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ABSTRACT
One of most important elements in electric power system planning is load forecasts. So, in this paper proposes the load demand forecasts using de-noising wavelet transform (DNWT) integrated with neural network (NN) methods. This research, the case study uses peak load demand of Thailand (Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand: EGAT). The data of demand will be analyzed with many influencing variables for selecting and classifying factors. In the research, the de-noising wavelet transform uses for decomposing the peak load signal into 2 components these are detail and trend components. The forecasting method using the neural network algorithm is used. The work results are shown a good performance of the model proposed. The result may be taken to the one of decision in the power systems operation.

1. INTRODUCTION
Peak load demand forecasting, is one of predicted demands for consumption load of the country. It shows a maximum required in each hour, month, and year in the future. Load demand, it is depended on many influencing factors. These factors are economic variables such as industrial factor, consumer price...
index, or GDP of the country, and weather variables for instance temperature, humidity, ultraviolet, rainfall, and wind speed. Thus, the peak load demand forecasting is significantly for the power system operation.

The case of the forecasting can be classified into 4 types such as very short-term, short-term, medium-term, and long-term load forecasts. Each forecasting has advantage as follows: VSTLF result is used for power system operation; STLF result utilizes for power system maintenance and operation; MTLF result uses for fuel reserve planning; LTLF result is related to power plant planning in the future. This research proposes the forecasting interval MTLF model.

In the past of paper, many methods are used for load demand forecasting in the power system. There are two major approaches. These methods are statistic method such as exponential smoothing (ES) [1], multiple linear regression (MLR) [2], and autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) [3-6]. Some methods are conventional approaches. The presented method, artificial intelligence is used a lot in load prediction such as artificial neural network (NN) [7-16], support vector machine (SVM) [17-21], fuzzy logic [22-26]. Many papers at the present propose the forecasting research using wavelet transform with support vector machine or neural network approach [27]. Several papers interest the forecasts in short-term load prediction [28-40], mid-term load forecasts [20] [33], and long-term load forecasting [41-43]. Also, some paper interest to forecast by focus in multi-area forecasts [44] and on the influence of weather factors [32]. While, some researches use AI for other work in power system [45-46].

This research presents the load demand forecasts using denoising wavelet transform (DNWT) integrated with neural network (NN) methods. The case study used peak load demand of Thailand (EGAT) is proposed. The peak load demand data will be analysed with influencing variables for selecting and classifying factors. The denoising wavelet transform will be used for decomposing the monthly peak load signal into two components (detail and trend components) after separating. The main forecast method using the neural network algorithm is used.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides an introduction to electricity demand and influencing factors of Thailand. Section 3 proposes the theory and implementation methodologies. Section 4 gives the data testing and forecasting results. Section 5 provides the discussion, and finally the conclusion is drawn in section 6.

2. ELECTRICITY DEMAND AND INFLUENCING FACTORS

Load characteristics vary significantly among different electricity systems; therefore, it is very important to study the energy demand pattern of the system before constructing the demand forecasting model in the research. In this section, the paper discusses about energy load demand and Influencing factors of the demand in Thailand.

![Energy demand (MW) and energy consumption load demand (MWh)](image)

2.1. Energy Demand

Electric energy consumption is the form of energy consumption that uses electric energy. It is the actual energy demand made on existing electricity supply. It can be classified into two types that are energy demand (W) and energy consumption demand (Wh). The W is a measure of power, while Wh is a measure of
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energy. This paper will be explained in MW or megawatt, in Thailand electricity, Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT). The peak load demand of the country is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 shows two characteristics of energy. There are energy demand (MW) and consumption demand (MWh). These data were recorded from year 1997 to 2004 in monthly type. The electricity energy has the trend of demand to increase every year. Meanwhile, the energy details in all month show the behaviour of peak load demand compliance with influencing factors.

2.2. Influencing Factors

In the power system, mid-term load forecasting, the weather and economy are usually the dominant variables in driving the electricity demand of the country. Firstly, the weather factors mean the temperature, humidity, rainfall, and wind speed. The temperature factors for instance maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and average temperature are very affectively in the behaviour component of the demand in each month. It can indicate the customer behaviour caused by the temperature change. For example, especially, if today is higher temperature, the consumers will open air conditioner a lot. It makes more demands of the area or the country. The last, economic variables, there are many factors such as gross domestic product (GDP), consumer price index (CPI), and industrial index (IDI). These economic factors show the economic growth of the country, the growth trend. The significant index is GDP. In summary, both weather and economic influencing factors indicate the load demand of all areas. These factors will affect with the trend of the peak demand and the customer behaviour of each month. So, in the research, both weather and economic factors will be used for the feature input of peak load model forecasting which the feature input is described in the next section.

3. THEORY AND IMPLEMENTATION METHODOLOGIES

3.1.1. De-noisy Wavelet Transforms

The wavelet transform theory is applicable to several subjects in the research. All wavelet transforms (WT) may be considered pattern form of time-frequency representation for continuous time signals (analog signal) and so are related to harmonic analysis. Almost all practically useful discrete WT use discrete-time filter banks (FB). This FB is called the wavelet and scaling coefficients in wavelet nomenclature. This FB may contain either finite impulse response (FIR) or infinite impulse response (IIR) filters. The wavelets forming a continuous wavelet transform (CWT) are subject to the uncertainty principle of Fourier transform analysis. The product of the uncertainties of time and frequency response scale has a lower bound. Thus, in the scaleogram of a continuous wavelet transform of the signal. Also, discrete wavelet transform (DWT) bases may be considered in the context of other forms of the uncertainty principle. Finally, wavelet transforms are divided into three types: continuous, discrete, and multi-resolution.

Basically, when dealing with wavelet analysis, two types of transforms can be used: the continuous wavelet and the discrete wavelet transforms. Firstly, continuous wavelet transform (CWT), the continuous wavelet transform is the sum over all time of scaled and shifted value of a wavelet function \( \psi \). CWT, when applied on the original signal \( f(t) \), is expressed as:

\[
CWT \{ f \} (\tau, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \psi^* \left( \frac{t - \tau}{s} \right) dt
\]

Where \( s \) represents the scale parameter, \( \tau \) represents the translation parameter, and \( \Psi \) represents the wavelet function. Lastly, discrete Wavelet transform (DWT), in practice, signals acquired experimentally are not continuous in time, but sampled as discrete time intervals. Previously, we have seen that the CWT performs a time-frequency resolution (or multiresolution) by scaling (contraction and dilation) and shifting a wavelet function. Recently, it has been shown that such analysis can actually be performed using multiresolution filter banks and wavelet functions, resulting in the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT). The DWT is realized by mean of the filters \( h[k], g[k] \) that are related to each other through the equation as following.

\[
g[k] = (-1)^{k+1} h[N - k - 1]\quad \text{for} \quad k = 0, 1, ..., N - 1
\]
\[
\phi(t) = \sqrt{2} \sum_k b[k] \phi(2t-k) 
\]

(3)

\[
\Psi(t) = \sqrt{2} \sum_k g[k] \phi(2t-k) 
\]

(4)

Also, de-noisy wavelet transforms the basic idea: one area where wavelets have proven to be very useful is that of nonparametric regression or signal de-noising. This is a way of removing random noise from a series in order to leave the true signal. This is done with no knowledge of the form of the underlying function.

To begin with let us assume that a noisy signal has the form,

\[
y_i = g(x_i) + e_i 
\]

(5)

Hence \( g(x) \) represents the true function to be estimated and \( e_i \) is some form of random noise usually assumed to be normally distributed. The basic procedure for de-noising is then to take a transform of this noisy signal. The transformed signal will then have the form,

\[
d^* = d + \varepsilon 
\]

(6)

where \( d \) represents the transform of the true signal and \( \varepsilon \) presents the transform of the noise.

3.1.2. Neural Network Algorithm

Neural network algorithm (NN), is an information processing paradigm that is inspired by the way biological nervous systems, such as the brain process information. The key element of this paradigm is the novel structure of the information processing system. It is composed of a large number of highly interconnected processing elements (neurons) working in union to solve specific problems. NN, like people, learn by example. The NN is configured for a specific application, such as pattern recognition or data classification, through a learning process. Learning in biological system involves adjustments to the synaptic connections that exist between the neurones. This is true of NN as well. Network architecture, in this research, shows multiple-input neuron. Typically, a neuron has more than one input. A neuron with \( R \) inputs is shown in Figure 2. The individual input \( p_1, p_2, ..., p_R \) are each weighted by corresponding elements \( w_{1,1}, w_{1,2}, ..., w_{1,R} \) of weight matrix \( W \).

![Figure 2. Multiple-Input neural](image)

The neuron has a bias \( b \), which is summed with the weighted input to form the net input \( n \):

\[
n = w_{1,1}p_1 + w_{1,2}p_2 + ... + w_{1,R}p_R + b 
\]

(7)

This expression can be written in matrix form:
\[ n = Wp + b, \]  

(8)

where the matrix \( W \) for the signal neurons case has only one row. Now the neuron output can be written as

\[ s = f (Wp + b) \]  

(9)

This paper uses the neural network for training and forecasting the history peak load demand and prediction in the future. The NN of the model is described in the next section.

### 3.1.3. Peak Load Forecasting Model

In this paper, proposes a new forecasting model. There is the combination of two methods for forecasting. These are de-noising wavelet transforms and artificial neural network approaches. The example model shows in figure 3 which is the de-noisy wavelet transforms integrated with neural network forecasting model based on 3-level. From the figure 3, can be divided into three parts these are the part of the historical data (peak demand and factors), the part of de-noisy wavelet transforms, and neural network part.

Firstly, historical data are recorded from many organizations. There are three major historical factor data. These factors are peak load demand, weather factor, and economic factor.

Secondly, peak load demand preprocessing using de-noisy WT, in this stage use for the peak load demand signal de-noisy before decomposing into 1-level, 2-level, 3-level, 4-level, and 5-level. Each level, both the detail (D) and the trend (A) components are shown.

Finally, this part is forecasting stage using the neural network. It uses three major features. These are peak demand in historical detail and trend components, weather variables, and economic variable. These features are significant data for training and forecasting the peak load demand in the future. After this stage, the forecasting data will be reconstructed to the actual data.

In conclude, the block diagram in figure 3 shows especially the peak load demand decomposition in three-level. It shows major three parts which are significant for model prediction in this research.

![Figure 3. De-noisy wavelet transform integrated with neural network forecasting model based on 3-level](image-url)
4. DATA TESTING AND FORECASTING RESULTS

The peak load and factors of Thailand country from January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2006 are chosen as the training and testing data for experiments in the research. The data show the recorded in monthly type (January to December). The unit of load demand is in megawatt (MW). Also, in the paper will use the influencing factors such as weather and economic variables of the country in monthly type. The recorded data came from the same year.

In this research, we use the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) to measure the forecast performance. The MAPE is defined as the ratio between absolute forecasting error and the actual values of the demand. The MAPE (%) equations can be calculated as follows.

\[
MAPE = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left| \frac{p_a - p_f}{p_a} \right| \times 100.
\]

where \(n\) is the number of observation, \(p_a\) represents the actual peak load demand, and \(p_f\) represents the forecasting load demand. In Table I, shows the results of peak demand forecasting all 5-level.

The investigative results from figure 3 (for example P3LT) and Table I have the many pattern varies from P1LT to P5LT models. These models come from the number of components in the wavelet transforms decomposition. The neural network approach requires a number of NN model equal to the number of components after WT decomposition. All of the study results from 5 models above can be shown in Table I. Table I, in the first column, explains the result of the load forecasting outcome in 12 months from January to December of the year 2007, a period that is forecasted. It uses the five WT models for load prediction. After that, will compare the results of the level 1, level 2, level 3, level 4, and Level 5 with de-noisy WT. The final results show in the Table I. The P1LT, P is the maximum load or peak load monthly demand, 1L is the 1-level of wavelet transform after signal de-noisy, and T is the test (testing). Each column will show the peak load forecasting demand in normalized value. It can convert this value to the actual value or peak load value using base value multiplier of the research. Then it can make back to the maximum load value (MW). In the result, the percent error in each month is shown in the PE (%), which will display all five levels and will finally have the complete the mean absolute percent error (MAPE) of each model. The results are measured by MAPE of each approach, and are compared. In summary, the MAPE of the De-P1LT is 4.39%. The De-P2LT model, the MAPE is 5.85%. The MAPE of the De-P3LT is 7.91%. The De-P4LT, the MAPE is 8.88%. Finally, the De-P5LT, MAPE is 10.72%, all of which are displayed in the table I. The result will be discussed in the following section.

| Table I. The result of peak load demand forecasting (MW in normalization) in each level |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Level          | De-1(P1LT)     | De-2(P2LT)     | De-3(P3LT)     | De-4(P4LT)     | De-5(P5LT)     | MAPE           |
| Month          | Forecasted (MW)| Forecasted (MW)| Forecasted (MW)| Forecasted (MW)| Forecasted (MW)|                |
| January        | 0.7266         | -0.05          | 0.7322         | -1.82          | 0.7733         | -7.54          |
| February       | 0.7249         | 4.64           | 0.7395         | 7.81           | 0.7630         | 4.88           |
| March          | 0.8254         | 13.82          | 0.7940         | 17.09          | 0.7663         | 19.99          |
| April          | 0.9999         | 0.01           | 0.8251         | 17.49          | 0.7994         | 20.05          |
| May            | 0.8336         | 3.71           | 0.8389         | 3.10           | 0.7915         | 8.58           |
| June           | 0.8247         | 8.12           | 0.8228         | 2.77           | 0.7904         | 11.95          |
| July           | 0.7972         | -1.86          | 0.8287         | -5.77          | 0.7866         | -0.05          |
| August         | 0.7786         | 5.96           | 0.8092         | 2.27           | 0.7711         | 6.86           |
| September      | 0.7885         | 7.11           | 0.8011         | 5.62           | 0.7771         | 8.45           |
| October        | 0.8108         | -1.05          | 0.7837         | 2.31           | 0.7919         | 1.29           |
| November       | 0.8091         | -2.25          | 0.8054         | -1.78          | 0.8015         | -1.29          |
| December       | 0.8118         | 3.16           | 0.8184         | 2.37           | 0.8088         | 3.52           |
|                | 4.39           | 5.85           | 7.91           | 8.88           |                | 10.72          |

5. DISCUSSION

From the result in the previous section, the forecasts are relatively well during the level 1 and 2 of the de-noisy wavelet transform. The forecasting errors are quite higher in level three, four, and five level of WT decompositions. We conclude that, the de-noisy wavelet for signal separation should be enough made only at one or two level appreciably. Some month, the error is still high because of the weather change is non-stationary in each year, especially, the weather factor of each season. For example, the hot season has very hot for a long time compared with the past. Therefore, further research should study the dynamics of climate data from the past to the present to improve the forecasting results.
6. CONCLUSION

The main contributions made in the present work are presented as follows: in this paper proposes, the load demand forecasting by using de-noising wavelet transform (DNWT) combined with neural network (NN) approach. For this research, the case study of Thailand (Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand: EGAT) is proposed. The peak load data will be analysed with many influencing factors for selecting and classifying factors used in the research. The de-noising wavelet transform is used for decomposing the peak load signal into 2 major components. These components are detail and trend ingredients. The forecasting method using the neural network method is used. The research results are shown a good performance of the model proposed. The result may be taken to the one of decision in the power systems.
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