# A novel optimal small cells deployment for next-generation cellular networks

# Nael A. Al-Shareefi, Ammar AbdRaba Sakran

Faculty of Medical Informatics, University of Information Technology and Communications (UOITC), Baghdad, Iraq

## **Article Info**

# Article history:

Received Aug 14, 2020 Revised Apr 29, 2021 Accepted May 21, 2021

## Keywords:

CHP Deployment Donor base station Mobile station N<sub>SC</sub>

## ABSTRACT

Small-cell-deployments have pulled cellular operators to boost coverage and capacity in high-demand areas (for example, downtown hot spots). The location of these small cells (SCs) should be determined in order to achieve successful deployments. In this paper, we propose a new approach that optimizes small cells deployment in cellular networks to achieve three objectives: reduce the total cost of network installation, balancing the allocation of resources, i.e. placement of each SC and their transmitted power, and providing optimal coverage area with a lower amount of interference between adjacent stations. An accurate formula was obtained to determine the optimum number of SC deployment (NSC). Finally, we derive a mathematical expression to calculate the critical-handoff-point (CHP) for neighboring wireless stations.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



## Corresponding Author:

Nael A. Al-Shareefi Faculty of Medical Informatics University of Information Technology and Communications (UOITC) Baghdad, Iraq Email: dr.nael.al\_shareefi@uoitc.edu.iq

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Outage in wireless services in the urban areas due to the poor coverage and the long geographical distance from the donor base station ( $D_{eNB}$ ) and mobile stations (MS), is critical design challenge that radio planning engineers need to think about. Radio engineers have chosen to deploy more  $D_{eNBs}$  with a small radius to solve this issue. However, this solution is impractical science it increases total cost of network and resources allocation [1]. SCs are miniature  $D_{eNB}$  that divides a cell into smaller geographical area. SCs have been appeared to save the energy consumption and raise the throughput in cellular scenarios [2]. The most common form of SCs is microcells, picocells and femtocells which can be installed indoor/outdoor [3], [4]. Because of its easy deployment, low power and low cost, SCs provide a feasible and cost-effective way to boost cellular coverage, capacity and quality of service. Since wireless carriers seek to "condense" existing wireless networks to provide data capacity requirements for "5G", SCs are currently seen as a solution to allow the same frequency reuse and as an important means of raising mobile network throughput and quality with increased focus with 4G long term evolution advanced (LTE-A) [5], [6].

Some initial studies involved with CSs deployment were explored in [7]-[19]. Hoadley and Maveddat [12] showed the necessity of CS deployment. Chen *et al.* [13] studied how to deploy small cells nested with macro cells, and improves overall network performance. Ranaweera *et al.* [14] discussed the backhaul of small cells. The automated deployment of a small cell for heterogeneous cellular networks is addressed in [15]. However, the researchers did not identify the optimum SC deployment. In this paper, we propose a new approach that can optimizes SC deployment and enhances coverage area by mitigate the

amount of the interference between stations. An accurate and simple mathematical formula was obtained to determine the optimum number of SC deployment ( $N_{SC}$ ).

## 2. THEORITCAL ANALYSIS

## 2.1. Critical-handoff-point

Cellular telecommunications define the handoff as a situation where two frequency channels are available, thereafter the cellular network selects one them [20]. It is activated either by crossing the cell boundaries or by degrading the signal quality. The cellular network searchs for the available channels and chooses which channel and cell to perform this operation [21]. Multiple Hops have been considered as supplementary technology in next generation cellular networks. To assess the CHP in multi-hop cellular networks, received signal strength (RSS) from SC and  $D_{eNB}$  need to be known. Consequently, MS selects the strongest in terms of RSS as depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Critical-handover-point

In Figure 1, the distance between the  $D_{eNB}$  and SC is indicated by  $(d_{sc})$ . The distance from the  $D_{eNB}$  to MS is indicated by  $L_o$ . The distance from the SC to MS is indicated by  $(d_{sc}-L_o)$ . Critical-handoff becomes clear when the RSS from the  $D_{eNB}$  to MS,  $(Y_{DeNB, MS})$ , is equal to the RSS from SC to MS  $(Y_{SC, MS})$ . Based on this relation the value of  $L_o$  can be evaluated and  $(Y_{DeNB, MS})$  and  $(Y_{SC, MS})$  can be mathematically written as:

$$Y_{D_{eNB},MS} = \sqrt{P_{D_{eNB}}} H_{D_{eNB}} X_{D_{eNB}}$$
(1)

$$Y_{SC,MS} = \sqrt{P_{SC}} H_{SC} X_{SC} \tag{2}$$

where  $P_{SC}$  and  $P_{DeNB}$  are the transmit power of the SC and  $D_{eNB}$ , respectively.  $H_{SC}$  is the channel gain from SC location to MS and  $H_{DeNB}$  is the channel gain form  $D_{eNB}$  to MS.  $X_{DeNB}$  and  $X_{SC}$  are RSSs from  $D_{eNB}$  and SC, respectively. At CHP, the received signal from  $D_{eNB}$  to MS equals to the received signal from SC to MS. This situation can be written as

$$Y_{SC,MS} = Y_{D_{eNB},MS} \tag{3}$$

$$\sqrt{P_{D_{eNB}}}H_{D_{eNB}}X_{D_{eNB}} = \sqrt{P_{SC}}H_{SC}X_{SC}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$P_{D_{eNB}} \left| H_{D_{eNB}} \right|^2 = P_{SC} \left| H_{SC} \right|^2 \tag{5}$$

For simplicity, H can be given by [22]

$$H\Big| = d^{-\alpha} \tag{6}$$

Int J Elec & Comp Eng, Vol. 11, No. 6, December 2021 : 5259 - 5265

$$\Rightarrow \left| H_{D_{eNB}} \right|^2 = L_o^{-\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| H_{RS} \right|^2 = (d_{SC} - L_o)^{-\alpha}$$
(7)

where  $\alpha$  is the path-loss, typical values range between 1.5 and 5. Therefore, In (5) can be written as:

$$P_{D_{eNB}}L_{o}^{-\alpha} = P_{RS}(d_{SC} - L_{o})^{-\alpha}$$
(8)

$$P_{D_{eNB}}^{(\frac{-1}{\alpha})}L_{o} = P_{SC}^{(\frac{-1}{\alpha})}(d_{SC} - L_{o})$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

$$L_{o} = d_{SC} / \left( \left( \frac{P_{SC}}{P_{D_{eNB}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + 1 \right)$$
(10)

#### **2.2.** Optimum number of SCs deployment (Nsc)

To to reduce the number of the deployed SCs and enhancing the coverage area with minimizing the interference between SC stations,  $N_{SC}$  should be computed. To compute  $N_{SC}$ , suppose that a MS exists in the middle between two SCs, and that *Lo* is the distance from SC to MS as demonstrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2. SCs deployment

In Figure 2, increasing the number of SCs can enhance coverage area. But at the same time, it is increasing interference between SCs [23]. This paper presents a solution to balance between increasing number of SCs, increasing interference between SCs and increasing resource allocation by derivation of N<sub>SC</sub> based on ( $\alpha$ ) from adjacent D<sub>eNB</sub> and SCs [24], [25]. In Figure 2, really simple syndication (RSS) from two neighbouring SCs can mathematically expressed as:

$$Y_{MS1} = \sqrt{P_{SC1}} H_{SC1} X_{SC1} + \sigma_{MS}$$
(11)

$$Y_{MS2} = \sqrt{P_{SC2}} H_{SC2} X_{SC2} + \sigma_{MS}$$
<sup>(12)</sup>

 $\sigma_{MS}$  is additive white Gaussian noise for mobile station. The RSS from the  $D_{eNB}$  can be mathematically expressed as:

$$Y_{D_{eNB},MS} = \sqrt{P_{D_{eNB}}} H_{D_{eNB}} X_{D_{eNB}} + \sigma_{MS}$$
(13)

At MS, the interference power among adjacent SCs to MS is greater than or equal to that from  $D_{eN}B$  to MS. Therefore, in (11)-(13) can be written as:

$$Y_{D_{e^{NB}},MS} \le Y_{MS1} + Y_{MS2} \tag{14}$$

$$P_{D_{eNB},MS} . (d_S)^{-\alpha} < P_{SC1}(L_o)^{-\alpha} + P_{SC2}(L_o)^{-\alpha}$$
(15)

$$P_{D_{eNB},MS} . (d_S)^{-\alpha} < 2P_{SC} (L_o)^{-\alpha}$$
(16)

As depicted in Figure 2, SCs deployed at cell boundaries around the  $D_{eNB}$  with radius d<sub>s</sub>. Consequently, the distance between neighboring SCs is:

$$2L_o = \frac{2\pi d_s}{N_{sc}} \tag{17}$$

Substitution of (17) into (16) produces:

$$(d_S)^{-\alpha} < \frac{2P_{RS}}{P_{D_{eNB}}} \left(\frac{\pi d_S}{N_{RS}}\right)^{-\alpha}$$
(18)

Thus, the optimum number of SCs (N<sub>SC</sub>) is:

$$N_{SC} < \pi \left(\frac{2P_{SC}}{P_{D_{eNB}}}\right)^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}$$
(19)

Based on (19), the optimum number of SCs (N<sub>SC</sub>) depend on three factors: the distributed transmitted power of each SC (P<sub>SC</sub>),  $D_{eNB}$  and  $\alpha$ . These factors provide the maximum enhancement in capacity and coverage area and mitigate the interference between nodes (SCs,  $D_{eNB}$ ).

#### 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The numerical results based on the above theoretical derivation coupled with simulation results using MATLAB R2019a and industrial control system (ICS) telecom simulator, are presented in this section. Figure 3, shows the effect of optimum SC location on the N<sub>SC</sub>. The highest N<sub>SC</sub> can be obtained when the placement of SC from  $D_{eNB}$  is increased. The N<sub>SC</sub> value degrades slowly with the decrement of the placement of SC from  $D_{eNB}$ . This enhancement in N<sub>SC</sub> is obtained by balancing the N<sub>SC</sub> with optimal SC location.

Figure 4, shows the relationship between power allocation of each SC and  $N_{SC}$ . The power allocation for each SC increases as  $N_{SC}$  decreases based on (19) to avoid interference between neighboring SCs as well as balance the total power consumption for SCs with the  $N_{SC}$ . Figure 5, shows the relationship between transmitted power allocation of each SC and their placement within the cell. This relation ensures the enhancement of spectral efficiency by balancing the power allocated for SCs with their locations. The power assigned for each SC is reduced whenever the location of SC from  $D_{eNB}$  is increased as shown in Figure 5.

Figure 6 depicts interference alleviation for the optimum SCs deployment based on our approach assuming the number of SCs ( $N_{SC}$ =3) and using ICS telecom simulator. Figure 6(a) shows the interference when SCs inside cell boundaries of the  $D_{eNB}$  are deactivated (SCs idle), while Figure 6(b) depicts the interference in case of activated SCs. Compared with Figure 6(a), Figure 6(b) shows an interference alleviation and an enhancement in the coverage area.



Figure 3.  $N_{SC}$  Vs. placement of SC from  $D_{eNB}$ 





A novel optimal small cells deployment for next-generation cellular networks (Nael A. Al-Shareefi)



Figure 6. Interference alleviation with deploying SCs, (a) the interference when SCs inside cell boundaries of the D<sub>eNB</sub> are deactivated (SCs idle), (b) the interference in case of activated SCs

# 4. CONCLUSION

This paper investigates a new optimal small cells deployment technique that is developed to mitigate the interference level between adjacent SCs and the  $D_{eNB}$ , reduce the total cost of network installation, and providing maximize coverage area. The proposed technique involves more than one phase. These phases aim, firstly, to get accurate equations for critical-handoff-point and optimum number of small cell stations ( $N_{SC}$ ). The deduced equations showed good accuracy with numerical simulation which represent the second phase. The paper assists radio planning engineers in determination  $N_{SC}$  and CHP without using licensed and expensive simulators to achieve maximum coverage area with a minimum amount of interference between adjacent stations for next generation cellular networks.

#### REFERENCES

[1] A. Anpalagan, M. Bennis, and R. Vannithamby, "Design and deployment of small cell networks," Cambridge University Press, 2015.

- [2] N. A. Al-Shareefi, J. A. Aldhaibaini, S. A. Abbas, and H. S. Obaid, "Towards 5G millimeter-wave wireless networks: a comparative study on electro-optical upconversion techniques," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJEECS)*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1471-1478, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v20.i3.pp1471-1478.
- [3] S. Wei, L. Qi, L. Yiqun, B. Meng, C. Guoli, and Z. Hongke, "Small cell deployment and smart cooperation scheme in dual-layer wireless networks," *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, vol. 10, no. 3, 2014, Art no. 929805, doi: 10.1155/2014/929805.
- [4] J. A. Aldhaibani, A. Yahya, R. Ahmad, N. Al-Shareefi, and M. Salman, "Effect of relay location on two-way DF and AF relay in LTE-A cellular networks," *International Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering* & *Technology (IJECET)*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 385-399, 2012.
- [5] J. A. Aldhaibani and N. A. Al-Shareefi, "Free Space Optics Backhaul Link For Small Cells Of 5G Cellular Networks," *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1685-1697, 2020.
- [6] N. Al-Shareefi, "Comparison Of Three Different Eou Techniques For Fifth-Generation Mm-W Wireless Networks," *Iraqi Journal for Computers and Informatics*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 9-14, 2019.
- [7] N. S. Benni and S. S. Manvi, "Enhancement of data transmission using optimized multi-cell approach in 5G backhaul wireless mesh network," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJEECS)*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 68-79, 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v20.i1.pp214-221.
- [8] M. Ajamgard and H. S. Shahraki, "Improved phantom cell deployment for capacity enhancement," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.08976*, 2017.
- [9] D. Abonyi and D. Rigelsford, "A System for Optimizing Small-Cell Deployment in 2-Tier HetNets," 2018 IEEE 23rd International Workshop on Computer Aided Modeling and Design of Communication Links and Networks (CAMAD), 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/CAMAD.2018.8514981.
- [10] M. Patwarya et al., "Universal Intelligent Small Cell (UnISCell) for next generation cellular networks," *Digital Communications and Networks*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 167-174, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.dcan.2016.09.003.
- [11] P. Muñoz, O. Sallent, and J. Pérez-Romero, "Capacity self-planning in Small Cell multi-tenant 5G Networks," 2017 IFIP/IEEE Symposium on Integrated Network and Service Management (IM), 2017, pp. 1109-1114, doi: 10.23919/INM.2017.7987449.
- [12] J. Hoadley and P. Maveddat, "Enabling small cell deployment with HetNet," in *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 4-5, April 2012, doi: 10.1109/MWC.2012.6189405.
- [13] C. S. Chen, V. M. Nguyen, and L. Thomas, "On Small Cell Network Deployment: A Comparative Study of Random and Grid Topologies," 2012 IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Fall), 2012, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/VTCFall.2012.6398953.
- [14] C. Ranaweera, P. Iannone, K. Oikonomou, K. C. Reichmann, and R. Sinha, "Cost optimization of fiber deployment for small cell backhaul," in *National Fiber Optic Engineers Conference*, 2013, pp. NTh3F. 2, doi: 10.1364/NFOEC.2013.NTh3F.2.
- [15] W. Guo, S. Wang, X. Chu, J. Zhang, J. Chen, and H. Song, "Automated small-cell deployment for heterogeneous cellular networks," in *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 46-53, May 2013, doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2013.6515046.
- [16] S. Chou, T. Chiu, Y. Yu, and A. Pang, "Mobile small cell deployment for next generation cellular networks," 2014 IEEE Global Communications Conference, 2014, pp. 4852-4857, doi: 10.1109/GLOCOM.2014.7037574.
- [17] D. Abonyi and D. Rigelsford, "A System for Optimizing Small-Cell Deployment in 2-Tier HetNets," 2018 IEEE 23rd International Workshop on Computer Aided Modeling and Design of Communication Links and Networks (CAMAD), 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/CAMAD.2018.8514981.
- [18] R. Saadoon, R. Sakat, and M. Abbod, "Small cell deployment for data only transmission assisted by mobile edge computing functionality," 2017 Sixth International Conference on Future Generation Communication Technologies (FGCT), 2017, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/FGCT.2017.8103399.
- [19] B. E. Tegicho, B. B. Haile, E. Mutafungwa, and J. Hämäläinen, "Comparison of Multiobjective Optimal and Collocated 4G and 5G Small Cells Using Street Lampposts as Candidate Locations," 2019 IEEE AFRICON, 2019, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/AFRICON46755.2019.9133732.
- [20] J. Chen, X. Ge, and Q. Ni, "Coverage and Handoff Analysis of 5G Fractal Small Cell Networks," in *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1263-1276, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2018.2890662.
- [21] J. Laiho, A. Wacker, and T. Novosad, "Radio network planning and optimisation for UMTS," New York: Wiley, 2002.
- [22] A. Taufique, M. Jaber, A. Imran, Z. Dawy, and E. Yacoub, "Planning Wireless Cellular Networks of Future: Outlook, Challenges and Opportunities," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 4821-4845, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2680318.
- [23] B.-Jin Lee, J.-P. Cho, and I.-Ho Ra, "Propagation Characterization Based on Geographic Location Variation for 5G Small Cells," *Mobile Information Systems*, vol. 2017, 2017, doi: 10.1155/2017/7028431.
- [24] X.-X. Nguyen and D.-Thuan Do, "Optimal power allocation and throughput performance of full-duplex DF relaying networks with wireless power transfer-aware channel," *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications* and Networking, no. 1, pp. 1-16, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s13638-017-0936-x.
- [25] O. Fratu *et al.*, "Comparative study of Radio Mobile and ICS Telecom propagation prediction models for DVB-T," 2015 IEEE International Symposium on Broadband Multimedia Systems and Broadcasting, 2015, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/BMSB.2015.7177260.