup field using corner intersection and geometric distance estimation," *International Journal of Interactive Multimedia and Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 5, no. 7, 2019, doi: 10.9781/ijimai.2019.04.001.
- [30] M. Vajgl, P. Hurtik, and T. Nejezchleba, "Dist-YOLO: fast object detection with distance estimation," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 3, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/app12031354.
- [31] Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia. KRI Nasional 2020 Day 3, KRSBI Humanoid (Kontes Robot Sepak Bola Indonesia -Humanoid). (Nov. 20, 2020) Accessed: Nov.20, 2020. [Online Video]. Available: https://youtu.be/rOPWilajqw0.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Susanto **D** Si **S** Preceived a B.A.Sc. in Electrical Engineering from Politeknik Elektronika Negeri Surabaya in 2008, and an M.Sc. in Electrical and Control Engineering from National Chiao Tung University in 2016. Currently, he is a Lecturer at the Department of Electrical Engineering at Politeknik Negeri Batam. His research interests include control systems, robotics, artificial intelligence, and biomedical applications. He can be contacted at email: susanto@polibatam.ac.id.



Jony Arif Ricardo Silitonga (2) Karl Structure Received his B.A.Sc. in Electrical Engineering from Politeknik Negeri Batam in 2022. Currently, he is working as an Equipment Engineer at PT. Infineon Technologies. He can be reached at email: jonyarifricardo17@gmail.com.



Riska Analia Riska Analia Riska



Eko Rudiawan Jamzuri (D) (S) (E) received a B.A.Sc. in Electrical Engineering from Bandung Institute of Technology in 2013 and earned an M.Sc. in Electrical Engineering from National Taiwan Normal University in 2020. Currently, he is a Lecturer at the Department of Electrical Engineering at Politeknik Negeri Batam. His research interests include humanoid robotics and computer vision. He can be contacted at email: ekorudiawan@polibatam.ac.id.



Daniel Sutopo Pamungkas (1) SI SI Obtained his Bachelor's degree from the Department of Physics of Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB), followed by a Master's degree from the Department of Electrical Engineering at the same university. He received his Ph.D. from the University of Wollongong, and is now active as a lecturer in the Department of Electrical Engineering at Politeknik Negeri Batam, Batam. He can be contacted at email: daniel@polibatam.ac.id.