## A module placement scheme for fog-based smart farming applications

#### Baghrous Mohamed, Ezzouhairi Abdellatif, Manal Mouhajir, Manare Zerifi, Yahya Rabah, Errafik Youssef

Engineering, Systems and Applications Laboratory, ENSA, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University of Fez, Fez, Morocco

# Article Info ABSTRACT Article history: As in Industry 4.0 era, the impact of the internet of things (IoT) on the

Received Oct 7, 2022 Revised Mar 31, 2023 Accepted Apr 7, 2023

#### Keywords:

Cloud computing Fog computing Internet of things Module placement Quality of service Smart farming advancement of the agricultural sector is constantly increasing. IoT enables automation, precision, and efficiency in traditional farming methods, opening up new possibilities for agricultural advancement. Furthermore, many IoT-based smart farming systems are designed based on fog and edge architecture. Fog computing provides computing, storage, and networking services to latency-sensitive applications (such as Agribots-agricultural robots-drones, and IoT-based healthcare monitoring systems), instead of sending data to the cloud. However, due to the limited computing and storage resources of fog nodes used in smart farming, designing a modules placement scheme for resources management is a major challenge for fog based smart farming applications. In this paper, our proposed module placement algorithm aims to achieve efficient resource utilization of fog nodes and reduce application delay and network usage in Fog-based smart farming applications. To evaluate the efficacy of our proposal, the simulation was done using iFogSim. Results show that the proposed approach is able to achieve significant reductions in latency and network usage.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



#### Corresponding Author:

Baghrous Mohamed Engineering, Systems and Applications Laboratory, ENSA, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University of Fez Fez, Morocco Email: mohamed.baghrous@usmba.ac.ma

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture 4.0 refers to managing farms through the integration of modern technologies in order to increase productivity and reduce the amount of time farmers spend on performing repetitive tasks [1]. In addition, internet of things (IoT) provides cost-effective and sustainable solutions for agriculture due to its highly scalable, interoperable, pervasive, and open nature. Furthermore, IoT technology is also beneficial for farmers, as it allows them to access key information about their farms, such as soil quality, nutrient requirements for healthy plant growth, and water consumption levels. By providing precise data on these parameters, farmers can optimize their farming practices to achieve better yields and reduce waste.

Friha *et al.* [2] provide a comprehensive review of emerging technologies for IoT-based smart agriculture. Rayhana *et al.* [3] conducted a study that evaluates both the current technologies used in greenhouse cultivation and the latest advancements in IoT technologies that enable smart greenhouse farming. Beng *et al.* [4] introduced a wireless sensor network (WSN) system for monitoring the health of livestock. The system utilizes near field communication (NFC) technology to measure essential parameters, including temperature. Table 1 presents several initiatives that harness the power of cloud computing to create solutions for smart agriculture. Through virtualization, the cloud provides a variety of services and

options for smart farming applications, including infrastructure-as-a-service (IaaS), platform-as-a-service (PaaS), and software-as-a-service (SaaS) [5]. Furthermore, the cloud can be used to aggregate data from IoT sensors such as soil sensors, satellite images, and weather stations to help farmers make better decisions about managing their crops. The cloud also provides enough storage and computing power to analyse the collected data and package it in a form useful to farmers as well as send instructions to some control IoT-based devices such as irrigation systems. However, due to the increasing number of sensors in smart farms and the use of latency-sensitive applications such as agricultural robots (Agribots), drones, and livestock health monitoring systems, transferring all of the collected data to the cloud is not suitable or scalable for these smart farming applications.

Table 1. Example of IoT based smart farr	ning systei
Notation	Description
IoT-based farm management systems	[6]–[8]
IoT-based climate monitoring system	[9]–[11]
IoT-based livestock monitoring systems	[12]–[14]
irrigation systems based on IoT	[15]–[17]
Greenhouses monitoring systems based on IoT	[18]–[20]
IoT-based Agribots	[21]–[23]
IoT-based agricultural Drones	[24], [25]

ms

Generally, the cloud-based smart farming architecture faces several issues, such as high latency, overfull bandwidth, and unavailability of cloud services due to communication failure. Thus, the traditional cloud-based architecture cannot meet the required levels of quality of service (QoS) for real-time smart farming applications. To solve these challenges, a new paradigm called fog computing was proposed. Fog computing is an extension of cloud computing to the edge network, providing computer, storage, and networking services to IoT devices instead of sending data to the cloud for processing. However, due to the resource limitations of fog nodes, designing a module placement scheme is necessary to make full use of fog nodes and meet the real-time requirement of latency-sensitive smart farming applications.

Basically, each IoT application can be divided into several modules that are responsible for performing one specific task on collected data [26]. For example, the application model of the IoT-based greenhouse monitoring system consists of many application modules as shown in Figure 1, depending on the number of sensors used in the application and the available resources. Each module has a specific resource requirement (central processing unit (CPU), random access memory (RAM), and bandwidth, in contrast, fog nodes may not have sufficient resources to handle the demands of these modules and the massive data issued from agricultural sensors. Thus, it is important to adopt a module placement algorithm that determines the suitable fog nodes to host smart farming application modules. Thus, avoid situations where some fog nodes are overloaded and others remain under-loaded, which may lead to a decrease in the QoS in terms of latency and network usage.



Figure 1. Application model for IoT-based greenhouse monitoring system

In this paper, we propose a module placement algorithm for fog-based smart farming applications. The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- a. Design fog-based architecture for smart farming applications. In the proposed architecture, special nodes called fog controller nodes are integrated in order to manage the resources in the fog layer.
- b. Introducing an algorithm for placing smart farming application modules on fog devices based on the proposed fog-based architecture.
- c. The proposed scheme was evaluated using an IoT-based greenhouse monitoring system. The simulation was performed based on a real implementation, which utilized various sensors and actuators, as well as the iFogSim simulator.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a summary of related studies. In section 3, we introduce the proposed system architecture. Section 4 presents the proposed module placement algorithm. Section 5 illustrates the implementation and evaluation of simulation results. Finally, a conclusion will be drawn in section 6.

#### 2. RELATED WORKS

Several studies focus on the role of fog computing in IoT-based smart farming applications. Taneja et al. [27] introduce an innovative system based on fog computing architecture for livestock health monitoring and animal behavior analysis, specifically in dairy farming. The collected sensor data is sent to a fog-based platform for further analysis. The proposed solution focuses on providing early warning alerts by utilizing behavioral analytics to ensure the well-being of the animals. This approach enables the farmer to identify potential diseases in the early stages and take necessary actions. Ammad et al. [28] introduces a new fog-based framework that aims to improve energy efficiency in smart environments enabled by the IoT. This framework includes two new layers, namely a sensor-based energy-efficient hardware layer and a policy layer, which are added to the existing fog-based architecture. The purpose of these layers is to track energy consumption and facilitate energy-aware decision-making. Ribeiro et al. [29] presents a novel method for data collection and storage in smart agriculture environments, along with two distinct approaches for data filtering through the implementation of a fog-based architecture. Two experiments were designed, each utilizing a real dataset comprising humidity and temperature values. In both experiments, fog nodes applied the k-nearest neighbors (k-NN) algorithm to filter the data and categorize it based on its value range. The smart agricultural knowledge discovery system presented in [30] employs the IoT and fog computing paradigm. This system offers users access to real-time information and knowledge, which enhances crop yield performance and reduces resource wastage without compromising quality. The performance of the proposed system has been analyzed and the results show that the proposed system performs superior in terms of latency, scalability, cost, and security of data. Izolan et al. [31] present a cost-effective fog computing platform for soil moisture management that uses a density map of soil moisture to analyze moisture levels across areas, enabling efficient irrigation management. Malik et al. [32] propose a fog-based framework that allows users to simulate custom farming scenarios, covering aspects such as sensor placement, line-of-sight deployment, coverage areas, mobile node mobility models, and data gathering through relay or airborne systems. Alharbi and Aldossary [33], an energy-efficient edge-fog-cloud architecture is suggested for IoT-based smart agriculture. The architecture utilizes edge and fog layers located in close proximity to smart farms, allowing for the collection and processing of diverse sensor data at the fog layer. The main goal is to optimize energy efficiency and improve data management in smart agriculture. One of the main limitations of the mentioned fog-based farming systems is the use of the traditional fog-based architecture without taking into account the limited resources of the fog nodes. Furthermore, it is noticeable that the previous papers did not focus on the module's placement issues. The inefficient management of the fog layer resources and the failure to give priority to delay-sensitive application modules lead to hosting these modules in cloud servers, which increases delay and network usage.

#### 3. PROPOSED SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

This section presents an overview of a proposed smart farming architecture based on fog computing, comprising of three distinct layers. The first layer is the IoT layer that contains agricultural sensors such as temperature sensors, nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (NPK) sensors, and wearable livestock health monitoring systems. The second layer is the fog computing layer, where the fog nodes (FNs) and fog controller nodes (FCNs) are located. The third layer is the central cloud server, which has more resources for storage and processing compared to the fog layer resources.

#### 3.1. IoT layer

This layer consists of IoT sensors, agricultural UAVs, and Agribots that are normally equipped with many sensors capable of sensing the environment and collecting data such as soil moisture, temperature, and soil NPK levels. The sensors used are often heterogeneous and geographically distributed across different smart farms. The collected data is sent to the associated fog node on the next level.

#### 3.2. Fog layer

This layer consists of a set of fog nodes that receive data from the sensor layer. At the site closest to the agricultural sensors, this layer has more resources than the sensor layer. Furthermore, compared to some traditional fog-based smart farming architectures, this layer contains FCNs for effective resource management. FCNs play the role of load balancers in the proposed architecture. FCNs are aware of the available FN resources in the fog layer. Thus, overloading or under-loading situations in the fog network can be avoided because FCNs check the availability of resources before assigning modules to the FN.

In our proposed smart farming architecture, applications are classified into two categories: real-time (e.g., Agribots, agricultural drones, and livestock monitoring systems) and near real-time (e.g., irrigation systems, soil monitoring systems, and temperature sensors). FCNs prioritize data from delay-sensitive applications using the algorithm proposed in the next section.

In this paper, we consider that each smart farming application consists of multiple modules that constitute the data processing elements. Each module has resource requirements, such as CPU, RAM, and bandwidth. FCNs decide which FN will run the module based on its requirements and the available resources in the fog layer, using the proposed module placement algorithm. By adopting this strategy, the fog layer resources can be utilized effectively, leading to reduced delays and network usage, thereby improving the performance of various fog-based smart farming systems.

#### 3.3. Cloud layer

Fog computing complements the cloud, and therefore, dependence on cloud resources still plays an important role. The cloud has powerful computing and storage capabilities that can support fog in extensive computational analysis and permanent storage of data. This makes data available to farmers in the form of graphs and reports.

#### 4. PROPOSED MODULE PLACEMENT ALGORITHM

Consider a fog-based smart farming network with a set of fog node controllers FCN, a set of fog nodes F, and set of IoT sensors. The notations used in this paper are presented in Table 2.

$$F_{fi} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$$
(1)

where fi is the  $i^{-th}$  fog node available for IoT sensors.

Each FN has a limited capacity of resources (CPU, memory and bandwidth). The capacity of the said fog node fi in the proposed architecture is represented as (2).

$$C_{fi} = \{CPU_i, RAM_i, Bandwidth_i\}$$
(2)

As mentioned earlier, each smart farming application consists of multiple modules that constitute the data processing elements. Thus, a set of smart farming application modules is denoted by (3).

$$M = \{m_i\}\tag{3}$$

Each module  $m_i$  has to be placed on fog node and is defined by specific requirements such as CPU, memory, bandwidth and deadline. Thus, the requirement of a smart farming application module  $m_i$  is denoted by  $R_{m_i}$ , where,

$$R_{mi} = \{CPU_i, RAM_i, Bandwidth_i\}$$
(4)

A fog node  $f_i$  cannot accept module  $m_i$  beyond its capacity, where,

$$R_{mi} \le C_{fi} \text{ ; } \forall f \in F \text{ and } \forall m \in M$$
(5)

For delay-sensitive applications, the total time  $T_{mi}$  required for transmission and processing of a module  $m_i$  should be less than the deadline  $D_{mi}$  of this module, where,

$$T_{mi} \leq D_{mi} \quad \forall m \in M$$

(6)

Table 2. Notations and description of terms				
Notation	Description			
F	Set of fog devices			
fi	<i>i</i> <sup>-th</sup> fog node in the fog-smart farming network			
М	set of smart farming application modules			
$R_{mi}$	requirement of a module $m_i$			
$C_{fi}$	Capacity of the fog node $fi$			
$m_i$	<i>i</i> <sup>-th</sup> module of the smart farming application			
$T_{mi}$	Total time required for processing module mi			
$D_{mi}$	Deadline of module <i>mi</i>			

Algorithm 1 provides a summary of the proposed module placement scheme for smart farming applications. This algorithm outlines the step-by-step process for determining the optimal placement of modules within a network of fog nodes to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of smart farming systems. By following the algorithm, farmers can ensure that modules are placed in the optimal locations to maximize performance and minimize delays, ultimately leading to better outcomes for their farms.

#### Algorithm 1. Module placement scheme for fog based smart farming

```
Input: M (set of application modules), F (set of fog nodes)
Output: Rsp (selected fog node)
Function modulePlacement (M m_i, F f_i):
    Sort M and F in order of (5) and (6)
    Sort F in terms of proximity from low to high
    Rsp=null
    for each fog node f_i in F:
        if not is Overloaded (f_i) and capacity
(f_i) \ge = requirement(m_i):
            Calculate delay for f_i using (6)
            Arrange delays in ascending order
            Rsp=f_i
            Update capacity of f_i
            break
    if Rsp==null:
        if m_i is from an urgent smart farming application:
            while true:
                 Select a fog node f_i randomly from F
                 if f_i has no urgent tasks:
                     Rsp=f_i
                     Break
            end while
        else:
            Rsp=Cloud
        end if
    Return Rsp
End function
```

The proposed algorithm is designed to iterate through the available FCNs, taking into account the resources available within the fog layer. By looping through the FCNs, the algorithm can determine which nodes have sufficient resources to process incoming data from the sensor layer in a timely and efficient manner. Additionally, it is important to note that the fog layer is constantly receiving data from the sensor layer. This incoming data stream is a crucial component of the smart farming system, as it provides the algorithm with the necessary inputs to make placement decisions. By continuously monitoring this data stream and iterating through the available FCNs, the algorithm can dynamically adjust module placement to ensure that the system operates optimally at all times. As presented in Figure 2, the modules are classified based on (6) into two main categories: i) modules for delay-sensitive applications and ii) modules for delay-tolerant applications.

In the case of the agricultural robot, for example, this application is based on the sense-processactuate model. In other words, the robot's motion depends on the duration of data collection and transmission by the various embedded sensors. Thus, processing the captured data in the cloud, because FNs are overloaded in the fog layer, will make the sense-process-actuate model very slow or unavailable due to communication failure. This algorithm helps by performing the processing of data very close to the agricultural robots, as well as distributing the modules effectively among all FNs, thus meeting the levels of QoS required for this kind of smart agriculture application.

When all FNs in the fog layer are overloaded, and the received modules are intended for latency-sensitive applications, the FCNs will select a FN that hosts delay-tolerant modules randomly and assign the new modules to it. The delay-tolerant modules are then transmitted directly to the cloud. The cloud servers are responsible for receiving processing results from the fog layer for storage, performing further analysis, and enabling farmers to access the data.

This approach ensures that latency-sensitive applications are handled appropriately by assigning them to FNs that can process them quickly, while delay-tolerant modules are routed directly to the cloud to reduce latency. By distributing the modules effectively among the FNs, the system can balance the workload and prevent any FN from becoming overloaded. Overall, this strategy helps to maintain the required levels of QoS for smart agriculture applications and ensures that the processing of data is done efficiently and effectively.



Figure 2. IoT-based smart farming applications

#### 5. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

In this section, we validate the proposed scheme by implementing it in an IoT-based smart greenhouse application. Furthermore, the algorithm was simulated using iFogSim [34]. In this experiment, we designed and implemented an IoT-based greenhouse monitoring system case study using many sensors and actuators that are connected wirelessly to evaluate the proposed algorithm with real data.

#### 5.1. Implementation of IoT-based smart greenhouse system

Our scenario involves a greenhouse equipped with harvesting robots and distributed sensors and actuators located throughout the facility. During the daytime, each sensor continuously measures specific environmental conditions, such as temperature, humidity, or soil pH, at its specific location and reports these measurements to the fog nodes. By using harvesting robots to collect data from various locations, we can efficiently monitor and optimize the greenhouse environment to improve crop yield and quality.

As depicted in Figure 3, our implementation of the IoT-based greenhouse application utilized a range of sensors for precise data collection and control. In Figure 3(a), we integrated a rain sensor (YL83) to detect precipitation. Figure 3(b) showcases the implementation of a temperature and humidity sensor (DHT11) for monitoring air temperature and humidity levels. An ultrasonic sensor was integrated in Figure 3(c) to enable obstacle avoidance by the agricultural robot. Figure 3(d) illustrates the integration of a gas sensor (MQ2) for monitoring air quality. Lastly, Figure 3(e) presents the incorporation of a soil moisture sensor that enables precision agriculture practices by providing accurate data on soil moisture content.

#### 5.2. Implementation in iFogSim

In this section, we utilized iFogsim simulator to evaluate the proposed module placement scheme algorithm. iFogSim is a toolkit based on CloudSim and is widely used for simulating IoT, edge, fog, and cloud computing environments. The key performance metrics considered for the simulation of the proposed architecture and algorithm are latency and network usage. The analysis of this implementation was done on a DELL computer with Intel Core i5 CPU and 8 GB of memory running Windows 10-64 bit. For the simulation, we set the parameters (such as CPU length, RAM, and Bandwidth) for each node in the fog layer as shown in Table 3. We divided the computing power of the devices into several levels. Level 0 contains the cloud server, as we find in level 1 the proxy that connects the cloud and FCNs, which are located in level 2, level 3 contains fog nodes, and finally level 4 which contains the various sensors and actuators deployed in the smart greenhouses. During the simulation, the size of the topology configuration was gradually increased by adding more connected sensors to preview its impact on latency and network usage.



Figure 3. Illustration of sensors used in the IoT-based greenhouse monitoring system (a) YL83 and nodeMCU, (b) DHT11 and nodeMCU, (c) ultrasonic sensor with nodeMCU, (d) MQ2, and (e) soil moisture sensor

Table 3.	Configuration	details of the pr	oposed architecture

Parameter	Cloud	Proxy	FCN	Fog node
CPU length (MIPS)	44,800	23,800	23,800	18,500
RAM (MB)	40,000	6,000	6,000	4,000
Uplink bandwidth (MB)	100	10,000	10,000	10,000
Download bandwidth (MB)	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Level	0	1	2	3
Rate/MIPS	0.01	0	0	0
Busy power (Watt)	16*103	107.33	107.33	107.33
Idle power (watt)	16*83.25	83.43	83.43	83.43

#### 5.2.1. Latency

Providing real-time processing is critical for latency-sensitive smart farming applications. The main benefit of the proposed algorithm is that it makes the FCNs aware of the resources available in the fog layer before assigning any modules, ensuring they are placed appropriately. Furthermore, the proposed algorithm prioritizes latency-sensitive data. Figure 4 provides a comparison of the latency between the cloud, fog, and proposed schemes. With an increase in the number of connected sensors in the smart greenhouse, it is observed that the latency significantly increases in the cloud compared to the fog and the proposed scheme. In contrast, the delay in the traditional fog-based implementation increases in comparison to our proposed scheme. That is because when the number of sensors in a network in a smart greenhouse increases, only fog nodes dedicated to that network process data before sending it to the cloud. Thus, due to the absence of FCNs that manage resources in the network, the FNs are overloaded and become the bottleneck in the fog network. Thus, the delay increases compared to our suggestion which may have a negative impact on latency-sensitive smart farming applications.



Figure 4. Comparison of latency

A module placement scheme for fog-based smart farming applications (Baghrous Mohamed)

#### 5.2.2. Network usage

IoT sensors deployed within greenhouses transmit real-time task execution requests to a designated fog device. Subsequently, the assigned fog nodes diligently process and analyze the data streams received from the smart farming sensors, taking into consideration their sensitivity to latency as well as the availability of system resources. This comprehensive approach ensures efficient and timely decision-making while optimizing the utilization of resources, ultimately enhancing the overall performance and productivity of the greenhouse system.

The simulation results presented in Figure 5 demonstrate that the proposed approach has a favorable impact on network usage compared to cloud and fog. Additionally, network usage increases as the number of connected sensors increases. The FCNs, which manage the load distribution in the fog layer based on the proposed algorithm, allow FNs to process the data from the associated sensors, resulting in decreased network usage in our proposal. Moreover, data is only sent to the cloud when nodes are overloaded or when the data is tolerant of delay. By optimizing the resources in the fog layer, the proposed approach meets the requirements of latency-sensitive applications in IoT-based intelligent farming with regards to latency and network usage.



Figure 5. Comparison of network usage

#### CONCLUSION 6.

Fog computing, as an extension of cloud computing to the edge of the network, offers numerous benefits for IoT-based smart farming applications. In this paper, we presented a module placement scheme for fog-based smart farming applications, which significantly reduces delays and network usage in the proposed fog-based architecture, as demonstrated by our simulation results. In future work, we intend to develop the proposed algorithm taking into account the mobility of IoT-based agricultural robots in the smart farming network.

#### REFERENCES

- Y. Liu, X. Ma, L. Shu, G. P. Hancke, and A. M. Abu-Mahfouz, "From Industry 4.0 to Agriculture 4.0: current status, enabling F11 technologies, and research challenges," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 4322-4334, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TII.2020.3003910.
- O. Friha, M. A. Ferrag, L. Shu, L. Maglaras, and X. Wang, "Internet of things for the future of smart agriculture: a comprehensive [2] survey of emerging technologies," IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 718-752, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1109/JAS.2021.1003925.
- R. Rayhana, G. Xiao, and Z. Liu, "Internet of things empowered smart greenhouse farming," IEEE Journal of Radio Frequency [3] Identification, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 195-211, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1109/JRFID.2020.2984391.
- L. T. Beng, P. B. Kiat, L. N. Meng, and P. N. Cheng, "Field testing of IoT devices for livestock monitoring using wireless sensor [4] network, near field communication and wireless power transfer," in 2016 IEEE Conference on Technologies for Sustainability (SusTech), 2016, pp. 169–173, doi: 10.1109/SusTech.2016.7897161. M. Junaid et al., "Smart agriculture cloud using AI based techniques," Energies, vol. 14, no. 16, Aug. 2021, doi:
- [5] 10.3390/en14165129.
- B. Yimwadsana, P. Chanthapeth, C. Lertthanyaphan, and A. Pornvechamnuay, "An IoT controlled system for plant growth," in 2018 [6] Seventh ICT International Student Project Conference (ICT-ISPC), Jul. 2018, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/ICT-ISPC.2018.8523886.
- [7] S. Geetha, P. Deepalakshmi, and S. Pande, "Managing crop for Indian farming using IoT," in 2019 IEEE International Conference on Clean Energy and Energy Efficient Electronics Circuit for Sustainable Development (INCCES), Dec. 2019, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/INCCES47820.2019.9167699.

- [8] M. M. Abbassy and W. M. Ead, "Intelligent greenhouse management system," in 2020 6th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS), Mar. 2020, pp. 1317–1321, doi: 10.1109/ICACCS48705.2020.9074345.
- [9] S. K. Mohammed, S. M. Kamruzzaman, A. Ahmed, A. Hoque, and F. Shabnam, "Design and implementation of an IoT based forest environment monitoring system," in 2019 IEEE 5th International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC), Dec. 2019, pp. 2152–2156, doi: 10.1109/ICCC47050.2019.9064058.
- [10] M. A. M. Ariffin et al., "Automatic climate control for mushroom cultivation using IoT approach," in 2020 IEEE 10th International Conference on System Engineering and Technology (ICSET), Nov. 2020, pp. 123–128, doi: 10.1109/ICSET51301.2020.9265383.
- [11] Y. A. Ahmad, T. Surya Gunawan, H. Mansor, B. A. Hamida, A. Fikri Hishamudin, and F. Arifin, "On the evaluation of DHT22 temperature sensor for IoT application," in 2021 8th International Conference on Computer and Communication Engineering (ICCCE), Jun. 2021, pp. 131–134, doi: 10.1109/ICCCE50029.2021.9467147.
- [12] J. Vaughan, P. M. Green, M. Salter, B. Grieve, and K. B. Ozanyan, "Floor sensors of animal weight and gait for precision livestock farming," in 2017 IEEE Sensors, Oct. 2017, pp. 1–3, doi: 10.1109/ICSENS.2017.8234202.
- [13] S. Koompairojn, C. Puitrakul, T. Bangkok, N. Riyagoon, and S. Ruengittinun, "Smart tag tracking for livestock farming," in 2017 10th International Conference on Ubi-media Computing and Workshops (Ubi-Media), Aug. 2017, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/UMEDIA.2017.8074146.
- [14] Q. Li, Z. Liu, and J. Xiao, "A data collection collar for vital signs of cows on the grassland based on LoRa," in 2018 IEEE 15th International Conference on e-Business Engineering (ICEBE), Oct. 2018, pp. 213–217, doi: 10.1109/ICEBE.2018.00041.
- [15] Vijay, A. K. Saini, S. Banerjee, and H. Nigam, "An IoT instrumented smart agricultural monitoring and irrigation system," in 2020 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Signal Processing (AISP), Jan. 2020, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/AISP48273.2020.9073605.
- [16] S. K. Roy, S. Misra, N. S. Raghuwanshi, and S. K. Das, "AgriSens: IoT-based dynamic irrigation scheduling system for water management of irrigated crops," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 5023–5030, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2020.3036126.
- [17] A. D. Boursianis et al., "Smart irrigation system for precision agriculture-the AREThOU5A IoT platform," IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 21, no. 16, pp. 17539–17547, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2020.3033526.
- [18] R. K. Kodali, S. C. Rajanarayanan, and L. Boppana, "IoT based weather monitoring and notification system for greenhouses," in 2019 11th International Conference on Advanced Computing (ICoAC), Dec. 2019, pp. 342–345, doi: 10.1109/ICoAC48765.2019.246864.
- [19] A. F. Subahi and K. E. Bouazza, "An intelligent IoT-based system design for controlling and monitoring greenhouse temperature," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 125488–125500, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3007955.
- [20] D. K. Widyawati, A. Ambarwari, and A. Wahyudi, "Design and prototype development of internet of things for greenhouse monitoring system," in 2020 3rd International Seminar on Research of Information Technology and Intelligent Systems (ISRITI), Dec. 2020, pp. 389–393, doi: 10.1109/ISRITI51436.2020.9315487.
- [21] H. Durmus and E. O. Gunes, "Integration of the mobile robot and internet of things to collect data from the agricultural fields," in 2019 8th International Conference on Agro-Geoinformatics (Agro-Geoinformatics), Jul. 2019, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/Agro-Geoinformatics.2019.8820578.
- [22] M. A. Sayed, N. Shams, and H. U. Zaman, "An IoT based robotic system for irrigation notifier," in 2019 IEEE International Conference on Robotics, Automation, Artificial-intelligence and Internet-of-Things (RAAICON), Nov. 2019, pp. 77–80, doi: 10.1109/RAAICON48939.2019.38.
- [23] A. Khan, S. Aziz, M. Bashir, and M. U. Khan, "IoT and wireless sensor network based autonomous farming robot," in 2020 International Conference on Emerging Trends in Smart Technologies (ICETST), Mar. 2020, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/ICETST49965.2020.9080736.
- [24] M. M. Vihari, U. R. Nelakuditi, and M. P. Teja, "IoT based unmanned aerial vehicle system for agriculture applications," in 2018 International Conference on Smart Systems and Inventive Technology (ICSSIT), Dec. 2018, pp. 26–28, doi: 10.1109/ICSSIT.2018.8748794.
- [25] G. Castellanos, M. Deruyck, L. Martens, and W. Joseph, "System assessment of WUSN using NB-IoT UAV-aided networks in potato crops," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 56823–56836, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2982086.
- [26] N. K. Giang, M. Blackstock, R. Lea, and V. C. M. Leung, "Developing IoT applications in the fog: A distributed dataflow approach," in 2015 5th International Conference on the Internet of Things (IOT), Oct. 2015, pp. 155–162, doi: 10.1109/IOT.2015.7356560.
- [27] M. Taneja, J. Byabazaire, A. Davy, and C. Olariu, "Fog assisted application support for animal behaviour analysis and health monitoring in dairy farming," in 2018 IEEE 4th World Forum on Internet of Things (WF-IoT), Feb. 2018, pp. 819–824, doi: 10.1109/WF-IoT.2018.8355141.
- [28] M. Ammad et al., "A novel fog-based multi-level energy-efficient framework for IoT-enabled smart environments," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 150010–150026, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3010157.
- [29] F. M. Ribeiro, R. Prati, R. Bianchi, and C. Kamienski, "A nearest neighbors based data filter for fog computing in IoT smart agriculture," in 2020 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for Agriculture and Forestry (MetroAgriFor), Nov. 2020, pp. 63–67, doi: 10.1109/MetroAgriFor50201.2020.9277661.
- [30] U. Sakthi and J. D. Rose, "Smart agricultural knowledge discovery system using IoT technology and fog computing," in 2020 Third International Conference on Smart Systems and Inventive Technology (ICSSIT), Aug. 2020, pp. 48–53, doi: 10.1109/ICSSIT48917.2020.9214102.
- [31] P. L. R. Izolan et al., "Low-cost fog computing platform for soil moisture management," in 2020 International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN), Jan. 2020, pp. 499–504, doi: 10.1109/ICOIN48656.2020.9016572.
- [32] A. W. Malik, A. U. Rahman, T. Qayyum, and S. D. Ravana, "Leveraging fog computing for sustainable smart farming using distributed simulation," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 3300–3309, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2020.2967405.
- [33] H. A. Alharbi and M. Aldossary, "Energy-efficient edge-fog-cloud architecture for IoT-based smart agriculture environment," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 110480–110492, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3101397.
- [34] H. Gupta, A. Vahid Dastjerdi, S. K. Ghosh, and R. Buyya, "iFogSim: A toolkit for modeling and simulation of resource management techniques in the internet of things, edge and fog computing environments," *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 1275–1296, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1002/spe.2509.

### **BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS**



**Baghrous Mohamed B S** received his M.S. degree in Internet of things and mobile systems from ENSA, the University of Sid Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Fez, Morocco. He is currently a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Sid Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco. His research interests include: IoT, fog computing, and smart farming. He can be contacted at email: mohamed.baghrous@usmba.ac.ma.



**Ezzouhairi Abdellatif D X E** received the Ph.D. and the M.Sc degrees in Mobile Computing from Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal, Canada. The Engineering degree in Computer Sciences from ENSIAS, Rabat, Morocco. He worked as an associated researcher at the Mobile Computing and Networking Research Laboratory, Chair Ericsson Canada. He is now a Full Professor at USBMA-ENSA University, Morocco. His research interests cover: mobile network integration and internet of things. He can be contacted at email: abdellatif.ezzouhairi@usmba.ac.ma.



**Manal Mouhajir B S** received her M.S. degree in Internet of Things and Mobile Systems from ENSA-Fez, the University of Sid Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco, in 2018. She is currently a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Sid Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco. Her research interests include: IoT, edge computing, and smart city. She can be contacted at email: manal.mouhajir@usmba.ac.ma.



**Manare Zerifi D S S P** received her M.S. degree in Internet of Things and Mobile Systems from ENSA-Fez, the University of Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco, in 2019. She is currently a Ph.D. candidate at the University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco. Her research interests include: IoT, SDN, NFV, and fog computing. She can be contacted at email: manare.zerifi@usmba.ac.ma.



**Yahya Rabah B Y** received his M.S. degree in Internet of Things and Mobile Systems from ENSA the University of Sid Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco, in 2018. He is currently a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Sid Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco. His research interests include internet of things (IoT), internet of medical things (IoMT), security and artificial intelligence (AI). He can be contacted at email: rbah.yahya@gmail.com.



**Errafik Youssef D X E** received his M.S. degree in Internet of things and mobile systems from ENSA-Fez, the University of Sid Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco, in 2018. He is currently a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Sid Mohamed Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco. His research interests include human activity cognition based sensos, deep learning, and time series. He can be contacted at email: errafikyoussef@gmail.com.