Performance evaluation of different structures of power system stabilizers

M. Balasubbareddy¹, G. V. K. Murthy², K. Sowjan Kumar³
¹Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology, India
²Department of EEE, PACE Institute of Technology and Sciences, India
³Department of EEE, SSN Engineering College, India

ABSTRACT

The electric power from the system should be reliable and economical for consumer’s equipment satisfaction. An electric power system consists of many generators, transformers, transmission lines, loads, etc. For the power system network, dynamic performance and stability are important. The system is lost its stability by some disturbances i.e., load variations, generator failure, prime mover failure, transmission line outage, etc. Whenever load variations in the system, generator rotor speed will vary, means oscillations in the rotor speed, which is deviating from rated speed. The excitation system will control the generator rated line voltage. When fault occurs at any equipment in the system, the system will unstable. If fault occurs at generator, the generator oscillates. To reduce the oscillations and to make the system stable used power system stabilizers (PSS’s). Here, three types of PSS’s are used i.e., PSS1B, PSS2B, PSS4B. Comparisons of three PSS’s are on the multi machine system under some disturbance. From the observations, concluding that PSS4B is quickly control the oscillations in the physical parameters of machine in the system than other power system stabilizers.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Modern power system is interconnected with multiple machines, transformers, transmission lines, loads. The supply should be reliable and economical electric energy is major requirement of industrial progress and consequent rise in the standard of living. The frequency and voltage should be held within allowable tolerances to satisfy consumer’s equipment operation. With Deregulation of power supply system, Power transmitting to various places wherever it is required. Here, dynamic performance and stability are important. The system lost its stability under certain faults i.e., generator failure, prime mover failure, transmission line outage, load variations, etc. These disturbances affect the power system variables such as frequency and voltage this leads to instability of the system. The stability is defined as when a disturbance occurs in the electric power system, the system regains its original state after the disturbance [1, 2]. The stability problem is related with the behavior of the synchronous machines under disturbed conditions. When the system changes its operating point from one stable point to the other, it is mandatory that all interconnected synchronous machines should remain in synchronism, i.e., machines should connect in parallel with same speed [3]. In [4] this, proposed new polymorphic bacterial chemotaxis optimization
algorithm to optimize the PSS parameters. In [5, 6] proposed new power system stabilizer for multi-mode damping problem, he aims to analyze performance in tracing of system problems. In [7] this some of modern voltage regulator systems are used the PID controller for stabilization. In [8] this described the power system analysis software package (PSASP) for dynamic stability of small disturbance. In [9-10] this described multi machine power system analysis using new design of fixed parameter decentralizes.

Power system stabilizer (PSS) is maintains system stability after a disturbance in the system. It is making system as stable by controlling the oscillations in the system during the fault. The oscillations mainly producing from generator rotor speed. It is leading to development of more damped torque, which is unfavorable to quality of supply [11]. In [12] this, authors are described the transient stability analysis and also described a method for estimating a normalized power system transient stability of a power system. Previously, PSS1B and PSS2B are designed to improve the power system stability. PSS used in power companies because it is simple in structure, flexible an easy to implement. PSS will improve the system performance during the disturbance. Both single input and dual input stabilizers are used to damp out oscillations in the system. Dual input is used with combination of shaft speed deviation and change in electric power is advantageous compared to single input [13-25]. The target of this paper is implementation of power system stabilizer with physical parameters in single machine infinite bus system. Effect of power system stabilizer implementation on system damping is targeted. Further for multi machine power system, aimed that the damping of power system oscillations can achieved with minimum number of power system stabilizers located at optimal locations. The PSS4B will reduce the oscillations in physical parameters of machine in a system during the fault. The PSS4B will take less time to make the machine stable compared to other power system stabilizers.

2. SINGLE MACHINE INFINITE BUS SYSTEM

Machines are connected through a transmission lines. In general synchronous machines are expressed [2] as follows:

\[ \Delta \omega = \frac{\Delta \theta}{2H} - \frac{K_1}{2H}\Delta \delta - \frac{K_2}{2H}\Delta E_d' - \frac{D}{2H}\Delta \omega; \Delta \omega' = \omega_h \Delta \omega \]

\[ \Delta E_d' = -\frac{1}{K_3 T_d} \Delta E_d' + \frac{1}{T_d} \Delta E_m - \frac{K_4}{T_d} \Delta \delta; \ E_m' = \frac{1}{T_a} (K_5 \Delta \delta + K_6 E_q + E_m) \]

The constants (K1-K6) are Heffron-Phillips constants are computed by using this data

**Generator:**

\[ M = 2 \times H = 9.26; \quad T_d = 7.76; \quad D = 0; \quad X_d = 0.973; \quad X_d' = 0.190; \quad X_q = 0.550 \]

Excitation: \( K_a = 50 \) & \( T_a = 0.05 \);

Line and load: \( R = 0.034; X = 0.997; G = 0.249, B = 0.262 \)

Initial state: \( P_{w0} = 1.0, Q_{w0} = 0.015, V_{r0} = 1.05 \)

**Machine Modeling K1 to K6 Constants:**

\[ K_1 = F_d (X_q - X_d') I_{q0} + F_q [(E_q' + (X_q - X_d') I_{d0}] \]

\[ K_2 = I_{q0} + Y_d (X_q - X_d') I_{q0} + Y_q [(E_q' + (X_q - X_d') I_{d0}] \]

\[ K_3 = \frac{1}{1 + (X_d - X_d') Y_d}; \quad K_4 = (X_d' - X_d') F_d; \quad K_5 = F_d \left( \frac{-X_d' V_{q0}}{V_{r0}} + F_q \frac{X_d V_{d0}}{V_{r0}} \right) \]

\[ K_6 = \frac{V_{q0}}{V_{r0}} + V_d \left( \frac{-X_d' V_{q0}}{V_{r0}} \right) + Y_q \left( \frac{X_d V_{d0}}{V_{r0}} \right) \]

The Heffron-Phillips constants are dependent on operating conditions and machine parameters considered for the system. Here, K1, K2, K3, K4, K6 are positive and K5 is negative.
3. POWER SYSTEM STABILIZER

In an interconnected power system arises the low frequency oscillations with less time durations, then system will stop working completely. The system shut down due to unavailability to compress those oscillations in the system. In order to overcome this problem, PSS is tested and implemented to compensate those oscillations. PSS Figure 1 is a supplementary control connected to the excitation system. The feedback signal is connected in such a way that rotor electric torque in phase with speed variations. The PSS parameters are tuned with system conditions, otherwise system will be in unstable state. The Block Diagram of PSS is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Power system stabilizer](image)

PSS classified based on the input: The shaft speed deviation, change in accelerating power and change in frequency. PSS consists of gain, washout block and lead-lag compensator. The gain related to damping introduced by the stabilizer. Then increasing gain moves unstable mode to stable mode. Gain should be set corresponding to maximum damping. Washout is high pass filter, this will block the offsets and responds for speed oscillations. This also controls the terminal voltage deviations from normal voltage of the generator. Phase Compensation is a Lead-lag compensator. The lead-lag compensator is tuned in such way that speed oscillations give damping torque on the rotor. When Terminal voltage is changed, the PSS affect the generator power flow. The terminal voltage is limited under AVR variations.

3.1. Types of power system stabilizers

Single input PSS: The inputs which are given to PSS are change in rotor speed (Δω), the change in frequency (Δf) and the accelerating power (ΔPa). PSS1B has change in rotor speed as input. This stabilizer will reduce the damping of the low frequency oscillations. The structure of PSS is in the form as, for which the parameter such as stabilizer gain Kc, lead lag time constants T1 and T2 are to be computed such that overall system is stable when PSS included in feedback loop.

Dual input PSS(PSS2B): In this PSS, one input as change in rotor speed and another input as change in electric power. The change in electric power (ΔPe): Due to simplicity of measuring electric power and relationship to shaft speed, as an input signal to stabilizer. This stabilizer uses electric power (ΔPe) as input and it has stabilizer parameters K and T are to be computed, which are related to stability of the system. The block diagram of PSS2B is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. PSS2B](image)

Multi band stabilizer (PSS4B): In the MB-PSS controls the three ranges of frequencies, which are low, intermediate and high frequency ranges, the stabilizer dampens local and inter-area oscillations. The disturbances created in the electromechanical interconnected power system; they create oscillations in
the generator of a system. Local oscillations are created by disturbances that occur between one stable generator and unstable generator in a power station. The local oscillations frequency range is 0.8Hz to 4.0Hz. Inter-area oscillations are caused by a disturbance between two areas of generation sations in the power system. The range of frequency is 0.2Hz to 0.8Hz. MB PSS oscillation damping three ranges of different frequencies to dampen entire spectrum frequency oscillation that can occur in the power system achieved. Low and medium frequency oscillations related to inter-area mode, high frequency oscillation related to local mode.

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

4.1. Single machine power system

Generator data: Rated MVA: 777MVA; Rated Voltage: 21kv; H (Inertia)= 4.4KW-Sec/KVA; The mechanical power input= 1 p.u.; Excitation voltage =1p.u; f=50Hz; Poles=4; Rated speed= 1p.u. (base speed=1500rpm). Under no-load conditions, the single machine system maintains rated speed as 1p.u. From Figure 3, when a three-fault created at load side from 0sec to 1.06sec, the generator becomes unstable. The generator speed oscillates (deviates from 1p.u.). In order to control the oscillations in generator speed used power system stabilizer (PSS). Here, using three different PSS’s (PSS1B, PSS2B, PSS4B). In Figure 4, the power system stabilizer is connected to excitation system. The output of power system stabilizer is connected to input of excitation system. The PSS will control oscillations in physical parameters of machine in the system.

From the Figure 5, without PSS the generator rotor speed oscillates. The magnitude of rotor speed increased from 1p.u. to 1.017p.u. With PSS1B, the generator rotor speed decreased from 1.013p.u. To 1p.u. and reached its steady state within 6sec. With PSS2B, the generator rotor speed decreased from 1.007p.u. To 1p.u. and reached its steady state within 5.2sec. With PSS4B, the generator rotor speed decreased from 1.003p.u. To 1p.u. and reached its steady state within 5sec. So, PSS4B will reduce the Generator rotor speed oscillations in a less time compared to other PSS’s.

4.2. Comparison of results

From the Table 1, Without PSS, the generator speed is increased to 1.017p.u., and it is settles at 7 sec. For different stabilizers, the generator rotor speed increased in magnitude with steady state time. PSS4B settles in less time.

![Continuous](image-url)
Figure 4. Single machine infinite bus with PSS

Figure 5. Comparison of three power system stabilizers on single machine infinite bus system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Comparison of three power system stabilizers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generator Rotor speed increased magnitude (p.u)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without PSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS4B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3. Multi machine system
Multi machine system Data: Buses-11, lines-7, generators-4, transformers-4, loads-2
Generator data: 900MVA, 21 kV, 50Hz;

\[
X_d = 1.8 \text{ p.u.}, \quad X'_d = 0.3 \text{ p.u.}, \quad X''_d = 0.25 \text{ p.u.; } \quad X_q = 1.7 \text{ p.u.}, \quad X'_q = 0.55 \text{ p.u.};
\]

\[
X''_q = 0.25 \text{ p.u.; } \quad X'_q = 0.2 \text{ p.u.; } \quad T_{do} = 8, \quad T''_{do} = 0.03, \quad T_{qo} = 0.4, \quad T''_{qo} = 0.05.
\]

Transformer data: 950MVA, 21KV/4000kV
Distributed Line Parameter data: D=25Km, r=0.0529 Ω/Km, L=0.0014 H/Km, C=8.7748 nF/Km,
Load data: Load 1: P=967MW, Q=287Mvar, Load 2: P=1767MW, Q=437Mvar
Excitation System data: Tr=0.02, Tb=37.5, Tc=1.5, Tb=0.15, Kr=170, Ef1=+14.55, Ef2=-14.55,
Te=1.706, K=1, Saturation limits of Efd are 1.2 p.u to 1.6 p.u

PSS1B data: for $\Delta \omega$ input T1=0.05s, T2=0.02s, T3=3s, T4=5.4s, Tw=10s, Gain (K) =30 Limits of $U_{ss1}$ = -0.15 to 0.15.

PSS2B data: For $\Delta \omega$ input (same as above) for $\Delta Pa$ input, T1=0.06s, T2=1s, T3=3s, T4=0s, Tw=1s, Gain (K) =3.125, limits of $U_{ss1}$ = -0.15 to 0.15.

PSS4B data: Gain=1, F_L=0.2Hz, K_L=30, F_I=1.25Hz, K_I=40, F_H=12Hz, K_H=160, V_Lmax=0.075, V_Hmax=0.15, V_Smax=0.15

In Figure 6 multi machine system consists of generators, transmission lines, transformers, loads, etc

Figure 6. Simulation diagram of multi machine system

In Figure 7, inside the area1, two generators, two transformers with load are connected. The three-phase fault created at generator 1. Similarly generators, transformers, etc there in inside area 2. Figure 8 inside every machine is connected with excitation system and different power system stabilizers. The excitation system maintains the rated voltage of generator. The PSS will reduce the oscillations and retains the system stability. When three phase faults created at generator1 from 0.005 sec to 0.007sec. Then all generators in system became unstable. Means, initially the generator rotor speed is 12p.u. After the fault, all generator speeds deviate from 12p.u.

From Figure 9, the magnitude of rotor speed reduced to 0p.u.the generator becomes unstable. Form Figure 10, generator2 (without PSS) is unstable, rotor speed reduced from 12p.u. to 0p.u. With PSS1B, initially it is unstable. Rotor speed retains its steady state 12p.u. within 0.024sec. With PSS2B, Rotor speed retains its steady state 12p.u. within 0.022sec. With PSS4B, Rotor speed retains its steady state 12p.u. within 0.008sec.

From Figure 11, generator3 (without PSS) is unstable, rotor speed reduced from 12p.u. to 0p.u. With PSS1B, initially it is unstable. Rotor speed retains its steady state 12p.u. within 0.029sec. With PSS2B, Rotor
speed retains its steady state 12 p.u. within 0.027 sec. With PSS4B, rotor speed retains its steady state 12 p.u. within 0.021 sec.

From Figure 12, Generator 4 (without PSS) is unstable, rotor speed reduced from 12 p.u. to 0 p.u. With PSS1B, initially it is unstable. Rotor speed retains its steady state 12 p.u. within 0.03 sec. With PSS2B, rotor speed retains its steady state 12 p.u. within 0.026 sec. With PSS4B, rotor speed retains its steady state 12 p.u. within 0.021 sec. From the above results, we can observe that when a three-phase fault created at generator 1, all the generator rotor speeds are decreased from 12 p.u. to 0 p.u. and Generators are stopped working, they can’t supply power to load. It is also observed that generator 1 still unstable by connecting different PSS stabilizers. Generator 2 becomes stable with PSS4B in less time 0.008 sec. Generator 3 becomes stable with PSS4B in less time 0.021 sec. Generator 3 becomes stable with PSS4B in less time 0.021 sec.

Figure 7. Inside area 1

Figure 8. Inside every machine
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Figure 9. Performance of generator1 under three phase faults at generator1

Figure 10. Performance of generator2 under three phase faults at generator1

Figure 11. Performance of generator3 under three phase faults at generator1

Figure 12. Performance of generator4 under three phase faults at generator1
5. CONCLUSION

PSS is an additional control that retains the steady state of single machine infinite bus system under large disturbance from unstable state. From the simulation results, it can be observed that PSS will reduce the amplitude of damping oscillations, improve the transient stability of the power system. With PSS application on the multi machine system is under the disturbance, the results shown with various PSS’s applied on the system. Compared the results of three PSS’s under three phase faults in the system. Primarily the state of the system facing three phase faults at generator 1, all the generators in the system are unstable. When PSS introduced in the system, it will dampen the oscillations of physical parameters of generators and make the generators 2, 3, and 4 are stable. PSS4B will reduce the oscillations in less time in all the generators of system compared to other PSS’s (PSS1B, PSS2B)
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