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ABSTRACT
Person authentication using faces became one of the most popular security approaches for the last three decades. From the literature it is found that performance of most of the methods used in recognition was limited due to uncontrolled conditions like illumination and pose variations. In this work, to address the limitations of uncontrolled environment, Modular two-dimensional Principle Component Analysis (M2D-PCA) is proposed. In this approach, the input image is partitioned into four equal segments and then Histogram Equalization is applied to reduce illumination impact caused due to varying lightening conditions. Then M2D-PCA algorithm is applied parallel on each segment and then all features extracted from the segments are fused with weighted summation. Experiments are carried out on benchmark datasets like extended Yale database B, ORL and AR database. Results of the proposed approach produced good recognition rate with low computational time against various illumination environments.

1. INTRODUCTION
Person authentication using faces is one of the most popular biometric based security systems used in identification and verification. Biometric recognition system using face trait is to recognize person faces against in applications like credit card verification, criminal identification and surveillance systems. Performance of existing systems is low in recognition rate due to multiple variations (Pose and Illumination) [1, 4]. Face recognition became a difficult problem due to similar shape of the faces and multiple variations among the images of the same person. A biometric application is mainly of three phases; these are Enrolment, Verification and Identification. In the enrolment phase, the system extracts information about the person to be identified by measuring some characteristics. Verification of the person is known as one to one matching and it simply answers whether the person is genuine or not. Identification is one-to-many matching, in which the system selects the best that matches the test sample. There are some key parameters that are used to measure the system performance such as recognition rate and time complexity. There are many approaches in the past that leads to the development of successful face recognition systems. They include: PCA [1], LDA [2], 2D-PCA [3], Face Analysis for Commercial Entities (FACE) [4], and Support Vector Machine (SVM) [5]. Hence, the above methods are successful to a certain extent, but they are limited to the gallery of images that are taken in controlled environments.

This paper introduces a Face Recognition System that works efficiently under uncontrolled environment which overcome the effects of varying illumination. In this work, a weighted fusion approach is used to fuse extracted facial features from modules of face. Objective of this method is defined to enhance the efficiency of the system by extracting the features parallels on segments of faces in recognizing the
persons under varying illuminations. In this approach first face image has to be portioned into four equal
parts. Then pre-processing is done on all partitions independently. Then 2D-PCA algorithm with map reduce
approach is used to extract features on each of the individual portions. Score normalization is performed on
the extracted feature set to bring all the features into common scale. Proposed model is evaluated on extended
Yale face database B under variant illumination conditions.

2. PROPOSED METHOD

2.1. System Framework

The main aim of the proposed work is to enhance the efficiency of recognition system for the facial
images that are taken under varying illumination conditions.

M2D-PCA is a parallel algorithm with line based local characteristic approach. The architecture of
robust face recognition under illumination changes is given in Figure 1. The input image is partitioned into
four equal partitions by considering the mean pixel of the image, i.e., LU, LL, RU and RL images. Then, all
the four equal segments of the image will undergo Histogram Equalization (HE) phase of mapping as per
mapreduce approach. In next phase, M2D-PCA is applied to extract the features. Then, the normalization is
applied to get normalized features set. Then the features are fused (reduced) with the weighted summation
operation. Nearest neighbour classifier is used for identification of persons. Mapreduce approach is
implemented with a cluster of 4 CPUs with 32 GB RAM.

2.2. Histogram Equalization

In image processing, objective of the histogram equalization is contrast enhancement of the given
image. Histogram Equalization readjusts the original histogram to improve quality of the image by changing
pixel gray levels. By the uniform distribution of pixel intensity values, linear cumulative histogram is
generated [6]. For an image I(x, y) with ‘K’ number of discrete gray values, probability of occurrence of gray
level l is defined as:

\[ p(l) = \frac{p_{l_x}}{N} \]

where \( k = 0, 1 \ldots l-1 \) gray scales and \( N \) is the number of pixels of an image. New intensity gray-level of the
pixel is defined as \( l_{\text{sat}} \).

Figure 1. Architecture of the proposed method with map reduce approach
Output values are in the range of [0, 1]. To transform the pixel values into the original domain, it will be resized by K−1 value. Figure 2 shows the facial images before and after histogram equalization.

![Figure 2. An original image and its histogram and linear histogram equalization](image)

2.3. M2D-PCA
2.3.1. Principle Component Analysis (PCA)

PCA is one of the classical feature extraction techniques, used to extract the global features which may or may not be naturally understandable. Principal components generated from the eigenvectors are mostly associated with training images. The face images computed with eigenvectors are called as Eigenfaces which appears like ghost faces. Number of images taken in the training set is ‘M’. Out of extracted eigenfaces ‘K’ most significant Eigenfaces are used in encoding the variation in face images. Training set with M samples with each sample size is N*N, so total size of matrix is M x N^2.

1. Average of the training samples is defined as ‘Ψ’
   \[ Ψ = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Gamma_i \]
   where ‘G’ is the image vector.

2. Mean should be subtracted from the training samples and it is defined as \[ \Phi_i = \Gamma_i - Ψ \]

3. Compute Covariance matrix \[ C = A^T A, \text{where } A = [\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_M] \]

4. The eigenvectors of covariance matrix are \[ U_i = A \times V_i, U_i \text{ resemble facial images called as Eigenfaces.} \]

5. Each face image is projected into face space
   \[ \Omega_k = U^T (\Gamma_k - Ψ) \]

6. Then, probe image ‘Γ’ is projected into subspace to compute a vector ‘Ω’
   \[ Ω = U^T (Γ - Ψ) \]

7. The distance between ‘Ω’ to each class is represented as
   \[ \Omega_k = U^T (Γ_k - Ψ) \]
   \[ E_k^2 = ||Ω - \Omega_k||^2 \]

8. A distance threshold, \[ Θ_c = 1/2 \max(j,k) (||Ω_j - Ω_k||) \]

Each image in the training set is a weighted linear combination of basis faces computed. Each eigenvalue represents the amount of variance that has been captured by one component. The number of Principal components obtained is equal to the total number samples in the training set. First principle component holds the highest variance among other principle components. Second principle component holds next to first PC in representing variance.

2.3.2. Modular Two Dimensional PCA

Generally in PCA, the 2D image matrices are transformed into one dimensional either column or row vector. Compared to conventional PCA, in 2D-PCA [3],[7],[8] the computation of Covariance matrix is
simpler and so the time complexity in both training and testing will be reduced. To improve the performance of the modal against variations in illumination and pose, the image is partitioned into four equal segments such as LL, LU, RU and RL as shown in Figure 3.

Let us indicate an image with m rows and n columns.

\[ G = AX^T \]  

Here ‘O’ is a projected feature vector of an image A. The covariance matrix \( G_i \) is defined as,

\[ G_i = E[(A - \bar{A})^2 (A - \bar{A})^T] \]  

Figure 3(a) Original Image                   b) Partitioned Images (LU, RU, LL, RL)

Here \( E \) is an expectation and \( \bar{A} \) is the average of the gallery face dataset. Principal component vectors can be shown as, \( \mathbf{V} = [o_1; o_2; \ldots; o_S] \). Where, ‘\( \mathbf{V} \)’ is denoted as sample image feature matrix. Distance among two feature vectors are calculated with the nearest neighborhood classifier. \( \mathbf{V}_p = [o(p)_1; o(p)_2; \ldots; o(p)_S] \) and \( \mathbf{V}_q = [o(q)_1; o(q)_2; \ldots; o(q)_S] \) is given as,

\[ d(V_p, V_q) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{S} (o_i^{(p)} - o_i^{(q)})^2} \]

2D-PCA is applied on each modularized face segments and then the feature sets of all modules are needed to be normalized. Normalization process brings the feature set into a common scale. Similarly normalization is applied for test images also. Z Score Normalization is used to generate the similarity score of each modularized face segments of training samples.

\[ Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \]

where \( \mu \) represents the arithmetic mean and \( \sigma \) represents the standard deviation.

2.4. Weighted Fusion
In this phase the features extracted from left upper, right upper, left lower, right lower segments are integrated to improve accuracy of the face recognition. In this work weighted summation method is used to fuse the results of normalized features. In a face image, more discriminative information is available in upper part than lower part. Based on this view, weights are assigned to the modules. Weighted summation is given as

\[ S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \cdot S_i \]

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
Performance of the proposed method M2D-PCA is evaluated on various standard databases and compared the recognition rates with existing methods.
3.1. Face Database
Extended Yale face database B comprises of 2,414 face images from 38 different subjects having 64 illumination variations are taken for experiment analysis. The Yale database comprised of 10 different individuals from the original database and 28 different individuals of extended Yale database B. Few images from Yale database B are shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Sample images from Extended Yale database B](image)

ORL Database [9] consists of 40 distinct classes each with 10 samples i.e., 400 images are available. Images are acquired during different times with different illumination and expression variations. ORL also consists of variations in facial expressions. Each sample is of size 92*112.

![Figure 5. Sample images of ORL Face Database](image)

AR face database [10] consists of 126 distinct classes of unique people, each with 26 samples i.e., 3276 images are there in the database. Images are acquisitioned during different lightening conditions with varied expressions. Each sample is of size 576*768.

![Figure 6. Sample Facial Variation in AR Face Database](image)

3.2. Performance Evaluation
To validate the performance of the proposed method, the extended Yale database is partitioned into training set and test set. Here five images per each subject are used as the training set and the remaining fifty-nine images per each subject are used to evaluate the performance of proposed method. Two experiments have been conducted. One is evaluation of performance on whole face with the proposed M2D-PCA. Other is evaluation of performance on partitioned segments of faces with the proposed M2D-PCA.

3.2.1. Experiment 1
In this experiment analysis, whole image samples from the extended Yale database B are considered during the training and the testing phase. This experimentation mainly carried out to identify whether the proposed M2D-PCA method is robust to illumination variations or not. We considered some other approaches like PCA and LDA for comparative analysis. Recognition rate for these approaches on the raw face database is 24.32% for PCA and 26.28% for LDA and 29.21% for M2D-PCA. After applying histogram equalization on training samples, recognition rates are improved to the rates of 49.21% for PCA and 56.12% for LDA and 62.24% for M2D-PCA. M2D-PCA approach yielded better recognition results compared to...
other methods. This proves that M2D-PCA is robust against all the different illumination variations. The recognition results are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Experimental results for whole images using Yale database B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Type</th>
<th>Recognition models</th>
<th>PCA</th>
<th>LDA</th>
<th>M2D-PCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.32%</td>
<td>26.28%</td>
<td>29.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.21%</td>
<td>56.12%</td>
<td>62.24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance of the proposed method is also evaluated on ORL face Database. Two images per each class are used for training and remaining 8 are used for testing. Results of the proposed method with ORL face database are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Experimental results for whole images using ORL Face Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Type</th>
<th>Recognition models</th>
<th>PCA</th>
<th>LDA</th>
<th>M2D-PCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td></td>
<td>62.75%</td>
<td>71.6%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram</td>
<td></td>
<td>85.2%</td>
<td>88.74%</td>
<td>98.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recognition accuracy of the proposed method is also evaluated on AR Database. Six samples per each class are used for training and remaining 20 samples are used for testing. The performance of the proposed method is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Experimental results for whole images using AR Face Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Type</th>
<th>Recognition models</th>
<th>PCA</th>
<th>LDA</th>
<th>M2D-PCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.74%</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.5%</td>
<td>86.25%</td>
<td>94.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2. Experiment 2

In the second experiment, proposed method is applied on segmented input images such as left upper, left lower, right upper and right lower partitions. Recognition rates of PCA and M2D-PCA methods are computed on Yale database B. It is clearly evident that the proposed method is evaluated on segmented ORL face database and performance of the proposed method is 99.24% with histogram equalised samples where as on raw samples the recognition rate is 69.34%. M2D-PCA method is also evaluated on segmented AR face database with 5 training samples and performance of the proposed method is 96.32% with histogram equalised samples but which is 52.26% on raw segmented images.

Table 4. Recognition Rates of Proposed method over bench mark databases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Images</th>
<th>ORL Face Database</th>
<th>AR Face Database</th>
<th>Yale database B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>M2D-PCA</td>
<td>PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Left upper</td>
<td>38.14%</td>
<td>62.15%</td>
<td>22.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Left lower</td>
<td>34.18%</td>
<td>64.27%</td>
<td>23.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Right upper</td>
<td>32.48%</td>
<td>59.67%</td>
<td>21.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Right Lower</td>
<td>33.68%</td>
<td>54.19%</td>
<td>21.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Fusion</td>
<td>55.28%</td>
<td>69.34%</td>
<td>32.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram Left Upper</td>
<td>69.34%</td>
<td>74.29%</td>
<td>45.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram Left Lower</td>
<td>72.35%</td>
<td>75.37%</td>
<td>43.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram Right Upper</td>
<td>72.52%</td>
<td>76.21%</td>
<td>43.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram Right Lower</td>
<td>74.91%</td>
<td>73.24%</td>
<td>44.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram Fusion</td>
<td>82.15%</td>
<td>99.24%</td>
<td>65.89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the M2D-PCA with map reduce approach is proposed for face recognition under varying illumination environment. It is clearly observable that the recognition results of M2D-PCA is better in comparison with approaches like PCA, LDA for the whole image and segmented images as given above. Results of the proposed method evaluated using four (2 x 2), Six (3 x 2) and Eight (4 x 2) equal partitions.
but the performance is superior with 4 partitions. The performance is evaluated on standard databases such as extended Yale database B, ORL and AR. Future scope of the work is to reduce the time complexity with further optimization in the feature selection.
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