Evaluation of the Medical Image Compression using Wavelet Packet Transform and SPIHT Coding
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ABSTRACT

Wavelet transforms and wavelet packets are widely imposed in the analysis and resolution of problems related to science and technical engineering. Decomposition wavelet packet allows several frequency bands according to various levels of resolutions. We apply this transform (PWT) coupled with the SPIHT coder to reduce the limitations of conventional wavelet filter bank. The results obtained using the applied algorithm, are very satisfactory and encouraging compared to many of the best coders cited in the literature and show a visual and numerical superiority over conventional methods. These the promising results are confirmed by visual evaluation parameters (PSNR, MSSIM and VIF).
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the medical field, the image is nowadays an indispensable support for the diagnosis, the establishment of treatment protocols, patient monitoring, and operative preparation. These images must be retained for a certain period, the storage required for archiving all data is constantly changing. The images are often consulted locally, but they can also be remotely through networks with bandwidth limited. The use of compression so quickly revealed to be essential, both to facilitate storage or remote browsing in these masses of data [1].

The wavelet packet decomposition is an extension of the transforms discrete wavelet to select the paving of the time-frequency plane. This choice is made through the selection of a base wavelet packet. In general; the base is selected according to the processed signal according to a criterion satisfying the constraints application. This database will be called the best basis [2]. Our objective is based on a comparative study between the different images types to which it will apply the wavelet packet transform with progressive encoder SPIHT. The estimation and judgment of the compressed image quality is given by the evaluation parameters (PSNR, MSSIM and VIF).

2. WAVELET PACKET

The decomposition of the signal wavelet packet is a generalization of multi-resolution analysis. Spaces approximations are always cut in the same way the details of spaces too are direct sum of two sub inferior temporal resolutions space (divided by 2). The packets thus wavelet decomposition of a signal is an extension of the transforms discrete wavelet [3]. Figure 1 represents the pyramidal algorithm extended for obtaining the coefficients. As for the fast calculation of the coefficients of the wavelet transform of a signal,
one proceeds by successive filtering and decimation of the signal. Here, the detail coefficients are also decomposed.

\[ g_j \text{ and } h_j \text{ are the quadratic filter mirror QMF filters associated with the scale } \phi \text{ and wavelet } \psi \text{ functions. } C_{i,j} \text{ are called wavelet packets. Each packet } C_{i,j} \text{ contains } n/j^2 \text{ coefficients in the framework of the decomposition of a signal of length } n. \text{ Coefficients of wavelet packets are rated } C_{i,m}(k), \text{ where } j \text{ is the level of resolution, } m \text{ is the spectral band and } k \text{ is the index of translation. They are obtained by the decomposition of the signal on the bases generated by } W_m \text{ functions [4]}: \]

\[ C_{i,m}(k) = \langle x(t), 2^{-j/2} W_m(2^{-j/2} t - k) \rangle \]

\[ W_{2m}(t) = 2^{1/2} \sum h_k \ W_m(2t - k) \]

\[ W_{2m+1}(t) = 2^{1/2} \sum h_k \ W_m(2t - k) \]  

\[ (1) \]

where, \( W_0 \) corresponds to the \( \phi \) function, and \( W_1 \) corresponds to the \( \psi \) function

2.1. Redundancy of information

Figure 2 shows the binary tree decomposition of wavelet packets to one of a signal size.
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lost all the temporary information then we have purely frequency decomposition of the signal. Figure 3 shows the paving of the time-frequency plane for a signal $x \in \mathbb{R}^8$ for each level of the tree [5].

Figure 3. Paving time frequencies corresponding to each level of the decomposition tree

3. WAVELET PACKET BASE

Binary tree decomposition wavelet packet thus provides a highly redundant representation of the signal. If one wants to work with a non-redundant representation, he has to choose a packet-based that is to say a set of nodes in the tree whose projection in the time-frequency space forms a partition. This is derived from the notion of eligible tree. A qualified tree is composed of nodes with 0 or 2 strings; the base will consist of all nodes with no string. Considering the frequency division induced decomposition wavelet packet this goes back to cover the frequency axis without overlapping.

Figure 4 shows two examples of wavelet packet bases. The nodes selected in the basic constitution are surrounded by squares. We see that if we prune the trees at the selected nodes, eligible trees are obtained. The selected packages can form a partition of the frequency axis (horizontal on the tree). The paving of the time-frequency induced by the foundation is shown for a signal $x \in \mathbb{R}^8$ [6].

The packet-based wavelet decomposition from the principle of the AMR, we can reconstruct the signal using reconstruction filters associated with decomposition filters. If $W_m$ is the dual basis functions associated with $W_m$, and $B$ is the set of indices $(j, m)$ selected nodes in a packet wavelet basis [4], then

$$x(t) = \sum_{(j, m) \in B} \sum_k c_{j, m}(k) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^j}} W_m(2^{-j}t - k)$$

4. GENERALIZATION TO IMAGES

The decomposition of an image on a wavelet packet base follows the same principle as the decomposition of a one-dimensional signal. We generalize the wavelet decomposition algorithm filtering and decimating coefficients details and approximations. In the case of images are act on the lines and columns, it will then quadtree decomposition wavelet packets represented by the Figure 5.

Figure 4. Examples of wavelet packet bases and paving time frequencies corresponding

In the Quaternary wavelet packet, each packet $C_{p, ij}$ corresponding to a node of the tree contains information regarding the whole image in the frequency band indexed by $(i, j)$ and whose size is determined by the resolution level $P$. The coefficients of the packet are rated $C_{p, ij}(k, l)$. This decomposition
can be interpreted as the decomposition into sub-bands of the image, with increasing frequency resolutions [7].

![Quadtree decomposition wavelet packet](image)

Figure 5. Quadtree decomposition wavelet packet

5. **THE ENCODING ALGORITHM SPIHT (SET PARTITIONING IN HIERARCHICAL TREE)**

The SPIHT algorithm [8] involves a list of insignificant sets (LSP), a list of insignificant coefficients (LIS) and a list of significant coefficients (LIP). In this work we applied the modified SPIHT algorithm which is the most adapted with wavelet packets [9]. The modified SPIHT has the same principle as the SPIHT encoder; so for easier representation, the entire sub-band is treated as a node in a wavelet tree. The decomposition level of a certain sub-band is the same as that of the dyadic wavelet decomposition subband from which it is obtained by further decomposition (i.e. the same level does not imply the same scale - just the same position on a dyadic wavelet tree).

The natural parent (NP) is a subband whose child is on the next finer scale and after lower wavelet decomposition tree level. In addition, a NP's child must have the same relative position as NP, if a relative subband position is defined for the dyadic subband from which it is obtained by further decomposition. For example, each of the children of T3 in Figure 6 has a child who is in the same relative position. And just to be mentioned, all parents in dyadic wavelet decompositions are natural. With regular zerotrees, as in SPIHT, each parent coefficient has four of its children on the same spatial location and immediately at the next lower level of the tree. In wavelet decomposition trees, the parent-child allocation is complicated by the fact that there may be different scale differences between the subbands on the adjacent levels [10].

Four different cases of inter-level dependencies are organized. All subbands of the next lower level are called child subbands here, although when parental conflicts are resolved, the true parent can be found in some higher level.

a. Subband has within the same relative position child subbands which are on a coarser scale, as it occurred in the subtree of T2.

b. Subband has within the same relative position child subband that is on the same scale, as it occurred in the subtree of T2.

c. Natural parent-child relation - subband has within the same relative position child subband that is on a next finer scale, as it occurred in the subtree of T3.

d. Subband's relative position overlaps with the relative position of a child subband that is on a scale more than one level finer, as it occurred in the subtree of T3.

![SPIHT packet wavelet algorithm](image)

Figure 6. SPIHT packet wavelet algorithm
6. EVALUATION PARAMETERS OF THE QUALITY

The commonly parameter used in image compression is the mean square error MSE. This variable (error) is defined by the mean square between the pixel \((i, j)\) of the original image \(I(i, j)\), and the pixel \((i, j)\) of the reconstructed image \(\hat{I}(i, j)\) [11], [12].

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [I(i, j) - \hat{I}(i, j)]^2
\]  

(3)

The peak signal to noise ratio is given by [13], [14]:

\[
PSNR = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \left( \frac{2^R - 1}{MSE} \right) \text{[dB]}
\]  

(4)

We then evaluate a new paradigm for assessing the quality of medical images, the similarity index compares the brightness, contrast, and structure between each pair of vectors, where the index of structural similarity (SSIM) between two signals \(x\) and \(y\) is given by the following expression:

\[
SSIM(x, y) = l(x, y) \cdot c(x, y) \cdot s(x, y)
\]

Finally, we need a single global measure of overall image quality that is given by the formula [15], [16]:

\[
MSSIM(\hat{I}, \hat{\hat{I}}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} SSIM(\hat{I}_i, \hat{\hat{I}}_i)
\]  

(5)

\(M\) is the total number of local windows in the image. The values MSSIM exhibit greater consistency with the visual quality [17]. The Visual Information fidelity parameter (VIF) quantifies Shannon information that is shared between the reference and distorted images with respect to the information contained in the reference image itself [18]. VIF test is then evaluated as:

\[
VIF = \frac{\sum_{j} I(X;Y / Z)}{\sum_{j} I(Y / Z)}
\]  

(6)

where, \(I(X;Y / Z)\) is the conditional mutual information between \(X\) and \(Y\), conditioned to \(Z\); \(s^j\) is a realization of \(S^j\) for a particular image, the index \(j\) runs through all sub-bands in the decomposed image [19].

7. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this work, we are interested in the compression of medical image by the transformed wavelet packet (PWT) coupled to the coder SPIHT. For this, we have a MRI image of a brain (axial) [20], of size 512x512 (grayscale) encoded on 8 bpp recorded through an MRI scanner. We vary the bit rate (Rc) of 0.125 to 2 bpp and calculate the evaluation parameters Simulation results of the applied algorithm is illustrated in the Figure 7. From Figure 7 we note that the applied algorithm provides important values of PSNR, MSSIM and VIF for a bit rate of 0.5 bpp.

To better demonstrate the effectiveness and performance of applying PWT in the medical field, we will now make a comparison between different types of transformed DWT and PWT coupled with the encoder Progressive SPIHT the lifting structure [21], [22]. For this, we chose the same picture MRI of the Figure 7 with a level of decomposition \(N=4\) we vary the bit rate from 0.125 to 2 and calculate the evaluation parameters. The results are given in Figure 8.

To illustrate the effectiveness of the applied algorithm we applied different algorithms mentioned previously another IRM2 medical image size 512x512 (grayscale) encoded on 8 bpp. We vary the bit rate of 0.125 to 2 and calculate the assessment parameters. The results obtained are given in Table 1. We distinguish that from a bit rate of 0.5 bpp we obtain suitable values of PSNR, MSSIM and FIV.
Axial slice of brain
(Image original)

Decomposition by the
transform wavelet packets

(a) \( R_c = 0.125 \text{ bpp}; \)
MSSIM = 0.78; VIF = 0.27; 
PSNR = 24.81dB

(b) \( R_c = 0.25 \text{ bpp}; \)
MSSIM = 0.88; VIF = 0.42; 
PSNR = 30.69dB

(c) \( R_c = 0.5 \text{ bpp}; \)
MSSIM = 0.95; VIF = 0.68; 
PSNR = 38.24dB

(d) \( R_c = 0.75 \text{ bpp}; \)
MSSIM = 0.98; VIF = 0.80; 
PSNR = 43.14dB

(e) \( R_c = 1 \text{ bpp}; \)
MSSIM = 0.99; VIF = 0.80; 
PSNR = 46.63dB

(f) \( R_c = 2 \text{ bpp}; \)
MSSIM = 1; VIF = 0.98; 
PSNR = 55.19dB

Figure 7. MRI image compression by CDF9/7 (PWT) + SPIHT

Figure 8. PSNR, MSSIM, and VIF Variation of MRI image

Patient - Male
Age - 52 years
Exam performed with CTL coil
Sag FRFSE-XL T2 4mm
Patient in serious aggravation
Plaque of demyelization, multiple sclerosis [20]

Figure 9. MRI2 image compression by CDF9/7 (PWT) + SPIHT (\( R_c = 0.5 \text{ bpp} \))
This study was widespread on three medical images (MRI2, TDM and echography) from the medical database GE Medical System [23]. The following Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the results obtained after the application of PWT + SPIHT algorithm for a rate of 0.5 bpp. As a result, we can state, from Figure 9 and Figure 10, that from 0.5 bpp, the applied algorithm gives an acceptable image quality.

![Original image (TDM)](image1)
![Original image (ECHO)](image2)
![Reconstructed TDM image by CDF9/7(PWT) +SPIHT](image3)
![Reconstructed ECHO image by CDF9/7(PWT) +SPIHT](image4)

Figure 10. TDM and ECHO medical images compression by CDF9/7 (PWT) + SPIHT algorithm (Rc = 0.5 bpp)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Bit rate (bpp)</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>MSSIM</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDF9/7</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FB)+</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>30.26</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPIHT</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>35.60</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDF9/7</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>37.12</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(lift)+</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>40.86</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPIHT</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>42.34</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GALL5/3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>46.83</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(lift)+</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>44.11</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPIHT</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>46.93</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. PSNR, MSSIM, and VIF Variation of IRM2 medical image

8. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we applied a compression approach on medical images using wavelet packet coupled with the progressive coder SPIHT. This approach was tested on medical images (MRI, TDM and ECHO), the results are satisfactory. Interesting compression ratio and good quality of the reconstructed images are obtained in comparison with other algorithms (DWT, LIFTING). The applied method allows to preserve fine structures and produces images with better quality, which is important for medical applications and this is proved by the important values of the evaluation parameters.
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